Hamburger Berichte zur Siedlungswasserwirtschaft



# Ulrike Gayh

# Process intensification of biological desulphurisation of biogas







### Process intensification of biological desulphurisation of biogas

Vom Promotionsausschuss der Technischen Universität Hamburg-Harburg zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

**Doktor-Ingenieurin** 

genehmigte Dissertation

von

Ulrike Gayh

aus

Hamburg

2012

Gutachter:Prof. Dr.-Ing. Kerstin Kuchta(Prüfungsausschussvorsitzende)Prof. Dr.-Ing. Ralf Otterpohl(1. Gutachter)Prof. Dr.-Ing. Gerhard Schmitz(2.Gutachter)

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung:16.05.2012

#### Herausgeber / Editor

Gesellschaft zur Förderung und Entwicklung der Umwelttechnologien an der Technischen Universität Hamburg-Harburg e.V. (GFEU)

GFEU e.V. c/o Technische Universität Hamburg-Harburg Institut für Abwasserwirtschaft und Gewässerschutz Eißendorfer Str. 42 21071 Hamburg

Tel.: +49 / (0)40 / 42878 - 3207 Fax.: +49 / (0)40 / 42878 - 2684 URL: www.gfeu.org

ISBN 978-3-942768-05-4

© Ulrike Gayh, 2012

Hamburger Berichte zur Siedlungswasserwirtschaft Band 80 Humic substances may not be beautiful, but they do beautiful things (Fritz Frimmel)

#### **Danksagung**

Ich bedanke mich bei meinem Doktorvater Ralf Otterpohl, dass er mir die Möglichkeit gegeben hat, diese Arbeit bei ihm durchzuführen. Außerdem danke ich ihm für den großen Freiraum, den ich während der Bearbeitung des Forschungsprojektes hatte.

Weiterhin möchte ich mich bei Professor Gerhard Schmitz für die Zusage als Zweitgutachter, sowie der Unterstützung seines Institutes bei Fragen zur Modellierung mit Modelica, bedanken.

Ganz besonderer Dank gilt Joachim Behrendt für seine Betreuung und die Überzeugung, dass ich meine Doktorarbeit am Institut für Abwasserwirtschaft schreiben kann. Ich bedanke mich zudem bei ihm für die Ideen und Diskussionen bei der Realisierung der Laborversuche sowie der Unterstützung bei der Modellierung.

Ich danke dem gesamten Institut für Abwasserwirtschaft für die gemeinsame Zeit. Dabei vor Allem bei meinen Bürokollegen Martina, Felix, Gaby und Niklas. Mit euch hatte ich immer eine schöne Zeit und viel Spaß zusammen. Bei Andreas möchte ich mich für die Hilfe bei Rechner- und Programmproblemen bedanken und natürlich auch die netten Plaudereien. Bei Susanne möchte ich mich recht herzlich für die Unterstützung im Labor bedanken. Ich danke Holli für Ideen zu Analysen und Versuchen sowie hilfreichen Diskussionen zu diesen Themen. Für die netten Mittags- und Zwischendurchpausen bedanke ich mich bei allen alten Kollegen, dabei besonders bei Wibke, Aleksandra, Alp und Mathias. Eva und Gisela danke ich für die Unterstützung sowie natürlich auch die gute Verpflegung. Der Gruppe IRASS danke ich für die Unterstützung bei den Pflanzenversuchen sowie zusätzlich Chris für die Hilfe mit SPSS.

Wolfgang Schröder danke ich sehr für den Aufbau des Massenspektrometers und dem Erläutern dieser Analyse. Mirko Schlegelmilch danke ich für die Probenbeutel und die Unterstützung beim Bau dieser Beutel. Ich danke auch allen anderen Mitgliedern im Odour Control Projekt für die nette Projektzeit und Unterstützung bei Fragestellungen. Dem BMBF danke ich für die Förderung dieses Forschungsprojektes.

Frau Koelling, Herrn Block und Herrn Kunze vom Zentrallabor danke ich für die Analysen sowie den Diskussionen und Hilfestellungen bei den Laborversuchen. Anja Scholz danke ich für die GC-Analysen. Herrn Johannsen und Herrn Calmano danke ich für die Diskussionen und dem wertvollen Input für die Laborversuche.

Bei Berthold Stern von der Firma Humintech bedanke ich mich für die Bereitstellung von Probenmaterial sowie die Informationen über sein Produkt und Huminstoffe im Allgemeinen. Bei Mark Ploegmakers von der Firma Weatherford bedanke ich mich für die Probe Sulfa-Clear sowie die Informationen zu seinen Projekten und allgemein der H<sub>2</sub>S-Entfernung.

Der Firma Wessel Umwelttechnik danke ich für den Bau der Pilotanlage. Herrn Hagenah und Herrn Mehrkens von der Elektrowerkstatt danke ich für das Programm zur Datenaufzeichnung an der Pilotanlage. Der Firma Farmatic danke ich für die Informationen zur Biogasanlage Albersdorf. Bei den Betreibern der Biogasanlage Albersdorf, vor Allem bei Herrn Thiessen, bedanke ich mich recht herzlich für die Möglichkeit der Probennahmen an der Biogasanlage, der Beantwortung unserer Fragen und für die Möglichkeit, die Pilotanlage dort betreiben zu dürfen. Tibor Konrad danke ich für die Unterstützung an der Biogasanlage Bargfeld-Stegen. Wir hat-

ten immer eine schöne Zeit vor Ort.

Auch allen weiteren Biogasanlagenbetreibern, bei denen ich während meines Projektes Biogasproben ziehen durfte, danke ich recht herzlich.

Ich bedanke mich sehr bei allen Studentinnen und Studenten, die ihre Studien-, Diplom-, Bachelor- oder Masterarbeiten in meinem Projekt geschrieben haben. Mit euren Ergebnissen habt ihr meine Arbeit sehr unterstützt. Diese wäre in diesem Rahmen sonst nicht möglich gewesen. Besonders bedanke ich mich bei Jesse und Meryem für die langjährige Hilfe im Rahmen ihrer Hiwi-Tätigkeit. Cai Lin und Yoke Pean danke ich für das Korrekturlesen.

Ich danke meinen Eltern, meinem Bruder, Oma Helga, Oma Christel und Opa Heinz für das immer wieder Nachfragen, wie weit ich bin, für die Unterstützung und das sowie das Vertrauen und dafür, dass ihr immer für mich da seid. Uwe und Angela danke ich zudem für das Korrekturlesen.

Bei Mathias möchte ich mich sehr für die Unterstützung während der ganzen Promotion bedanken. Hierbei bedanke ich mich für die Arbeiten und die Hilfe an der Pilotanlage sowie bei Probennahmen an diversen Anlagen, die Diskussionen zu meinen Versuchsvorhaben, das Korrekturlesen und der Ablenkung von der Arbeit. Danke, dass du die ganze Zeit für mich da warst und bist!

### Abstract

Biogas must be desulphurised before use to avoid corrosion and toxic concentrations. If the requirements for reliable purification performance increase in clean gas, external processes would be increasingly adopted. As conversion to biomethane becomes more important, the application of desulphurisation will evolve because of increasingly stringent purity requirements. The aim of this thesis was to develop a complete bio-scrubber system with high efficiency, i.e. high absorption capacity, good and cheap regeneration, no production of any waste with recovery of useful by-products. Operation of such a plant must be simple, stable and incurs little cost.

A bio-scrubber system containing humic substances as solubilising additives was found to be a solution. The system consists of a scrubber with downstream biological regeneration.

The optimisation of hydrogen sulphide removal by humic substances and the regeneration of the washing liquid were analysed in the laboratory. Equilibrium as well as continuous experiments showed an increase of the solubility of hydrogen sulphide by addition of humic substances in the liquid phase. This result was approved with experiments at a pilot plant. All experiments showed that the use of humic substances as solubility agents in the biogas treatment is effective.

Information about the biodegradation capacity of the sulphur bacteria as well as the influence of additives on the activity of sulphur bacteria was determined. A slight inhibition effect of humic substances on the activity of sulphur bacteria was observed. Nevertheless, the washing liquid can be regenerated by biological means. The optimum concentration of humic substances for a bio-scrubber system was determined at 2 - 4 wt-%.

As humic substances are a natural product their use in this process has clear advantages over the use of artificial solubilisers in optimising this treatment. In addition, humic substances improve the soil activity and can be used as agricultural fertiliser. This use of a by-product instead of removing it as waste is an advantage of the system, especially in comparison with chemical processes.

The costs of such a humic substances containing bio-scrubber-system are higher than for internal desulphurisation processes, but for plants with high gas flow rates or high hydrogen sulphide concentrations, a bio-scrubber system is recommended. This is especially for the conversion to bio-methane. Such a desulphurisation step is interesting because it has the advantage of the biogas not being diluted by air.

A model of the bio-scrubber system was developed. Different scenarios could be evaluated with the simulation of the absorption column using humic substances in the washing liquid.

In conclusion, biogas can be desulphurised efficiently and in an environmentally-friendly means by the addition of humic substances. The application of this bio-scrubber system in other industrial applications should be investigated in practice. For example, the removal of sulphur dioxide is one option.

#### Zusammenfassung

Entschwefelung von Biogas ist notwendig, um Korrosion sowie giftige Konzentrationen zu vermeiden. Wenn die Anforderungen an eine zuverlässige Reinigung im Reingas steigen, werden externe Verfahren bevorzugt. Da die Aufbereitung zu Biomethan fortschreitet, werden diese Reinigungsanforderungen an den Entschwefelungsprozess ebenfalls ansteigen. Das Ziel dieser Arbeit war es, ein komplettes Bio-Wäscher-System zu erhalten. Dieses soll sich durch hohe Effizienz, wie hohe Absorptionsfähigkeit, gute und einfache Regeneration sowie keinerlei Abfallproduktion auszeichnen. Das Betreiben dieses Prozesses soll einfach, zuverlässig und kostengünstig sein.

Die Lösung wurde mit einem Bio-Wäscher-System gefunden, welches Huminstoffe als Löslichkeitsvermittler einsetzt. Dieses System besteht aus einem Wäscher und einer nachgeschalteten biologischen Regeneration.

Mithilfe von Laborversuchen wurde die Verbesserung der Schwefelwasserstoffentfernung durch Huminstoffe sowie die Regeneration der Waschflüssigkeit durch Schwefelbakterien analysiert.

Durch Gleichgewichtsversuche sowie kontinuierlichen Laborversuchen wurde die Erhöhung der Löslichkeit von Schwefelwasserstoff durch die Zugabe von Huminstoffen in die Flüssigphase festgestellt. Dieses Ergebnis bestätigte sich auch durch Versuche an einer Pilotanlage. All diese Versuche zeigten, dass der Einsatz von Huminstoffen zur Schwefelwasserstoffentfernung sehr effektiv ist.

Des Weiteren wurden Informationen zum biologischen Abbau von Schwefelwasserstoff durch Schwefelbakterien sowie der Einfluss von Additiven auf die Aktivität der Bakterien im Labor bestimmt. Es wurde eine leichte Hemmwirkung der Huminstoffe auf die Schwefelbakterien festgestellt, jedoch ist eine biologische Regeneration trotzdem möglich.

Daraufhin wurde die optimale Huminstoffkonzentration in einem Bio-Wäscher-System von 2 bis 4 Gew.-% bestimmt.

Aufgrund ihres natürlichen Ursprungs haben die Huminstoffe Vorteile gegenüber künstlichen Additiven. Sie wirken als Bodenverbesserer und können somit zur Düngung eingesetzt werden. Die Nutzung dieses Nebenproduktes hat vor Allem gegenüber chemischen Verfahren Vorteile.

Die Kosten für ein externes Bio-Wäscher-System sind höher als bei internen Entschwefelungsprozessen. Dennoch ist es für Anlagen mit hohen Gasdurchsätzen oder hohen Schwefelwasserstoffkonzentrationen empfehlenswert. Vor Allem für die Entschwefelung bei Anlagen zur Herstellung von Biomethan ist dieses System interessant, da das Biogas nicht mit Luft verdünnt wird.

Es wurde ein Modell des Bio-Wäscher-Systems entwickelt. Durch die Simulation einer Absorptionskolonne unter Einsatz von Huminstoffen in der Waschflüssigkeit konnten unterschiedliche Szenarien bewertet werden.

Abschließend kann man sagen, dass Biogas effizient und umweltfreundlich durch den Einsatz von Huminstoffen entschwefelt werden kann. Dieses Bio-Wäscher-System ist auch für andere industrielle Anwendungen, z.B. zur Entfernung von Schwefeldioxid, interessant.

### Résumé

La désulfuration du biogaz est nécessaire pour éviter la corrosion et déconcentrer les toxiques. Si l'exigence pour une purification fiable augmente, les procédés extérieurs seraient plus adaptés. La conversion au biomethane deviendra plus importante et ainsi les besoins en désulfuration changera à direction d'une meilleure performance de purification. L'objectif de cet ouvrage est d'obtenir un système de bio-laveur avec une efficacité élevée, c'est-à-dire une capacité d'absorption élevée, une régénération possible et bon marché sans production de déchets. L'opération de cette unité doit être simple, fiable et économique.

Le système de bio-laveur avec humines comme additif de solubilité est une option. Ce système est composé d'un laveur et d'une régénération biologique connectée en aval.

L'optimisation de l'absorption du sulfure d'hydrogène sur humines et la régénération des eaux de lavage étaient analysés dans le laboratoire. Par des essais d'équilibre et continues, l'augmentation de la solubilité du sulfure d'hydrogène par l'addition des humines dans la phase liquide est constatée. Ce résultat est confirmé par des essais à une installation pilote. Le résultat des essais de laboratoires indiquent que l'usage des humines pour la purification de biogaz est effectif. L'information de désassimilation des bactéries sulfurées et l'influence des additifs ont aussi été déterminées. Une inhibition faible des humines sur l'activité des bactéries sulfurées ont été déterminée cependant une régénération biologique est possible. La concentration des humines optimales a été déterminée et doit être comprise entre 2 et 4 %massique.

Les humines sont naturelles, elles ont un avantage face à des additifs artificiels. Elles améliorent l'activité du sol et par conséquent elles pourraient être utilisées comme engrais. De plus ce produit secondaire est un avantage par rapport aux procédés chimiques.

Les coûts pour ce système de bio-laveur avec humines sont plus élevés que pour des procédés internes. Mais pour des installations avec des flux de gaz élevés ou des concentrations en sulfure d'hydrogène élevées, il est aussi recommandé. Dans le cadre d'une conversion au biomethane, ce système permet de ne pas diluer le gaz avec de l'air.

Un modèle de ce système de bio-laveur avec humines est développé. Plusieurs scénarios pouvaient être évalués avec la simulation d'une colonne d'absorption.

En résumé, le biogaz peut être désulfuré efficacement et écologiquement avec une addition d'humines. L'application de ce système de bio-laveur à d'autres procédés industriels pourrait être étudiée. Une option pourrait être la purification en dioxyde de soufre.

### Table of contents

1.	Objective	.1
2.	Introduction	.2
3.	Background and Basics	.2
3.1.	Biogas	2
3.1.1.	Production of biogas	3
3.1.2.	Desulphurisation	5
3.2.	Absorption	8
3.2.1.	Upgrade of solubility of H <sub>2</sub> S	9
3.3.	Humic substances	11
3.4.	Biological sulphide oxidation	13
3.4.1.	Thiobazillus	14
3.4.2.	Degradation kinetics	15
4.	Upgrade of the scrubber	17
4.1.	Materials and methods	17
4.1.1.	Equilibrium experiments	17
4.1.2.	Continuous experiments	20
4.2.	Results	21
4.2.1.	Test of solubilisers	21
4.2.2.	Influence of different parameters	23
4.2.3.	Analysis of washing liquid	26
4.2.4.	Influence on other biogas components	28
4.3.	Discussion and conclusion	29
4.3.1.	Influence of humates on removal rates	29
4.3.2.	Interaction between humates and $H_2S$	30
5.	Regeneration	32
5.1.	Materials and methods	32
5.1.1.	Experimental set-ups	32
5.1.2.	Analytics	35
5.1.3.	Series of experiments	37
5.2.	Results and Discussion	39
5.2.1.	Determination of kinetics	39
5.2.2.	Influence of parameters	40
5.2.3.	Compatibility with solubilisers	42
5.2.4.		43
6.	By-products and waste streams	<b>1</b> /
6.1.	Liquid outflow as fertiliser	47
6.1.1.	Experimental set-up	47
6.1.2.	Analysis	49
6.1.3.	Results and Discussion	51
6.2.	Exhaust air	56

7.	Mathematical modelling and simulation	
7.1.	Modelling of scrubber	
7.1.1.	Solubility of gas	
7.1.2.	Mass balance	
7.1.3.	Reaction equilibrium	
7.1.4.	Energy balance	
7.1.5.	Reactions with Humin-P	61
7.2.	Simulation of scrubber	
7.3.	Modelling of bioreactor	
7.3.1.	Reactions with Humin-P	75
7.4.	Simulation of bioreactor	
7.5.	Modelling of the bio-scrubber system	
8.	Evaluation of costs	
8.1.	Evaluation of investment costs	
8.2.	Evaluation of operating costs	
8.3.	Comparison of costs with other processes	
9.	Application in practice	
9.1.	Experiences at pilot plant	
9.2.	Application at biogas plants	
9.2.1.	Case Study: Albersdorf	
9.2.2.	Case Study: Bargfeld-Stegen	
9.2.3.	Conversion into biomethane	
9.3.	Other fields of application	
10.	Conclusion and outlook	
Refe	rences	
Anno	ех	

## List of figures

Figure 1: Flow scheme of a two-stage bio-scrubber system	1
Figure 2: Production of H <sub>2</sub> S during anaerobic fermentation	4
Figure 3: Concentration profile according to the two film theory	9
Figure 4: Photo of potassium humate and the molecular structure of humic acid	12
Figure 5: Microscopic image of Thiobazilli (DAPI colouring)	14
Figure 6: Procedure of equilibrium experiments	17
Figure 7: Gas bags for analysing with the Data Logger OdaLog	18
Figure 8: Sample vials for analysing with the mass spectrometer and mass spectrometer	18
Figure 9: Schematic flow diagram and photo of continuous set-up	20
Figure 10: Comparison of different washing liquids	21
Figure 11: Reduction of H <sub>2</sub> S with varying wash volumes	22
Figure 12: Influence of Humin-P concentration on the removal of H <sub>2</sub> S	24
Figure 13: Effect of temperature on the removal of H <sub>2</sub> S during the continuous experiment	25
Figure 14: Effect of pH-value on the removal of H <sub>2</sub> S during the continuous experiment	25
Figure 15: Effect of Humin-P on the removal of H <sub>2</sub> S during the continuous experiment	26
Figure 16: Results of LC-OCD analysis	27
Figure 17: Reactions of $H_2S$ with humate solution	30
Figure 18: Schematic flow diagram and photo of laboratory B Braun bioreactor	33
Figure 19: Schematic flow diagram and photo of glass bottles as simple batch reactors	33
Figure 20: Schematic flow diagram and photo of fixed bed reactors	34
Figure 21: Photo of different samples after centrifugation	35
Figure 22: Set-up of oxygen consumption experiment	36
Figure 23: Schematic flow diagram and photo of laboratory bioscrubber set-up	38
Figure 24: Determined Monod kinetics	39
Figure 25: Comparison of experimental data with determined kinetic	40
Figure 26: Elemental sulphur concentrations in bioreactor 1 and 2	41
Figure 27: Sulphur concentrations with addition of Humin-P and Sulfa-Clear	42
Figure 28: OUR of Thiobazilli and its relationship with Humin-P concentration	43
Figure 29: Comparison of sedimentation with and without humates	44
Figure 30: Time of saturation of washing liquids	45
Figure 31: Outlet H <sub>2</sub> S concentrations in laboratory bioscrubber	46
Figure 32: Left: Photo of ungerminated seed. right: Photo of germinated seed with their	-
coleoptiles	50
Figure 33: Average fresh and dry weight of maize	51
Figure 34: Average plant height of maize (until first knot)	52
Figure 35: Photo of maize plants under each treatment	53
Figure 36: Photos of rape plants under different treatments	53
Figure 37: Average values of germination indices	54
Figure 38: Average number of germinated maize seeds	55
Figure 39: Comparison of treated and untreated maize seed (left: pure, middle: 0.01% Humi	in-
P, right: comparison)	56
Figure 40: Scheme of scrubber in Dymola.	59
Figure 41: Results of simulating the scrubber from the pilot plant	63
Figure 42: Comparison of the data from the simulation with the data from the pilot plant	64
Figure 43: Dependence of temperature on clean gas concentration	65
Figure 44: Dependence of temperature on clean gas concentration: Variation of water flow.	66
Figure 45: Relationship between trays and clean gas concentration	67
Figure 46: Variation of gas flow	68
Figure 47: Variation of water flow	68

Figure 48: Influence of raw gas concentrations and Humin-P on clean gas concentrations	69
Figure 49: Dependence of humate concentration on clean gas concentration	70
Figure 50: Scheme of bioreactor in Dymola	75
Figure 51: Comparison of results from the simulation with the long-term batch bioreactor	
experiments	76
Figure 52: Comparison of results from the simulation with the long-term batch bioreactor	
experiments (2 wt-% Humin-P)	77
Figure 53: Simulation of the change in biomass in the batch bioreactor with different	
concentrations of Humin-P	78
Figure 54: Scheme of bio-scrubber system in Dymola	79
Figure 55: Pilot plant in Albersdorf	87
Figure 56: Photos of biofilm formation of sulphur bacteria on sight glass of bioreactor of p	oilot
plant	89
Figure 57: The biogas plant Albersdorf	91
Figure 58: Biogas plant Bargfeld-Stegen	92

### List of tables

Table 1: Components of biogas	2
Table 2: Service life of lubricating oils and ignition plugs in relation to the H <sub>2</sub> S concentra	ation
	5
Table 3: Requirements for biogas desulphurisation	5
Table 4: Overview of desulphurisation methods	5
Table 5: Advantages and disadvantages of desulphurisation processes	7
Table 6: Characteristics of the Sulfa-Clear	10
Table 7: Absorption processes for the removal of H <sub>2</sub> S and CO <sub>2</sub>	11
Table 8: Characteristics of the potassium humate Humin-P	12
Table 9: Overview of characteristics of sulphur bacteria	15
Table 10: Overview analyses - washing liquid	18
Table 11: Parameters tested	19
Table 12: Overview of additives analysed	19
Table 13: Overview of the results of the equilibrium experiments with humate solution	23
Table 14: Difference in parameters before and after contact with biogas	26
Table 15: Overview of treatments in maize experiments	48
Table 16: Overview analyses - plant experiments	49
Table 17: Overview of constants used in the model of the scrubber	62
Table 18: Overview of constants used in the model of the bioreactor	73
Table 19: Matrix of reactions in bioreactor	74
Table 20: Overview of constants used in the model of the bio-scrubber system	82
Table 21: Overview of investment and operating cost	83
Table 22: Overview of investment costs	83
Table 23: Investment costs for humate-process	84
Table 24: Operating costs for humate-process	85
Table 25: Cost comparison of external biological processes	86
Table 26: Cost comparisons of other desulphurisation processes	86
Table 27: Parameters during test operation with humates in spring 2010	88
Table 28: Characteristics of the biogas plant Albersdorf	90
Table 29: Characteristics of the biogas plant in Bargfeld-Stegen	92
Table 30: Characteristics of biogas plant	93
Table 31: Overview of sulphur-containing gas compounds	94
Table 32: Overview of H <sub>2</sub> S in different gases	94

### List of abbreviations

Symbol	Definition	Dimension
Abs	Absorption	
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance	
b	Decay coefficient	h <sup>-1</sup>
b	Equilibrium constant for linear equilibrium	
В	Biomass	
BTEX	Acronym for Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene and Xylenes	
с	Concentration	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>
СНР	Combined Heat and Power plant	
DAPI	Fluorescent strain ( $C_{16}H_{15}N_5$ )	
DAE	Differential-Algebraic Equation	
DIN	Deutsches Institut für Normung (= German Institute for Stan-	
	dardization	
DOC	Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>
EEG	Erneuerbare Energien Gesetz (= German Renewable Energy	
	Sources Act)	
EN	European Norm	
f	Function	
F	Ratio of "variation due to treatment" and "variation due to error"	
FISH	Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization	
G	Vapour, Gas flow rate	mol·h <sup>-1</sup>
GI	Germination index	%
h	Enthalpy	J·mol <sup>-1</sup>
Н	Henry coefficient Pa	
HA	Humic acids	
НЕТР	Height Equivalent to one Theoretical Plate	m
HTU	Height of one Transfer Unit	m
k	Kinetic factor	
Κ	Dissociation constant	$mol \cdot (m^3)^{-1}$
K <sub>m</sub>	Michaelis-Menten constant (= substrate concentration of	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>
	half maximal growth rate)	
KS <sub>4,3</sub>	Buffer capacity	mmol·l <sup>-1</sup>

К-НА	Potassium humate	
k <sub>L</sub> a	Gas liquid mass transfer coefficient	$h^{-1}$
L	Liquid flow rate	mol·h <sup>-1</sup>
LC-OCD	Liquid Chromatography - Organic Carbon Detection	
m	Local slope of equilibrium curve $(y = m \cdot x + b)$	
М	Molecular weight	g·mol <sup>-1</sup>
n	Number of seeds germinated	
n	Stirrer rotation	rpm
NPK	Acronym for Nitrogen, Phosphorous and Potassium	
NTU	Number of Transfer Units	
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit	
OEL	Occupational Exposure Limit	
OC	Oxygen Consumption	mg·l <sup>-1</sup> ·h <sup>-1</sup>
OUR	Oxygen Uptake Rate	mg·l <sup>-1</sup> ·h <sup>-1</sup>
ORC	Organic Rankine Cycle	_
р	Value for the statistical significance, exceedance probability	
Р	Total pressure	bar
Р	Product	
PID	Proportional-Integral-Derivative	
PLC	Programmable Logic Control	
Ppm	Parts per million	ml·m <sup>-3</sup>
Q	Volume flow	l·h <sup>-1</sup> ; ml·min <sup>-1</sup>
r	Reaction rate	mg·l <sup>-1</sup> ·h <sup>-1</sup>
R	Gas constant = 8.31447215	J·mol <sup>-1</sup> ·K <sup>-1</sup>
R	Regeneration factor	
RSG	Relative Seed Germination	%
RRE	Relative Root Elongation	%
S	Substrate	
SS	Steady State	
t	Time	h
Т	Temperature	°C ; K
TOC	Total Organic Carbon	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>
TN	Total Carbon	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>
UV	Ultraviolet	1
1		1

V	Volume	l·h <sup>-1</sup>
V	Volume flow	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>
у	Gas concentration	mol·mol <sup>-1</sup>
Y	Gas loading	$g_{Pr} \cdot g_S^{-1}$
Y	Yield coefficient	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>
х	Liquid concentration	mol·mol <sup>-1</sup>
Х	Liquid loading [mol/mol]	
Greek char	acters	
α	Temperature coefficient	
Δ	Delta, difference	
γ	Activity coefficient	
3	Porosity	
η	Degree of reduction	%
λ	Stoichiometric oxygen sulphide relation	
μ	Specific growth rate	h <sup>-1</sup>
φ	Process rate	$mg \cdot l^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}$
φ	Fugacity coefficient	
Indices		
*	Equilibrium condition, saturated	
Abs	Absorption	
aq	Aqueous	
avail	Available	
В	Biomass	
В	Bottom	
С	Control	
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand	
cons	Consumed	
eq	Equilibrium	
G	Gas	
g	Gaseous	
HP	Potassium humate Humin-P	
i	Index	
Ι	Inhibition	
L	Liquid	

Max	Maxi	Maximum		
n	Numl	Number of seed germinated		
0	Overa	Overall (based on the overall driving force)		
opt	Optin	num		
Ox	Oxida	ation		
Р	Produ	ict		
Pr	Prote	ins		
R	React	ion		
Red	Redu	ction		
Ref	Refer	ence		
rl	Root	length		
S	Solid			
S	Substrate			
S	Sulphur			
sol	Solution			
Т	Тор			
Т	Test			
Chemical for	ormula	<u>1</u>		
С		Carbon		
		Mathana		
CH <sub>4</sub>		Methane		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S		Methyl mercaptan		
CH4 CH4S C2H6S		Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide)		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S <sub>2</sub>		Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide) DMDS (dimethyl disulfide)		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> OS		Methane Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide) DMDS (dimethyl disulfide) DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide)		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> OS C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO		Methane Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide) DMDS (dimethyl disulfide) DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) MEA (monoethanolamine)		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> OS C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>		Methane Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide) DMDS (dimethyl disulfide) DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) MEA (monoethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine)		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> OS C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> N(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	OH)2	Methale Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide) DMDS (dimethyl disulfide) DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) MEA (monoethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) MDEA (methyl diethanolamine)		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> OS C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> N(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	OH)2	Methane Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide) DMDS (dimethyl disulfide) DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) MEA (monoethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) MDEA (methyl diethanolamine) TEA (triethanolamine)		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> OS C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> N(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>5</sub>	OH)2	Methale Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide) DMDS (dimethyl disulfide) DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) MEA (monoethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) TEA (triethanolamine) TEA (triethanolamine)		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> OS C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> N(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>5</sub> CO <sub>2</sub>	OH)2	Methane Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide) DMDS (dimethyl disulfide) DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) MEA (monoethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) TEA (triethanolamine) TEA (triethanolamine) Carbon dioxide		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> OS C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> N(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>5</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	OH)2	Methane Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide) DMDS (dimethyl disulfide) DMSO (dimethyl disulfide) MEA (monoethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) TEA (triethanolamine) TEA (triethanolamine) DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) Carbon dioxide Carbonate		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> OS C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> N(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>5</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> COS	OH)2	Methale Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide) DMDS (dimethyl disulfide) DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) MEA (monoethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) TEA (triethanolamine) TEA (triethanolamine) DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) Carbon dioxide Carbonate		
CH <sub>4</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S <sub>2</sub> C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> OS C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>11</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> N(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO <sub>3</sub> C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>15</sub> N <sub>5</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> COS CS <sub>2</sub>	OH)2	Methale Methyl mercaptan DMS (dimethyl sulphide) DMDS (dimethyl disulfide) DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) MEA (monoethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) DEA (diethanolamine) TEA (triethanolamine) TEA (triethanolamine) DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) Carbon dioxide Carbonate Carbonate		

FeCl <sub>3</sub>	Ferric chloride, Iron (III) chloride
FeS	Iron sulphide
Fe <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>	Iron (III) sulphide
H (H <sub>2</sub> )	Hydrogen
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Hydrogen carbonate
$H_2CO_3$	Carbonic acid
HCl	Hydrogen chloride
H <sub>2</sub> O	Water
HS <sup>-</sup>	Sulphide
$H_2S$	Hydrogen sulphide
$H_2SO_3$	Sulphurous acid
$H_2SO_4$	Sulphuric acid
К	Potassium
N (N <sub>2</sub> )	Nitrogen
Na	Sodium
Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	Sodium carbonate
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
Na <sub>2</sub> S	Sodium sulphide
$Na_2SO_4$	Sodium sulphate
$Na_2S_2O_3$	Sodium thiosulphate
NH <sub>3</sub>	Ammonia
NH <sub>4</sub>	Ammonium
$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	Ammonium sulphate
O (O <sub>2</sub> )	Oxygen
OH-	Hydroxide
Р	Phosphorous
S	Sulphur
SCN <sup>-</sup>	Thiocyanate
$SO_2$	Sulphur dioxide
$SO_3$	Sulphur trioxide
$SO_{3}^{2}$	Sulphite
SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	Sulphate
$S_2O_3^{2-}$	Thiosulphate
$S_4 O_6^{2-}$	Tetrathionate

### 1. Objective

Biogas production is gaining popularity in Germany. Today, there are more than 6,000 biogas plants installed in Germany [1]. In other countries like Sweden or China, biogas production has increased significantly. Before using the biogas the contained hydrogen sulphide ( $H_2S$ ) has to be removed in order to avoid corrosive and toxic effects.

The objective of this thesis is to optimise the desulphurisation of biogas. A biological desulphurisation process is chosen, because biological processes are low-cost. The idea is to upgrade their efficiency to achieve better  $H_2S$  removal. A two-stage bio-scrubber system was selected. This system's advantages include a high purification capacity and no air dilution (see chapter 3.1.2). The disadvantages of such a system are that complex instruments are required, resulting in higher investment costs. Improving this system should result in lower costs and a stable and efficient  $H_2S$  removal. The optimisation of this process will be investigated in two ways: on the one hand by adding solubility agents and on the other hand by the variation and optimisation of process parameters (e.g. pH-value, oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) concentration). Figure 1 shows a flow diagram scheme of an improved bio-scrubber system. It contains a scrubber and downstream biological regeneration.  $H_2S$  is absorbed in the counter-current scrubber and the loaded washing liquid is regenerated by sulphur bacteria in the downstream bioreactor.



Figure 1: Flow scheme of a two-stage bio-scrubber system

The aim is to develop a complete bio-scrubber system with high efficiency, i.e. high absorption capacity, good and cheap regeneration and no waste production coupled with the gain of useful by-products. Operating such a plant should be easy, stable and of low cost.

By modelling the process the efficiency can be tested for different plants. This is investigated in different case studies. The cost and efficiency of the system is compared to other biological desulphurisation processes as well as chemical and physical desulphurisation processes.

## 2. Introduction

This introduction provides an outline of the chapters covered in this thesis. First, an overview of the basics of biogas and state of the art of the research topic is presented. The experimental chapters are divided into three parts, in which different sections of the bio-scrubber system are dealt with in separate chapters. The upgrade of the scrubber is described, analysed and evaluated in chapter 4. This chapter deals with optimising the removal of H<sub>2</sub>S. Chapter 5 examines the regeneration of washing liquid. Information about the biodegradation capacity of sulphur bacteria as well as the influence of additives is also analysed. By-products, waste streams and exhaust air is detailed in chapter 6. This chapter mainly describes the possible use of washing liquid as agricultural fertiliser. Chapter 7 illustrates the modelling and simulation of the system and their individual components respectively. Costs are evaluated in chapter 8 and the practical application of the optimised bio-scrubber system is described in chapter 9 with some case studies. The thesis concludes with a summary of the results obtained and an outlook on further research options and applications.

## 3. Background and Basics

### 3.1. Biogas

Biogas is a renewable energy source. The produced biogas can be easily used for the generation of electricity and heat. Table 1 shows the components of biogas and their typical concentrations.

Component	Concentration
Methane (CH <sub>4</sub> )	50 - 75 %
Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	25 - 50 %
Oxygen (O <sub>2</sub> )	< 2 %
Nitrogen (N <sub>2</sub> )	0 - 5 %
Water vapour (H <sub>2</sub> O)	1 - 5 %
Hydrogen sulphide (H <sub>2</sub> S)	0 - 5000 ppm
Ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> )	0 - 500 ppm

Table 1: Components of biogas [2]

The number of biogas plants has increased significantly in recent years. In Germany there were more than 4,000 biogas plants installed at the end of 2009. The main reasons for this are the amendments to the German Renewable Energy Sources Act (EEG) in 2004 and 2009. Now, there are more than 6,000 biogas plants. [1]

Biogas production has also increased in other countries, for example in China, which has the highest consumption of biogas in the world [3]. Electricity production worldwide from biogas was about 35 Twh·a<sup>-1</sup> in 2009; the installed capacity was 7 GW. Specifically, the Europe 27 countries had an installed capacity of 5 GW with power generation of 25 Twh·a<sup>-1</sup>. [4]

In countries such as China, biogas is often produced in a biogas plant in the courtyard and directly used. China plans to have 20 % of rural households with biogas plants in their courtyard by 2020. In addition, some biogas plants with a capacity of 1 to 3 MW have been built and 90 projects with a plant capacity of over 1 MW are planned or under construction.[5] Due to its characteristics, biogas can be used in applications other than in a combined heat and power plant (CHP). For example, biogas can be used as fuel for motor vehicles, fed into the natural gas grid or used for new technologies, including fuel cells, gas turbines, ORC (Organic Rankine Cycle) and refrigeration.

### **3.1.1. Production of biogas**

Biogas is produced during the fermentation of organic matter by anaerobic microorganisms. After the disintegration of particulate biomass, the decomposition process is divided into four steps. Each step is carried out by different bacteria groups:

- Hydrolysis: Hydrolysing bacteria reconstruct high-molecular substances (protein, carbohydrates, fats, cellulose) by means of enzymes to low-molecular compounds like monosaccharides, amino acids, fatty acids and water.
- Acidogenesis: Fermentative bacteria produce carboxylic acids, alcohols and gases (e.g.: CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S).
- Acetogenesis: Acetogenic bacteria form the initial products for CH<sub>4</sub> formation from organic acids, namely acetic acid, CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>.
- Methanogenesis: Methanogenic bacteria form CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> in two parallel processes. 70 % of CH<sub>4</sub> is formed from acetic acid (decarboxylation) and 30 % from the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub>.

Sulphur enters the process through the input of protein-rich substrates such as catering waste and dry chicken dung. The formation of  $H_2S$  during anaerobic fermentation is primarily divided into two processes [6,7]:

- Desulphuration: Sulphides are released during the anaerobic decomposition of organic protein compounds by hydrolysis and by fermentative bacteria, which results in the formation of  $H_2S$ .
- Desulphurication: The main product in this dissimilatory sulphate reduction process is H<sub>2</sub>S. Sulphate reducing bacteria use sulphate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) as their terminal electron acceptor during the oxidation of organic compounds.

Figure 2 demonstrates the different steps of anaerobic fermentation as well as the production of  $H_2S$  during this process.



Figure 2: Production of H<sub>2</sub>S during anaerobic fermentation [7]

The main production of CH<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S occurs during methanogenesis. Elimination of H<sub>2</sub>Sproduction in this step also means an inhibition of the production of CH<sub>4</sub>. However, high concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>S (>50 mg·l<sup>-1</sup>) inhibit the formation of CH<sub>4</sub> as well [8]. Further information regarding the inhibition of CH<sub>4</sub> production by H<sub>2</sub>S is given in [9].

### **3.1.2. Desulphurisation**

 $H_2S$  and organic sulphur compounds have to be removed before using biogas.  $H_2S$  is a very toxic gas (OEL = 10 ppm) and smells of rotten eggs [9]. The characteristics of  $H_2S$  are described in detail in table A3 and A4 in the annex. Desulphurisation of biogas is needed to prevent corrosion and avoid toxic concentrations. High concentrations of  $H_2S$  in biogas cause problems during the incineration process. When biogas is burned, sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and sulphur trioxide (SO<sub>3</sub>) are emitted, causing more severe air pollution than  $H_2S$ . The sulphurous acid ( $H_2SO_3$ ) formed is highly corrosive. The acidified engine oil results in the need for frequent oil exchanges. Table 2 shows the service life of lubricating oils and ignition plugs in relation to  $H_2S$  concentrations. The oxidation catalyst for reducing the toxic content in exhaust gas reacts very sensitive to  $H_2S$  and decreases its efficiency. To convert biogas to biomethane several treatment processes also require an upstream desulphurisation step. The requirements on desulphurisation in relation to the recovery processes are shown in table 3. Biogas has typical  $H_2S$  concentrations of 1,000 to 3,000 ppm. There are different methods for the desulphurisation of biogas and an overview is given in table 4.

Concentration H <sub>2</sub> S in biogas	<b>Operational restrictions</b>	Service life of lubricating oils and ignitions plugs
< 250 ppm	Optimum / unrestricted	800 - 1 000 operating hours
250 - 450 ppm	Increased maintenance	400 - 500 operating hours
> 450 ppm	High maintenance	Max. 300 operating hours

 Table 2: Service life of lubricating oils and ignition plugs in relation to the H<sub>2</sub>S concentration [10]

Process	Maximum H <sub>2</sub> S concentration		
Gas combustion engine	200 - 250 ppm		
Fuel	< 3.5 ppm		
Pressure swing adsorption (CarboTech)	< 3.5 ppm		
Pressure water scrubbing (Malmberg, Flotech)	500 - 2 000 ppm		
Genosorb®-scrubbing (HAASE Energietechnik)	100 ppm		
Amine scrubbing (MT Energie)	< 3.5 ppm		
Fuel Cell	< 1 - 10 ppm		

 Table 3: Requirements for biogas desulphurisation [2,11]

Table 4: Overview of desulphurisation method	s [2]	I
--	-------	---

Process	Separation Effect
Biological Oxidation	Microbial oxidation of $H_2S$ with formation of S, $SO_3^{2-}$ , $SO4^{2-}$
Adsorption	Adsorption of H <sub>2</sub> S at molecular sieves or activated carbon
Chemical Adsorption	Chemical reaction of H <sub>2</sub> S with iron oxide
Chemical Precipitation	Precipitation of H <sub>2</sub> S as Fe <sub>2</sub> S <sub>3</sub>
Chemical Absorption	Chemical reaction of H <sub>2</sub> S with NaOH
Separation by membranes	Permeability of $H_2S$ higher than $CH_4$ and $CO_2$

Separation by biological means is a possible low-cost alternative.  $H_2S$  is transformed to an energy source during the metabolism of sulphur bacteria. Thiobacilli can then oxidise it to elemental sulphur (S) or  $SO_4^{2^-}$ . In general, there are three different biological desulphurisation processes: internal biological desulphurisation, single-stage external biological desulphurisation and double-stage biological desulphurisation. Internal desulphurisation occurs during direct air injection into the fermenter where microbial oxidation takes place. Single-stage external desulphurisation happens mostly in a downstream trickling filter. In this counter current column the  $H_2S$  is absorbed in the washing liquid. Sulphur bacteria living on the packing material regenerate the washing liquid and form  $SO_4^{2^-}$ . The conditions of this process are often acidic (about pH 1.5) because of the formation of  $SO_4^{2^-}$  and sulphuric acid ( $H_2SO_4$ ).

The double-stage bio-scrubber system contains a scrubber and downstream biological regeneration (see figure 1).  $H_2S$  is absorbed in a counter-current scrubber and the loaded washing liquid is regenerated by sulphur bacteria in the downstream bioreactor. Due to the aeration of the separately connected bioreactor, dilution of biogas is avoided and therefore also the reduction of the calorific value of the biogas.

The majority of biogas plants employ biological treatment, mostly using internal desulphurisation with air injection directly in the fermenter. Double-stage bio-scrubber systems are rarely selected. [12]

An example of a double-stage bio-scrubber system is the THIOPAQ® scrubber technology from the company Paques. THIOPAQ® is a biotechnological process which removes  $H_2S$  from gaseous streams and the microbial production of elemental sulphur from the absorbed sulphide. In 1991 the first THIOPAQ® installation for the removal of  $H_2S$  from biogas started operation. The THIOPAQ® process has a  $H_2S$  removal efficiency of 99.99 %. The gained elemental sulphur can be re-used for the production of  $H_2SO_4$ ,  $H_2S$  and for agricultural applications. [13]

Table 5 demonstrates the advantages and disadvantages of the different biological desulphurisation processes as well as those of physical and chemical desulphurisation processes.

Desulphurisation	Advantages	Disadvantages		
process	Tuvantages	Disadvantages		
Internal	<ul><li>Low effort</li><li>Low costs</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Unstable desulphurisation</li> <li>Dilution of biogas resulting in a reduction of its calorific value</li> <li>Explosion risk at incorrect air supply</li> <li>Risk of corrosion in fermenter</li> </ul>		
External, single-stage	<ul> <li>High purification capacity</li> <li>No corrosion problems in fermenter</li> <li>Reliable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Dilution of biogas resulting in a re- duction of its calorific value</li> <li>Clogging packed bed</li> <li>High cost</li> </ul>		
External, two-stage	<ul> <li>High purification capacity</li> <li>Low maintenance</li> <li>Reliable</li> <li>No dilution of biogas</li> <li>No clogging in scrubber</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Complex process with a lot of components resulting in higher investment cost</li> <li>Few practical experiences</li> </ul>		
Internal chemical desulphurisation (iron salts, iron hydroxide)	<ul> <li>Low investment costs</li> <li>No dilution of biogas</li> <li>Generally high purification capacity</li> </ul>	High operating costs		
External chemical desulphurisation (iron hydroxide, iron chelates)	<ul> <li>Reliable</li> <li>High purification capacity</li> <li>High H<sub>2</sub>S loadings</li> <li>No dilution of biogas (iron chelates)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>High costs</li> <li>Few practical experiences</li> <li>Residue disposal</li> <li>Dilution of biogas (iron hydroxide)</li> </ul>		
External acti- vated carbon fil- ters	<ul> <li>Very reliable</li> <li>High purification capacity</li> <li>Fine desulphurisation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Only for low H<sub>2</sub>S loadings efficient</li> <li>High costs</li> <li>Residue disposal</li> <li>Dilution of biogas</li> </ul>		

 Table 5: Advantages and disadvantages of desulphurisation processes [14], [15]

### **3.2. Absorption**

Absorption is a thermal separation process. During absorption one or more components of a gas stream are removed by being taken up in a non-volatile liquid.

Absorption can be physical or chemical. Physical and chemical scrubbing processes have different scrubbing mechanisms. In physical absorption the gas is removed because it has greater solubility in the solvent than other gases. There are no chemical reactions between the compound to be removed and the washing liquid. In chemical absorption the gas compound to be removed reacts with the solvent and remains in solution. In a chemical scrubbing process another substance is formed besides the washing liquid and the compound to be removed. Chemical scrubbers show better selectivity between various compounds while physical scrubbers can remove wide spectra of substances. [16]

Another difference is that a chemical scrubber reacts to the amount of the component to be removed and a physical scrubber reacts to the total gas amount. In addition, physical bonds are reversible while in chemical processes there are at least some irreversible by-products. [17]

The limit between physical absorption and absorption by chemical reaction often cannot be distinguished.

The basic equations to describe the process of absorption are (see chapter 7.1):

- Mass balance
- Energy balance
- Phase equilibrium equations

The general mass balance of a counter current absorber is stated in equation 3.1. Here,  $x_{H2S}$  is the concentration of  $H_2S$  in the liquid phase and  $y_{H2S}$  is the concentration of  $H_2S$  in the gas phase. L is the liquid flow and G is the gas flow. The indices T and B refer to top and bottom of the column respectively.

$$L_T \cdot x_{H_2S,T} + G_B \cdot y_{H_2S,B} = G_T \cdot y_{H_2S,T} + L_B \cdot x_{H_2S,B}$$
(3.1)

The energy balances consider enthalpy and heat balances. The stationary enthalpy balance without heat losses is as indicated in equation 3.2. In the equation,  $h_i$  refer to the enthalpies of the gas (G) and the liquid (L).

$$L_T \cdot h_{L,T} - G_T \cdot h_{G,T} - L_B \cdot h_{L,B} + G_B \cdot h_{G,B} = 0$$
(3.2)

Phase equilibrium describes the solubility of gases (y) in liquids (x) and can be calculated using Henry's Law.

$$X_i \cdot \gamma_i^* \cdot H_i = Y_i \cdot \varphi_i \cdot p \tag{3.3}$$

The activity coefficient  $\gamma_i^*$  can be set to one for low mol fractions and the fugacity coefficient  $\varphi_i$  can be neglected for low pressures p ( $\varphi_i = 1$ ). [18]

This means that the solubility of a gas in a liquid can be described mathematically according to Henry's Law as follows.

$$H_i = \frac{Y_i}{X_i} \cdot p \tag{3.4}$$

The Henry coefficient H is a common parameter to characterise the absorption capacity of a substance. Theoretically, the Henry coefficient of  $H_2S$  in water at a temperature of 25 °C is 560 bar [19].

The absorption of a gas in a liquid is based on mass transfer with the difference in concentration as the driving force. The concentration gradient describes an imbalance of phase equilibrium which results in mass transfer within and between the phases and through phase boundaries. The mass transfer depends mainly on the specific mass transfer coefficient. Figure 3 presents the idealised concentration profile of a substance vertically towards the phase boundary according to the two film theory. An increase of the phase boundary between liquid and gas increases the mass transfer.



Figure 3: Concentration profile according to the two film theory

For describing the processes during absorption there are different methods, for example the method of theoretical stages (HETP method) and the method of transfer units (HTU-NTU concept) (see chapter 7.1).

### **3.2.1.** Upgrade of solubility of H<sub>2</sub>S

Improving the efficiency of gas scrubbers can be achieved by using adapted washing liquids. These washing liquids must satisfy certain requirements to ensure a safe, economic and environmentally-friendly absorption process. For application in biological desulphurisation, these solubilisers should offer, amongst others, the following characteristics:

- High selectivity for H<sub>2</sub>S
- Water-soluble
- Non-toxic
- Non- or hardly biologically degradable
- Non-volatile
- Available
- Cost-effective

In the following paragraphs, possible solubilisers as well as known washing solutions are presented. Water is the most important solvent in physical absorption because of its availability at low cost. Therefore the effectiveness of other solvents is always compared to water. [20] Absorptive desulphurisation with sodium hydroxide (NaOH) solution (pH of about 8.5) is an existing process for industrial desulphurisation [14]. The desulphurisation with amines is efficiently used in natural gas treatment, but for the desulphurisation of biogas, it is not economically feasible [15]. Alkanolamines are often used as absorbents for acidic gases. Triethanolamine (TEA) was used in early gas-treating plants and was the first commercially available alkanolamine. TEA has been replaced by monoethanolamine (MEA) and diethanolamine (DEA) which have proved to be of commercial interest. [20]

DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide) is a polar aprotic solvent. It is often used in chemistry and industry. DMSO is also applied in scrubbing  $H_2S$  from fuel gas. [21]

DMSO, TEA and MEA are used as comparative solvents in laboratory tests (see chapter 4). Furthermore, normal tap water, as well as alkaline and acidic water, is used for comparative purposes.

Sulfa-Clear<sup>TM</sup> 8640 is a water-soluble sulphide converter from the company Weatherford. This product also fulfils the criteria as a solubiliser for application in biological desulphurisation. It is a 60 - 65 % active aqueous amine resin solution containing surfactants, designed as a H<sub>2</sub>S scavenger for gas systems. Its application is mainly odour control in wastewater treatment. [22]

Sulfa-Clear<sup>TM</sup> 8640 has been proven to be a cost-effective alternative to other chemicals due to significantly lower treatment rates and better performance. The normal dosage required is 4 to 6 ppm per ppm of H<sub>2</sub>S. Sulfa-Clear is a reddish-amber liquid which can be injected directly into the gas or liquid stream. The products do not foul or contaminate downstream operations. [23] The characteristics of Sulfa-Clear<sup>TM</sup> 8640 are listed in table 6.

Trade name	Sulfa-Clear <sup>TM</sup> 8640	
Chemical characterisation	Aqueous amine solution	
Chemical state	Liquid	
Colour	Reddish-Amber	
pH-value	10.3 - 11.0	
Flash point	66 °C	
Pour Point	< -32 °C	
Density	1 072 kg⋅m <sup>-3</sup>	
Solubility in water	Soluble in water	
Activity	60 - 65 %	

 Table 6: Characteristics of the Sulfa-Clear [22]

Humic substances can also be used as solubiliser in this application. They are described in detail in chapter 3.3. Table 7 presents some processes for the removal of  $H_2S$  (and  $CO_2$ ) with industrially-adapted washing liquids.

Process	Washing liquid	Conditions	
MDEA-process	Methyldiethanolamine	p > 10 bar	
	10 – 25 % in water	T: 50 - 70 °C	
DEA-scrubbing	2n - 3n diethanolamine	p: 8 - 10 bar	
		T: 20 - 55 °C	
MEA-scrubbing	2.5n or 5n monoethanolamine	$p > p_{atm}$	
		T = 40 °C	
Genosorb <sup>®</sup> -scrubbing	Tetraethylenglykoldimethylether	p < 7 bar	
		T: 20 - 40 °C	
Selexol <sup>®</sup> -scrubbing	Polyethylenglykoldimethylether	p < 20 - 30 bar	
		T: 0 - 40 °C	
Rektisol-scrubbing	Methanol	p > 20 bar	
		T: -7010 °C	
Purisol-scrubbing	N-Methyl-2-pyrolidon	p > 20 bar	
		T: -20 - 40 °C	

 Table 7: Absorption processes for the removal of H<sub>2</sub>S and CO<sub>2</sub> [11]

### **3.3.** Humic substances

Humic substances are a group of organic compounds either formed during the degradation of plants or other organic matter or are produced by bacteria, fungi and protozoa in soil, sediments and water. They consist of very high molecular weight compounds with undefined structures. The elemental composition of humic substances is carbon (C), hydrogen (H), oxygen (O), nitrogen (N) and sulphur (S). These major elements are always present, regardless the origin of humic substances. According to their solubility in water they are divided into humin, humic acids and fulvic acids. Table A6 in the annex gives an overview of the main characteristics of humic substances.

Their major functional groups include carboxylic, phenolic, carbonyl, hydroxyl, amine, amide and aliphatic groups, among others. Humic acids are one of the most powerful chelating agents. Their zwitterionic character allows the interaction of anions with positively charged groups of humics and cations with negative charged groups of these substances. [24,25]

Due to a high number of donor sites humic substances form chemical reactions with a lot of natural and anthropogenic substances [26]. Humus-containing materials have already been utilised for sorbing gases. Their application includes, for example, the removal of waste gases from an animal-carcass rendering plant and the removal of  $H_2S$  and mercaptans from municipal gas supplies [24]. Furthermore, sodium humates can absorb SO<sub>2</sub> efficiently and to a high capacity [27]. It has been discovered that nitrohumic acid and its salts have excellent desulphurisation ability and can be used for industrial desulphurisation [28]. Since 2001, humates have also been used for the treatment of  $H_2S$  at a paper mill. The absorption capabilities of the humate molecule showed a rapid absorption of  $H_2S$ . Furthermore, humic-based materials are environmentally safe during handling, transport and disposal [29].

Another application of humic acids is as catalyst or with activated carbon for gas purification by means of  $H_2S$  oxidation [30]. Humic substances have also been identified as good solubilisers for odorous substances [31].

As they are natural substances, their purification process is cheaper than the synthesis of any other sorbent and they absorb more than absorbents used to date, such as active charcoal or clays [24]. Humic substances have a high storage capacity for  $SO_4^{2^-}$ , S and  $H_2SO_4$  [30].

Other applications of humic substances are, for example, agriculture, biomedicine and the removal of toxic metals [24]. Their benefit in agriculture is described in chapter 6.1. Detailed information about the structure, analysis and application of humic substances can be found in literature [24,32–35, 37].

Tested humic substances are mainly potassium humate (Humin-P) and sodium humate (Humin-S) from the company Humintech. Humates are the salts of humic acids; they consist of 70 - 80 % humic acids and are completely soluble in water. [36]

Figure 4 shows a photo of Humin-P and a potential molecule structure of humic acid. Its main characteristics are described in table 8.



Figure 4: Photo of potassium humate and the molecular structure of humic acid [25]

naracteristics of the potassium numate rumin-r [30]			
Trade name	Humin-P 775		
Article number	4 036964 003036		
Chemical characterisation	Potassium salt of humic acids		
CAS number	68514-28-3		
Chemical state	Solid		
Form	Flakes		
Colour	Dark brown		
Odour	Not distinguishable		
pH-value (10 g·l <sup>-1</sup> , 20 °C)	9.0 - 10.0		
Change in physical state	> 250 °C: destroyed to CO <sub>2</sub> , H <sub>2</sub> S and soot		
Flash point	300 °C		
Decomposition temperature	< 100 °C		
Density, 20 °C	0.6 g·cm <sup>-3</sup>		
Solubility in water, 20 °C	approx. 200 g·l <sup>-1</sup>		

Table 8: Characteristics of the potassium humate Humin-P [36]

#### **3.4.** Biological sulphide oxidation

The biological oxidation of sulphide (HS<sup>-</sup>) to sulphate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) proceeds in two stages. First, the HS<sup>-</sup> loses two electrons and polymeric sulphur compounds are formed. This elemental sulphur (S) is bound to the biomass [38]. In the second step, this S is oxidised to sulphite (SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>) and then to SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. Intermediate products such as SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> or thiosulphate (S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>) are not secreted by the bacteria [39]. The following reactions occur in an aerobic sulphide removal system.

$$2 \cdot HS^- + O_2 \to 2 \cdot S + 2 \cdot OH^- \tag{3.5}$$

$$2 \cdot S + 2 \cdot OH^- + 3 \cdot O_2 \rightarrow 2 \cdot SO_4^{2-} + 2 \cdot H^+$$
(3.6)

The first reaction proceeds faster than the second [38], but this is the energetically unfavoured reaction [40]. The microbiological H<sub>2</sub>S-oxidation proceeds due to sulphur bacteria (Thiobazilli) which transform the H<sub>2</sub>S in their metabolism. Biological H<sub>2</sub>S oxidation proceeds faster than non-catalysed chemical oxidation [41]. Especially in highly loaded bioreactors, the chemical auto-oxidation of HS<sup>-</sup> with the formation of S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> occurs in addition [40].

$$2 \cdot HS^- + 2 \cdot O_2 \to H_2O + S_2O_3^{2-} \tag{3.7}$$

The incomplete oxidation of HS<sup>-</sup> to elemental sulphur, followed by the separation of the water-insoluble elemental sulphur is an attractive method of reducing sulphur content [42]. An increase of the selectivity towards elemental sulphur can be effected only by kinetic control [39].

The formation of elemental sulphur instead of  $SO_4^{2-}$  has some clear advantages:

- Lower oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) demand resulting in less energy consumption
- Avoiding negative aspects of  $SO_4^{2^-}$ , that is, the formation of sulphuric acid (H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) (corrosive impact)
- Water-insoluble and easy to separate from the system [42]
- Fewer additives necessary for pH regulation [39]
- Formation of elemental sulphur is faster than the formation of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> resulting in higher specific reduction rates [38]
- Higher efficiency of H<sub>2</sub>S removal at higher pH values [43]
- Humates precipitate at pH values lower than 3 [44]

Furthermore, the recovery of elemental sulphur is an advantage, for instance to use as agricultural fertiliser.

 $SO_4^{2-}$  production rate can be suppressed by controlling the O<sub>2</sub> concentration. Furthermore, Buisman et al. [38] found that at high sulphide concentrations in the reactor, O<sub>2</sub> concentration should be increased in order to increase the sulphide oxidation rate. At low sulphide concentrations, the O<sub>2</sub> concentration should be kept low in order to suppress the oxidation of sulphur to  $SO_4^{2-}$ . This means that at low sulphide concentrations, O<sub>2</sub> concentration has a distinct influence on the amount of  $SO_4^{2-}$  formed. [38,42]

According to Stefess [42] the following variables are important for the control of sulphur formation:

- Sulphide load
- O<sub>2</sub> limitation
- Type of organism
- Growth history of organisms

### 3.4.1. Thiobazillus

Fundamental knowledge of the physiology of sulphur-producing Thiobazilli is important for process optimisation. The important point is that they can reduce sulphur compounds. Thiobazilli are small ( $0.3 - 0.5 \cdot 0.7 - 4.9 \mu m$ ), rod-shaped and Gram-negative bacteria. They can tolerate pH values from around 0 to above 8.5 with the optimum pH between 2 and 8. Their optimum temperature is between 20 and 50 °C. [45]

Schneider [39] determined that the microbiological degradation of sulphide is optimal at temperatures of about 30 °C.

Thiobazilli are further divided into three subgroups [42]:

- o obligate chemolithoautotroph
- o facultative chemolithoautotroph
- o chemolithoheterotroph

Obligate chemolithoautotroph derive energy from the oxidation of reduced sulphur compounds and use carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) as their main source of carbon. Facultative chemolithoautotroph can grow autotrophically on reduced sulphur compounds and  $CO_2$ , and can also grow as heterotroph on organic compounds. Chemolithoheterotrophic Thiobazilli cannot grow autotrophically because they cannot fix  $CO_2$ , but their ability to oxidise reduced sulphur compounds can provide metabolically useful energy. Bacteria that do not show any benefit from the oxidation of reduced sulphur compounds are termed heterotrophic sulphur-oxidisers rather than chemolithoheterotrophs. These bacteria do not belong to Thiobazilli or colourless sulphur bacteria. [42]

Figure 5 shows a microscopic image of mixed culture consisting of different Thiobazilli.



Figure 5: Microscopic image of Thiobazilli (DAPI colouring) [39]

Certain Thiobazilli can grow on sulphide and on sulphur. Janssen et al. [40] have proven that their change of metabolism from  $SO_4^{2^-}$  to S production occurs within less than two hours, which is faster than their doubling time. Table 9 shows the growth conditions and optimum states of some species, which can degrade H<sub>2</sub>S or other sulphur compounds.

Species	pH growth range [-]	Optimum pH [-]	Tempera- ture growth range [°C]	Optimum temperature [°C]	Energy source
Thiobacillus ferrooxidans	-	1.3 - 4.5	10 - 37	30 - 35	$Fe^{2+}, S_2O_3^{2-}, S$
Thiobacillus thiooxidans	0.5 - 6.0	2.0 - 3.5	10 - 37	28 - 30	H <sub>2</sub> S, S, polithionates
Thiobacillus novellus	5.7 - 9.0	7.0	10 - 37	30	$H_2S, CH_4S, C_2H_6S, C_2H_6S_2$
Thiobacillus thioparus	5 - 9	7.5	-	28	$S_2O_3^{2^-}, S^{2^-}$
Thiobacillus denitrificans	-	6.8 - 7.4	-	28 - 32	$S^{2}, S_{2}O_{3}^{2}, S, S_{4}O_{6}^{2}, SCN^{-1}$
Thermothrix azorensis	6.0 - 8.5	7.0 - 7.5	63 - 86	76 - 78	S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , S, S <sub>4</sub> O <sub>6</sub> <sup>2-</sup> , H <sub>2</sub> S
Thioalkalispira microaerophila	8 - 10.4	10	-	-	$S_2O_3^{2^-}$ , S, S <sup>2-</sup> , poly- sulfide
Thiomicrospra frisia	4.2 - 8.5	6.5	3.5 - 39	32 - 35	$S_2O_3^{2^-}, S, S_4O_6^{2^-}, S^{2^-}$

 Table 9: Overview of characteristics of sulphur bacteria [46]

#### **3.4.2.** Degradation kinetics

A series of mathematical models describe the growth of microorganisms. In kinetics, growth is defined as the irreversible increase of viable biomass. The Monod model is well-established for microbiological transformations. Here the concentration of the biomass is proportional to its growth rate, expressed by the specific growth rate  $\mu$ . It is described analogously to the mathematical form of Michaelis-Menten kinetics. The concentration of the substrate is denoted by  $c_s$ .

$$\mu = \mu_{\max} \cdot \frac{c_s}{K_m + c_s} \tag{3.8}$$

Reaction-based parameters are the maximum growth rate  $\mu_{max}$ , the Michaelis-Menten constant  $K_m$  (substrate concentration at half the maximum growth rate) and the yield coefficients  $Y_i$  described below. Here B refers to biomass, P to product and S to substrate. The reaction rate is denoted by r.

$$Y_{B/S} = \frac{\Delta B}{\Delta S} = \frac{dB}{dS} = \left| \frac{r_B}{r_S} \right|, \ Y_{P/S} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta S} = \frac{dP}{dS} = \left| \frac{r_P}{r_S} \right|, \ Y_{P/B} = \frac{\Delta P}{\Delta B} = \frac{dP}{dB} = \left| \frac{r_P}{r_B} \right|$$
(3.9) - (3.11)

All these kinetic parameters have to be determined experimentally. Methods include graphical determinations with the Lineweaver-Burk-plot or the Langmuir-plot.

With the determined kinetic parameters and the balance equation of the reactors in differential form, the concentrations of biomass, substrate and product can be determined numerically. A detailed description of these determinations and calculations can be found in chapter 5.

There are different possible inhibitions to the reaction rate. For example, the pH value, the  $O_2$  concentration as well as a substrate surplus may have an inhibition effect.

According to Schneider [39] maximal growth rates occur at sulphide concentrations from 10 to 30 mg·l<sup>-1</sup> and at a stoichiometric oxygen sulphide relation  $\lambda$  of two. The stoichiometric oxygen sulphide relation  $\lambda$  is defined as follows:

$$\lambda = \frac{\left(\frac{O_2}{H_2 S}\right)_{real}}{\left(\frac{O_2}{H_2 S}\right)_{stoichiometric}}$$
(3.12)

In literature, there is litter regarding growth kinetics and the production of elemental sulphur and/or  $SO_4^{2^-}$  from the autotrophic sulphide oxidation by Thiobazilli. The maximum specific growth rate  $\mu_{max}$  is around 0.33 - 0.36 h<sup>-1</sup> [42,47,48]. The Michaelis-Menten constant K<sub>m</sub> varied between 0.001 and 0.667 mmol·l<sup>-1</sup> in the literature [39,42,49,50].

Schneider [39] and Stefess [42] described the oxidation of microbiological  $H_2S$  oxidation in their thesis. Gonzalez-Sanchez [49] also developed a model for microbiological  $H_2S$  oxidation. Chemical  $H_2S$  oxidation is described in detail in [40,41,51].
# 4. Upgrade of the scrubber

# 4.1. Materials and methods

# 4.1.1. Equilibrium experiments

First, the additives were analysed for their feasibility as potential solubilisers for the selective absorption of  $H_2S$ .

The effectiveness of these solubilisers was investigated in so-called equilibrium experiments. In these experiments the reduction of  $H_2S$  in the gas-phase was analysed according to the head space method. For equilibrium purposes a sample bag, filled with biogas and washing liquid, was stored for several hours in the laboratory at constant temperature. The samples of biogas were taken directly from the source at the biogas plant. Figure 6 illustrates the procedure of these experiments.



Figure 6: Procedure of equilibrium experiments

When the experiment was in equilibrium the concentration of  $H_2S$  in the gas phase was analysed and the degree of reduction  $\eta_{H2S}$  was calculated. This degree of reduction reflects the effect of the washing liquid on the reaction. It is calculated using the following formula:

$$\eta_{H_2S} = \frac{c_{H2S_{raw}} - c_{H2S_{eq}}}{c_{H2S_{raw}}} \cdot 100 \quad [\%]$$
(4.1)

 $c_{H2S\_raw}$  refers to the concentration of  $H_2S$  in the biogas taken from the fermenter and  $c_{H2S\_eq}$  refers to the equilibrium concentration.

The gas-phase measurements were carried out using three different methods:

- 1. Mass spectrometry
- 2. H<sub>2</sub>S Data Logger OdaLog (electro-chemical detector) (App-Tek International Pty Ltd)
- 3. Dräger-test-tubes (Dräger Safety AG & Co. KGaA)

Figures 7 and 8 present different sample bags and their respective methods of analysis. Figure 7 demonstrates two types of gas bags (filled with biogas and Humin-P-solution). These bags were mainly analysed with the  $H_2S$  Data Logger OdaLog (photo on the right) and sometimes also with Dräger-test-tubes. The OdaLog measures  $H_2S$  in a range of zero to 200 ppm. In figure 8 five vials, filled with biogas and different amounts of Humin-P-solution, are shown, as well as their analysing device, the mass spectrometer. An example of the result screen of mass

spectrometer analysis is in figure A2 in the annex. The pressure in these gas bags and vials is assumed to be constant and is neglected in the evaluation of the experimental data.



Figure 7: Gas bags for analysing with the Data Logger OdaLog



Figure 8: Sample vials for analysing with the mass spectrometer and mass spectrometer

Table 10 illustrates the liquid phase analyses. These measurements were taken before the experiments and in equilibrium state. Not all analyses were always conducted.

Parameters	Unit	Measuring method
рН	-	DIN 38404-5 with Microprocessor pH Meter (pH 196, WTW GmbH)
Redox potential	mV	DIN 38404-6 with Microprocessor pH Meter (pH 196, WTW GmbH)
Turbidity	NTU	EN ISO 7027 with portable Turbidimeter Model 2100P (Hach Lange GmbH)
Conductivity	µS·cm <sup>-1</sup>	Conductometer (LF 191, WTW GmbH)
TOC	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>	DIN EN 1484 with multi analyser N/C 3000 (Analytik Jena AG)
DOC	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>	DIN EN 1484 with liquid chromatography - organic carbon de- tection (LC-OCD)
TN	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>	EN 12260 with multi analyser N/C 3000 (Analytik Jena AG)
$SO_4^{2-}$	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>	Ion chromatography or sulphate cuvette tests, LCK 353 (Hach Lange GmbH)
Abs at 245 nm	-	Spectrophotometer (Modell Jasco V-550)
Abs at 550 nm	-	Spectrophotometer (Modell Jasco V-550)
Humic substances	mg·l <sup>-1</sup>	UV/VIS spectrometer Lambda 25 (Perkin Elmer)

Table 10: Overview analyses - washing liquid

Using the experimental set-up of the equilibrium experiments, the influence of different variables on the degree of reduction of  $H_2S$  was analysed. Table 11 presents the different series of equilibrium experiments with its variables. In table 12 the different additives tested are presented. Their characteristics are described in chapter 3.2.1 and 3.3.

Table 11: Parameters tested

Parameter	Range tested
Concentration of solubiliser	0.001 - 10 wt-%
Amount of washing liquid	0.1 - 200 ml·l <sup>-1</sup>
рН	3 - 12
Temperature	2 - 45 °C
Type of humic substances	Nature and company (see table 10)
H <sub>2</sub> S concentration in biogas	100 – 7 000 ppm
Source of biogas	Renewable, waste, landfill, sewage
Sample storage method	Shaken, unshaken, for 6 - 40 hours
Repeated usage of washing liquid	

Table 12: Overview of additives analysed

Name of additive	Description	Company
Sulfa-Clear	Amine solution	Weatherford
TEA	Triethanolamine	Sigma-Aldrich
MEA	Monoethanolamine	Merck
DMSO	Dimethyl sulphoxide	Sigma-Aldrich
Humin-P	Potassium humate	Humintech GmbH
Humin-S	Sodium humate	Humintech GmbH
HA Fluka	Humic acid	Fluka, Sigma-Aldrich
HA Roth	Humic acid	Carl Roth
Humate Roth	Sodium humate	Carl Roth
POW	Potassium humate	Humintech GmbH
Nussbeize	Sodium humate	Bakelite AG
Water	Tab water (and distilled water)	
	(acidified with HCl, alkalinised with NaOH)	

## 4.1.2. Continuous experiments

The effectiveness of humates was also analysed using a continuous laboratory experiment. Sodium sulphide (Na<sub>2</sub>S) was dropped into a hydrochloric acid (HCl) solution to produce H<sub>2</sub>S. The produced H<sub>2</sub>S flowed continuously with the air into the humate solution, during which the outlet gas was analysed with the OdaLog. Figure 9 shows the schematic flow diagram and a photo of this set-up. With this set-up, the temperature and pH value could be varied easily. Their influence on the removal efficiency was analysed in a series of experiments. Temperatures between 20 and 70 °C and pH values between 3 and 11 were analysed. Furthermore the concentration of Humin-P in the liquid was varied between 0.1 and 10 wt-%. All results were compared with the experiments using water as well as using alkaline water. The average H<sub>2</sub>S content in the inlet gas was 350 ppm. The liquid phase was analysed as well (see table 8).



Figure 9: Schematic flow diagram and photo of continuous set-up

# 4.2. Results

# 4.2.1. Test of solubilisers

In the equilibrium experiments it turned out that only minimal amounts of humate solutions were necessary to achieve a reduction of more than 90 %. Due to different initial concentrations of biogas and different concentrations of the additive, the required amount alternated between 0.1 and 60 ml per litre of biogas.

Figure 10 compares the average reduction rates using different solubilisers and washing liquids.



Figure 10: Comparison of different washing liquids

Figure 10 demonstrates that all humates, Sulfa-Clear and MEA were able to achieve high reduction rates. Almost all other solutions had reduction rates of less than 60 % which was below the detectable limit in this series of experiments.

The results indicated a high improvement in the absorption of  $H_2S$  by using humates. Pure humic acids did not achieve the good results of their salts. The reason for this is their lower pH value.

Even in comparison with alkaline water, humate solutions are much more efficient. The equilibrium experiments indicate that the absorption (chemisorption) of  $H_2S$  from biogas was improved. Figure 11 presents the degree of reduction based on the different wash volumes of a 3.5 wt-% humate solution. This example suggests that about 1 ml of washing liquid per litre of biogas is necessary to reduce  $H_2S$  in the gas phase to almost zero ppm. These results are similar to the results obtained with the established solubiliser Sulfa-Clear. Experiments with alkaline water show that more than 100 ml per litre biogas is required. This underscores the great effect of the humate solutions.



Figure 11: Reduction of H<sub>2</sub>S with varying wash volumes

# 4.2.2. Influence of different parameters

Table 13 gives an overview of the results gained from the equilibrium experiments with humate solutions. Altogether, more than 1,000 gas bags were analysed over the series of experiments. Overall, more than 50 % of these bags were filled with humate solutions. 14.7 % were filled with Sulfa-Clear and 21 % with water, including alkaline and acidic water. Other bags were filled with other testing solutions for comparison purposes. The average concentration of H<sub>2</sub>S in the biogas was about 1,000 ppm. A list of biogas plants where samples were taken is in table A7 in the annex.

Parameter	Results	
Concentration of humates	The higher the concentration, the higher the reduction	
Concentration of numates	of H <sub>2</sub> S	
Amount of washing liquid	Amount is dependent on the concentration of solubi-	
Amount of washing fiquid	liser and concentration of H <sub>2</sub> S in the biogas	
	Higher reduction rates at higher pH values. In addi-	
pH	tion, the reduction rate is also highly dependent on	
	the concentration of humates	
Temperature	Higher reduction rates at higher temperatures	
Type of humic substances	All tested humates achieved high reduction rates.	
H <sub>2</sub> S concentration in biogas	At high H <sub>2</sub> S concentrations, reduction to almost zero	
1125 concentration in ologas	possible.	
Source of biogas	No difference was detected	
	Shaken samples achieved higher reduction rates, the	
Storage of samples	influence of the duration of storage can be neglected	
	after 10 hours.	
Repeated usage of washing liquid	Even after 20 repetitions, the washing solution still	
Repeated usage of washing liquid	achieved high reductions of $H_2S$ .	

Table 13: Overview of the results of the equilibrium experiments with humate solution

In summary, the results in table 13 show again that humic substances can optimise the reduction of  $H_2S$ . Comparisons with Sulfa-Clear and water were carried out in each series of experiments. The results with Sulfa-Clear were alike the results with humates. For the comparison experiments with water mainly the temperature experiments were different. Figure 12 demonstrates the relationship between the concentrations of the humates in the washing liquid with the amount of washing liquid required to achieve reduction rates of over 80 %. Even with only 0.5 wt-% Humin-P in the washing liquid the amount of washing liquid required is less than for an alkaline solution. Alkaline solutions with pH values between 8.5 and 13 were analysed.



Figure 12: Influence of Humin-P concentration on the removal of H<sub>2</sub>S

The most surprising result from the experiments was the influence of temperature. The removal of  $H_2S$  with humate solution is better at higher temperatures. This result indicates that other reactions occurred during the removal of  $H_2S$  by humates. In the comparison experiments with water, absorption capacity increased with lower temperatures, as expected. The considerable influence of Humin-P concentration, temperature and pH value was also determined during the continuous experiments. Figures 13 and 14 give an example of the continuous experiments where removal efficiency improved at higher temperatures and higher pH values. The removal of  $H_2S$  is calculated as mg  $H_2S$  per ml humate solution (1 wt-% Humin-P). Results of the equilibrium experiments with varying temperature and pH values are illustrated in the annex (figure A3 and A4). The increase with higher pH values is the cause of the dissociation of  $H_2S$  (see chapter 7.1.2). Figure 15 shows the improvement in removal efficiency with higher concentrations of Humin-P in the washing liquid. The effect of Humin-P on the removal of  $H_2S$  during the continuous experiments is linear.



Figure 13: Effect of temperature on the removal of H<sub>2</sub>S during the continuous experiment



Figure 14: Effect of pH-value on the removal of H<sub>2</sub>S during the continuous experiment



Figure 15: Effect of Humin-P on the removal of H<sub>2</sub>S during the continuous experiment

Figure 13 to 15 show that the removal of  $H_2S$  by humate solution is best at high temperatures, high pH-values and with high concentrations of Humin-P.

## 4.2.3. Analysis of washing liquid

In addition to the change in  $H_2S$  in the gas phase, several other parameters in the washing liquid also change during contact and reaction with  $H_2S$ . Table 14 demonstrates the average difference in the washing liquid of a 2 wt-% Humin-P-solution before and after contact with biogas. The same parameters were analysed during the continuous experiments.

Parameter	Unit	Before	After
Redox potential	[mV]	-149.0	6.3
Humin-P	[%]	21.9	20.9
pН	[-]	9.8	6.1
SO4 <sup>2-</sup>	$[mg \cdot l^{-1}]$	9.9	17.7
TN	$[mg \cdot l^{-1}]$	94.1	79.3
TOC	$[mg \cdot l^{-1}]$	432.1	391.9
Turbidity	[NTU]	3775	3295
Conductivity	$[\mu S \cdot cm^{-2}]$	3185	3115
H <sub>2</sub> S in gas phase	[ppm]	1087	127

Table 14: Difference in parameters before and after contact with biogas

Due to the dissolving and dissociating of the acidic gas, pH values show a significant decrease after contact with  $H_2S$ . Figure A5 in the annex shows the differences of pH values of washing solutions before and after contact with  $H_2S$ . In summary, the analysis of the liquid phase shows that the pH value decreased by an average of about 3.7. In comparison, the pH of alkaline water during absorption with  $H_2S$  decreased by an average of 4.6. It is suggested that Humin-P has a pH buffer (see 5.2.3).

Electrical conductivity did not show a significant change during the reaction with  $H_2S$ . This shows that the total amount of ions in the Humin-P-solution did not vary. The reason for this could be that the sulphide ions and the hydroxide ions replaced one another.

The redox potential of the Humin-P-solution increased by an average of 150 mV during the reaction with  $H_2S$ . Due to the fact that these values were measured using a pH probe with a glass membrane rather than a redox probe with a metal electrode, inaccurate measurements could have caused the results. However, a change in the redox potential was measured and an electron transfer could have occurred during the reaction of Humin-P with  $H_2S$ .

The concentration of  $SO_4^{2^2}$  increased during the reduction of  $H_2S$ . This shows that  $SO_4^{2^2}$  is formed during chemical reactions. A slight increase in elemental sulphur was also detected.

Humates contain organic carbon (OC) and nitrogen (N). Therefore, Humin-P makes up the total content of total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN). There was a slight decrease in TOC and TN after the reaction with  $H_2S$ . Liquid chromatography with carbon detection (LC-OCD) was used to characterise the different organic fractions of Humin-P-solutions before and after contact with  $H_2S$ . No significant change in the OC signal before and after contact with  $H_2S$  was detected. It can be concluded that the structure and amount of Humin-P stayed constant during the reaction with  $H_2S$ . Figure 16 shows the results of the LC-OCD analysis.



Figure 16: Results of LC-OCD analysis

## 4.2.4. Influence on other biogas components

In addition to the reduction of  $H_2S$ , the effect of washing liquid on the solubility of methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) was determined.

The solubility of CH<sub>4</sub> in humate solution is very important for application in the desulphurisation of biogas. The dissolving of CO<sub>2</sub> could be another advantage of humate solutions in biogas treatment. To analyse the ability of the Humin-P-solution to absorb these two compounds, an analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> using gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy was carried out during the equilibrium experiments. These experiments showed that CH<sub>4</sub> was not soluble in Humin-P-solutions. Removal of CO<sub>2</sub> was negligible for humate solutions up to 5 wt-%. A 20 wt-% humate solution was able to remove up to 20 % of CO<sub>2</sub>. Using humates to convert biogas to biomethane is not recommended. Solutions with 20 % MEA and solutions with 20 % NaOH achieved much higher removal rates than the humate solutions.

Figure A8 in the annex shows a diagram of the change in  $CH_4$  and  $CO_2$  for different washing solutions.

The concentration of  $NH_3$  in biogas typically ranges from 10 - 180 ppm. The removal of ammonia gases occurs normally with acidic gas scrubbing. The parallel removal of  $H_2S$  and  $NH_3$  in an alkaline scrubber-system is worse than under acidic conditions. This can be problematic at high ammonia loads. [15]

An analysis of NH<sub>3</sub> removal was performed during equilibrium experiments as well as during the continuous set-up. A NH<sub>3</sub> Data Logger OdaLog from the company App-Tek was used. In the continuous experiment, ammonium sulphate  $((NH_4)_2SO_4)$  was used instead of Na<sub>2</sub>S to produce NH<sub>3</sub>. The results of both experimental set-ups show that NH<sub>3</sub> can be reduced with Humin-P-solutions. Reduction rates up to 99.8 % were achieved in the equilibrium experiments. This is in accordance with Ketrick [29] who also observed a significant reduction of ammonia by humates. With the continuous set-up, the results were similar to the results with water (see figure A9 in annex).

## 4.3. Discussion and conclusion

The results of the continuous experiments suggest that the ability of humate solutions to remove  $H_2S$  from biogas reaches levels of up to 50 mg  $H_2S$  per g Humin P-solution e.g. 0.3 mg per ml with a 1 wt-% humate solution with a pH of 11.

The equilibrium experiments with repeated applications of washing liquid determined that more than 1 g of  $H_2S$  per litre of a 2 wt-% Humin-P-solution could be removed (see figure A7 in annex). This means that per g of Humin-P, more than 82 mg  $H_2S$  can be removed.

The reaction between  $H_2S$  and Humin-P is a first-order reaction. The comparison of reduced  $H_2S$  ( $c_{H2S,in} - c_{H2S,out}$ ) from laboratory continuous absorption experiment (see 4.1.2) with determined curve of first order kinetic is shown exemplary in figure A12 in the annex.

#### **4.3.1.** Influence of humates on removal rates

To evaluate the ability of solubilisers to remove  $H_2S$ , the Henry coefficients H were determined. The solubility of gas in a liquid can be described mathematically according to Henry's Law (see chapters 3.2 and 7.1):

$$H_i = \frac{Y_i}{X_i} \cdot p \tag{4.2}$$

The liquid molar loading X and the gas molar loading Y can be determined based on the H<sub>2</sub>S concentration in equilibrium and the concentration of untreated biogas. To achieve the best possible accuracy the Henry coefficient must be determined at the limit value of  $X_i = 0$  [18]. This value can be determined using a diagram where  $Y \cdot X^{-1} \cdot p$  is plotted against X. The axis intercept  $Y_{(X=0)}$  of a linear smoothing function shows the desired Henry coefficient H. For the determination of the Henry coefficient of humate solutions the weight concentrations [g·g<sup>-1</sup>] were used instead of molar loadings, because no molar weights were available.

When interpreting the Henry coefficients it must be kept in mind that the Henry coefficient is only valid for physisorption. In determining the Henry coefficient, the dissociation due to alkaline solutions is omitted. The Henry coefficients determined are therefore independent of the solution's alkaline pH value. In any case, a significant difference was obtained between the Henry coefficient of the system with  $H_2S$ /water and with  $H_2S$ /humate solution. The Henry coefficient for the system with  $H_2S$ /water was 550 bar. This value is in accordance with the literature [19]. For the system with  $H_2S$ /humate solution, the "Henry coefficients" were between 3 and 40 bar. These "Henry coefficients" are highly dependent on the concentration of humates in the solution (see chapter 7.1.5). Example diagrams demonstrating the determination of the Henry coefficient are shown in figure A10 and A11 in the annex. Due to this difference, reactions other than physisorption must occur.  $H_2S$  removal might proceed as follows:

- Absorption in accordance with Henry's Law
- Dissolved H<sub>2</sub>S dissociates into HS<sup>-</sup> and S<sup>2-</sup>
- Reactions with Humin-P

Figure 17 illustrates the reactions of  $H_2S$  with Humin-P-solution. The reactions between sulphide and humates are described in detail in chapter 4.3.2.



Figure 17: Reactions of H<sub>2</sub>S with humate solution

With the Henry coefficient for  $H_2S$  (H = 557 bar for T = 30 °C) in water and the dissociation equations (see chapter 7.1.2, equation 7.7 and 7.8), the amount of  $H_2S$  which reacts with Humin-P can be calculated through balance equations. This calculation was performed with Modelica/Dymola. Important for this calculation are very exact results e.g. of the  $H_2S$  concentration and pH value. The obtained data from laboratory experiments are not precise enough but give an indication of the significant effect of Humin-P in chemisorption. They show that most of the  $H_2S$  is bound to Humin-P. This effect is mainly observable when simulating the experiments at lower pH values where the dissociation of  $H_2S$  has a lower influence. In this situation, the  $H_2S$  has to react with the humates.

#### 4.3.2. Interaction between humates and H<sub>2</sub>S

As described in chapter 3.3 humic substances have complex structures and contain many kinds of functional groups. Therefore, the interaction between Humin-P and  $H_2S$  is predicted to be multi-reaction. In this chapter, possible interactions are described and evaluated.

Generally, the removal of  $H_2S$  by humates is influenced by chemisorption. Apart from the dissociation of  $H_2S$ , the main reaction between  $H_2S$  and Humin-P is that between an acid and a base. The absorption capacity correlates well with the alkalinity of the humates solution. This is in accordance with Green and Manaham [27], who determined that the major mechanism of the absorption of SO<sub>2</sub> by sodium humates is an acid-base reaction.

Furthermore, oxidation-reduction reactions can occur. In the experiments only a small amount of elemental sulphur was detected after the reaction of Humin-P and  $H_2S$ . The insolubility of elemental sulphur in humate solutions was analysed to be equal to that in water.

The equations 4.13 to 4.5 show the reactions which may occur during the chemisorption of  $H_2S$  by a potassium humate K-HA (K=potassium, HA=humic acid).

$$H_2S_{\sigma} \to HS^- + H^+ \tag{4.3}$$

$$K - HA_l + H_2S_g \rightarrow H - HA_s + HS^- + K^+$$

$$(4.4)$$

$$HA_{Ox} + HS^{-} \to HA_{\text{Red}} + S + H^{+}$$

$$(4.5)$$

In addition, humic substances have the ability to adsorb because of their large surface area resulting from their huge molecular size and porosity. The average molecular weight of Humin-P is 32,000 g·mol<sup>-1</sup> [52]. It was determined in laboratory experiments that the adsorption effect is not one of the main reasons for the high reduction rates of H<sub>2</sub>S by humates.

Another interaction could also be the complex or chelate formation of iron compounds in humates with H<sub>2</sub>S. For example, Humin-P contains in average 8,000 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> iron (Fe). The detailed analysis of different compounds in potassium humate is in table A8 in the annex. The reaction of Fe with H<sub>2</sub>S could proceed according to the equations 4.6 and 4.7:

$$Fe^{2+} + H_2 S \to FeS + 2 \cdot H^+ \tag{4.6}$$

$$2 \cdot Fe^{3+} + 3 \cdot H_2 S \rightarrow 2 \cdot FeS + S + 6 \cdot H^+$$

$$\tag{4.7}$$

If calculating with Fe concentration of  $8,000 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ , all the H<sub>2</sub>S could be bound to the Fe according to these reactions. Comparison experiments using an iron humate from the company Humintech (HUMIRON Fe WSP) showed that the iron humate did not have better removal efficiencies than the potassium humate Humin-P. It is likely that Fe might be a factor, but not a determining one, in the reaction.

One method of analysing the stability of sulphide in Humin-P-solution is through acidification. Hydrogen chloride (HCl) was added to the Humin-P-solution after its reaction with H<sub>2</sub>S. During the process, the amount of H<sub>2</sub>S in the gas phase was analysed by smell and detection using the OdaLog. Smelling was used in addition to the OdaLog because the human nose is a good measuring device due to the characteristic odour of H<sub>2</sub>S. Very low concentrations can be detected immediately. Only a small amount was detected using 1 ml of 1 molar HCl. 2 ppm of H<sub>2</sub>S was detected during acidification. This shows that H<sub>2</sub>S is generally irreversibly bound to potassium humate. Humic substances have a high storage capacity for SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, S and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. With sufficient O<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S is not released back into the gas phase. [30]

This could be one reason why desorption through acidification was not effective. A possible desorption effect by heat was not analysed.

Due to the complexity and undefined structures of the humic substances the reactions between  $H_2S$  and Humin-P could not be determined in detail.

# 5. Regeneration

The demanding requirements on the separation efficiency of gas treatment plants lead to the need for a high regeneration performance. Regeneration of the washing liquid reduces operational costs and costs for disposal. Therefore, one of the main selection criteria for the washing liquid is its ability to be regenerated and the effort involved in this regeneration.

Regeneration by microorganisms is a possible low cost method. The  $H_2S$  which is dissolved in the washing liquid will be transformed microbiologically to elemental sulphur which can be easily eliminated from the system.

The main objective of these laboratory experiments was to determine the kinetics of  $H_2S$  oxidation, as well as the effect of pH,  $O_2$  concentration and solubilisers on the growth of the Thiobazilli, the degradation of sulphide and the formation of elemental sulphur.

# 5.1. Materials and methods

#### 5.1.1. Experimental set-ups

The degradation of sulphide and the production of elemental sulphur by the species Thiobazilli was analysed using different laboratory bioreactors. The bioreactors were inoculated with a mixture of  $H_2S$ -oxidising bacteria from bioscrubbers of the biogas plants Albersdorf (Biokraft Albersdorf GmbH & Co KG) and Hamburg (Biowerk Hamburg GmbH & Co). By identifying different bacteria strains via DNA-analysis, a wide diversity of sulphur bacteria was found in these bioscrubbers.

The bacteria were fed with  $H_2S$  and sodium thiosulphate ( $Na_2S_2O_3$ ) as the substrate. Thiosulphate ( $S_2O_3^{2-}$ ) is often used as a replacement for sulphide, because it is not toxic, volatile or susceptible to chemical reactions [47]. With  $S_2O_3^{2-}$  as substrate, the following reactions occur.

$$S_2 O_3^{2-} + 0.5 \cdot O_2 \to S + S O_4^{2-}$$
 (5.1)

$$S_2 O_3^{2-} + 2 \cdot O_2 + H_2 O \rightarrow 2 \cdot SO_4^{2-} + 2 \cdot H^+$$
 (5.2)

Guss C. Stefess [42] found that sulphide and  $S_2O_3^{2-}$  were interchangeable substrates for a number of chemolithoautotrophic beteria with respect to growth yields and various other characteristics. Patricia Cadenhead [53] also described that prior growth of  $S_2O_3^{2-}$  was necessary to develop sufficient biomass in the reactors so that the biooxidation capabilities of the biomass were not exceeded when H<sub>2</sub>S feeds were initiated.

In addition to the sulphurous substrate, a carbon-based nutrient solution was added. This nutrient solution contained an additional 3 % nitrogen (N), 2 % phosphorous (P), 5 % potassium (K) as well as trace elements. Experiments showed that the nutrient solution included a carbonate buffer. In the following paragraphs the different bioreactors used are described.

#### B. Braun Biostat bioreactors

The main reactors used were two B. Braun Biostat bioreactors with a culture volume of 2 litres. Figure 18 shows a schematic flow diagram and a photo of one Biostat reactor.





Figure 18: Schematic flow diagram and photo of laboratory B. Braun bioreactor

The bioreactors were stirred and aerated continuously. Controllable parameters were temperature, pH value,  $O_2$  concentration as well as volume. These parameters were regulated with a PID controller.

The correlation between the transport coefficient of oxygen  $k_La \ [h^{-1}]$ , the speed of stirrer rotation n [rpm] and the airflow  $Q_{air} \ [ml \cdot min^{-1}]$  was determined by:

$$k_l a = 0.0015 \cdot n^{0.7} \cdot Q_{air}^{0.2} \tag{5.3}$$

Different glass bottles as simple batch reactors

Closed glass bottles (in various volumes) were used for simple batch experiments. The solutions in the bottles were mixed thoroughly and aerated continuously. The temperature and pH-values were regulated depending on the experiment. In figure 19 a schematic flow diagram of this set-up as well as a photo is presented.



Figure 19: Schematic flow diagram and photo of glass bottles as simple batch reactors

#### Fixed-bed reactors

These reactors have a length of 50 cm, a diameter of 2 cm and are filled with expanded clay beads ( $\epsilon = 0.6$ ). The entire reactor with all the hollow spaces has a volume of 230 ml; the free volume of the fixed bed is only 90 ml. Diaphragm metering pumps pump the liquid from the storage tank through the reactor tubes. From the top of the reactor, the liquid flows back into the storage tank. The storage tank has a volume of 500 ml and was aerated continuously. A biofilm was formed on the fixed beds prior to the experiments. Figure 20 shows a schematic flow diagram and a photo of the four fixed-bed reactors.



Figure 20: Schematic flow diagram and photo of fixed bed reactors

## 5.1.2. Analytics

One of the main problems during the experiments was the measurement of biomass, because it was impossible to separate the biomass and the sulphur completely. Elemental sulphur interferes strongly with most standard methods of biomass determination. These problems were also faced in experiments done by Buisman [38] and Stefess [42]. Another problem was the clogging of the biomass-sulphur-complex at the walls and tubes. When measuring the biomass the influence of humic substances also presents problems in some analyses. As a parameter of biomass, the concentration of proteins was utilised. Proteins are often used as parameters for biomass [42,49,54]. The protein measurement was conducted according to the Lowry method. This is a simple and reliable method to determine a multitude of proteins [55,56]. Detailed information on this protein analysis can be found in the literature [55,56]. With bovine serum albumin the relationship between extinction and protein concentration was determined. This relationship was verified by multiple determinations. Figure A13 in the annex shows the calibration line obtained.

The determination of proteins is independent of the pH value when ranging between 2 and 9. The dark colouring of Humin-P-solutions falsifies the absorption measurement in protein analysis. Figure 21 shows the dark colouring after a centrifugation step.



Figure 21: Photo of different samples after centrifugation

Furthermore, phenolic hydroxyl groups in humates can affect protein analysis. Proteins were analysed in distilled water with Humin-P. A relationship between the concentration of Humin-P and the concentration of protein can be determined. With equation 5.4, this relationship can be considered when determining the protein concentration. Figure A14 in the annex shows the determination of this relationship.

Proteins 
$$[mg \cdot l^{-1}] = 0.4998 \cdot \text{Humin-P} [g \cdot l^{-1}]$$
 (5.4)

Despite of the derived relationship between proteins and Humin-P, the exact protein content of the sulphur bacteria could not be determined due to a superposition of different factors during measurement. Furthermore, errors occurred during the measurement process due to a necessary dilution step.

When measuring the proteins, the biomass which settled and remained in the reactor by biofilm formation and sedimentation could not be analysed. This has to be considered in the results.

The determination of elemental sulphur is critical in the analysis of the kinetics of  $H_2S$  oxidation. There are several methods of analysis, such as color-forming reaction using pyridine [57] or different methods of UV-absorption [58,59]. In the Institute of Wastewater Management and Water Protection, iodometric titration is used. This method can be carried out without complex instruments and toxic substances. The disadvantage of this method is that it takes a long time and that it is not an exact quantitative determination. Only the order of magnitude can be determined. The analysis is conducted according to the modified procedure by Jorgensen [59]. The analysis is not affected by humic substances or the product Sulfa-Clear in the samples. But, the filtration of samples containing humates takes a lot of time. More than one day is often necessary for one analysis of high concentrated humate solutions.

 $S_2O_3^{2-}$ ,  $SO_4^{2-}$  and total sulphur are analysed using ion chromatography. The dry residue is analysed according EN 12880. Temperature and  $O_2$  were analysed with a multi oxygen meter 3401 (WTW GmbH). In addition, the analyses described in table 8 were carried out.

Additionally, alkalinity was analysed according to DIN 38409-7 and the sedimentation of the settleable solids was determined according to DIN 38409-9.

The oxygen removal rate was analysed according to DIN 38408-22 with a multi oxygen meter 3401 (WTW GmbH). The respiratory activity OUR (oxygen uptake rate)  $[mg_{O2} \cdot l^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}]$  is defined as the change in O<sub>2</sub> concentration  $c_{O2} [mg_{O2} \cdot l^{-1}]$  over time t [h]:

$$OUR = \frac{dc_{02}}{dt}$$
(5.5)

A picture of the oxygen consumption experimental set-up is shown in figure 22.



Figure 22: Set-up of oxygen consumption experiment

Reference measurements with distilled water were carried out. Higher oxygen consumption with the addition of humates was observed. Due to the lack of bacteria, oxygen consumption should not exist. The oxygen uptake rate  $OUR_{HP} [mg_{O2} \cdot l^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}]$  was highly dependent on the amount of humates  $c_{HP} [wt-\%]$  in the sample. Equation 5.6 demonstrates this relation. Figure A15 in the annex shows an exemplary diagram of the oxygen consumption of distilled water with different humate concentrations.

$$OUR_{HP} = 27 \cdot c_{HP} + 190$$
 (5.6)

Other interactions with humates in the different analytic methods might occur as well. These possible interactions could not be determined and were therefore neglected.

The relationships between Humin-P and turbidity, conductivity, dry matter and carbon (C) concentrations are shown in figure A18 and A19 in the annex.

# 5.1.3. Series of experiments

## Influence of different parameters

With all the reactors discussed, experiments with varying parameters were carried out. The parameters varied were temperature, pH, amount of substrate and  $O_2$  concentration. The experiments were performed to obtain the optimum conditions for the production of elemental sulphur and the optimum conditions for the growth of the bacteria.

In these experiments reactors were always operated in parallel and the production of  $SO_4^{2-}$ and elemental sulphur, growth of bacteria or degradation of substrate were compared. All parameters mentioned were analysed regularly. The effect of temperature, pH and the amount of substrate on the activity of the sulphur bacteria was based on measurements of  $O_2$  consumption.

### Determination of kinetic parameters

The kinetic parameters were determined with the glass bottle reactors. These reactors were always inoculated with a consortium of sulphur bacteria. The analyses were carried out hourly in daily experiments and several times a day for long-term experiments. The determination of the kinetic parameters was analysed using the initial growth rates of different substrate concentrations. Substrate concentrations between 2.5 and 790 mg·l<sup>-1</sup> were used. In all experiments the actual protein concentration was measured, so the cell lysis and mortality rate are already reflected in the growth rates. The growth rates can be plotted in a Monod-diagram. With the Langmuir-plot,  $\mu_{max}$  and  $K_m$  can be easily read off. The determination with the Langmuir-plot is more precise than with the often used double reciprocally plot of Lineweaver and Burk because distortion is not as high. The determination of these values can also be carried out with the AQUASIM's parameter estimation (see chapter 7).

The yield coefficients were determined in these batch experiments as well as in experiments with other laboratory bioreactors.

### Compatibility with solubilisers

The compatibility of humic substances with Thiobazilli is an important factor in the bioscrubber system. Only with compatibility can this system operate efficiently. To that effect the influence of humic substances on the microorganisms was analysed in the laboratory. Comparisons of laboratory bioreactors were arranged. The only difference with the parallel operated bioreactors was the addition of humates. The two B. Braun bioreactors, the glass bottle reactors as well as the continuous set-ups of the gas experiments (see chapter 5.1.1) were used. In addition, series of  $O_2$  consumption measurements were carried out. So, bacterial activity with and without the addition of humates could be compared easily. The higher the OUR, the higher the activity of the microorganisms. The sedimentation of the washing liquid and its buffer capacity were analysed with and without addition of humates.

#### Regeneration

The continuous gas set-up (see chapter 4.1.2) was used to analyse the growth of bacteria in  $H_2S$  conditions. The removal of  $H_2S$  with and without bacteria as well as with and without Humin-P was analysed. To analyse regeneration efficiency with practical orientation, a laboratory bioscrubber was established. Therefore, one fixed bed reactor with a cultivated biofilm was combined with the  $H_2S$  production continuous gas set-up. Figure 23 shows a schematic flow diagram and photo of this set-up.



Figure 23: Schematic flow diagram and photo of laboratory bioscrubber set-up

The washing liquid was pumped continuously in a closed loop through the fixed bed reactor. The total volume of this liquid was 220 ml and its flow rate was about  $8 \ l^{-1}$ . The washing liquid flowed in counter current to the gas (H<sub>2</sub>S and air). The gas flow rate was about  $45 \ l^{-1}$ . The outlet concentration of H<sub>2</sub>S was measured continuously with the OdaLog H<sub>2</sub>S data logger. The inlet concentration was between 250 and 500 ppm. The experiment was conducted with pure water as well as with Humin-P-solution. The sulphur bacteria were settled on the packed bed. This set-up mirrors the set-up of a real bioscrubber used in biogas treatment and so the regeneration of the sulphide loaded Humin-P-solution can be determined with practical orientation.

# 5.2. **Results and Discussion**

In the experiments with the laboratory bioreactor it was first successfully verified that the sulphur bacteria, living in acidic conditions, could adapt to a neutral pH environment.

A difficulty with the analysis of elemental sulphur as well as proteins, was the clogging on other surfaces of the reactors, the mixer and electrodes. Stefess [42] also had problems associated with sulphur production because of the loss of culture homogeneity due to the deposition of sulphur on the surfaces of the culture vessels. Cadenhead [53] talks about elemental sulphur clogging on the tubing and pumps, and that cells and sulphur agglomerate and accumulate at the air/liquid interface. This effect has to be considered when interpreting the results.

## 5.2.1. Determination of kinetics

In the batch experiments with glass bottle reactors the Monod kinetics was determined. Figure 24 shows the growth velocities obtained. These kinetics were attained with sodium thiosulphate (Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) as the substrate, a temperature of 30 °C and a pH of about 6.5. The maximum specific growth rate  $\mu_{max} = 0.11 \text{ h}^{-1}$  was determined by evaluating and reading off a Langmuirplot (see annex figure A17). The maximum specific growth rate  $\mu_{max}$  determined is in accordance to the literature. Specialised chemolithotrophic Thiobazilli have high specific growth rates in a single substrate ( $\mu_{max} = 0.35 \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). Versatiles Thiobazilli have low specific growth rates in a single substrate ( $\mu_{max} = 0.10 \text{ h}^{-1}$ ). [48]



Figure 24: Determined Monod kinetics

The range of the  $K_m$  values determined was 10 - 15 mg·l<sup>-1</sup>. This range is in line with  $K_m$  values found in the literature (see chapter 3.4.2).

Figure 25 shows an example of experimental data in comparison with the kinetics obtained.



Figure 25: Comparison of experimental data with determined kinetic

The yield coefficient  $Y_{B/S}$  could not be determined accurately. The values for  $Y_{B/S}$  were found to range between 0.5 and 3.8  $g_{Pr} \cdot g_S^{-1}$ . In simulations a value of 0.1  $g_{Pr} \cdot g_S^{-1}$  proved to be the most realistic. In experiments by Cadenhead [53] yield coefficients averaging 0.1  $g_{Pr} \cdot g_S^{-1}$  were obtained as well.

#### 5.2.2. Influence of parameters

According to the reaction equations, the production of elemental sulphur should proceed at low  $O_2$  concentrations. The accumulation of elemental sulphur at low  $O_2$  supply was verified through analysis. In addition, elemental sulphur accumulates at saturation concentrations of  $O_2$  with sufficient substrate supply. This means that the production of elemental sulphur does not depend on  $O_2$  supply at high feed rates of  $H_2S$  or  $S_2O_3^{2^-}$ . This is in accordance with Buisman [38]. With  $S_2O_3^{2^-}$  as a substrate, it was analysed that tetrathionate ( $S_4O_6^{2^-}$ ) is, in addition to  $SO_4^{2^-}$  and elemental sulphur, another product of microbiological oxidation by Thiobazilli. Equation 5.7 shows this reaction.

$$2 \cdot S_2 O_3^{2-} + 0.5 \cdot O_2 + H_2 O \to S_4 O_6^{2-} + 2 \cdot O H^{-}$$
(5.7)

Figure 26 shows a diagram of the concentrations of elemental sulphur in two B.Braun Biostat bioreactors. In this case, bioreactor 1 is fed continuously with Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, so that there was a surplus of substrate. Bioreactor 2 was fed at the beginning of the experiment (4 mmol·l<sup>-1</sup>). In both reactors the initial elemental sulphur concentrations were the same. All other process conditions were equal and constant in the two bioreactors (V = 2 l, temperature = 30 °C, pH = 7, V<sub>O2</sub> = 200 ml·min<sup>-1</sup>, n = 80 rpm).



Figure 26: Elemental sulphur concentrations in bioreactor 1 and 2

It was determined that optimum growth conditions were at temperatures between 30 and 40 °C. This is in accordance with the literature [45]. pH values from 6 to 8 were the optimum range for the sulphur producing Thiobazilli [60]. This is in accordance with analysed bacteria strains in the liquid. For example, the contained "Thiomonas intermedia" has a temperature optimum of 30 °C and a pH optimum of 6 [61].

Experiments analysing  $O_2$  consumption showed that the OUR is at an average of 0.4 mg<sub>O2</sub>·mg<sub>Pr</sub><sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup> at a temperature of 30 °C.

This is equal to a value of  $0.2 \text{ mmol}_{O2} \cdot g_{Pr}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ . Gonzalez [49] analysed average OUR values of 0.11 mmol\_{O2} \cdot g\_{Pr}^{-1} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}. The oxygen consumption experiments confirmed that the optimum temperature was about 30 °C. The OUR at this temperature was on average 25 % higher than at ambient temperature of 20 °C. An example experiment is shown in the annex, figure A16.

A difference in OUR at different pH values could not be determined because the bacteria can adapt to a wide range of pH values and so adaption to these pH values had a higher influence. The bacteria living in certain pH conditions always have a higher OUR than at changed pH conditions.

## 5.2.3. Compatibility with solubilisers

During the comparison experiments with different reactors, a marked difference in the growth of bacteria, the production of  $SO_4^{2-}$  and elemental sulphur and the degradation of  $Na_2S_2O_3$  was noted upon the addition of humates. A change in existing bacteria with the addition of Humin-P was not found in the different reactors.

The concentration of  $S_2O_3^{2^2}$ -S and  $SO_4^{2^2}$ -S from one of the experiments is shown in figure 27. The pH of all solutions was about 7.5 (± 0.5), the O<sub>2</sub> concentrations were about 8 mg·l<sup>-1</sup> and the temperature was 25 °C.



Figure 27: Sulphur concentrations with addition of Humin-P and Sulfa-Clear

Figure 27 shows a clear inhibition effect of Humin-P and Sulfa-Clear. These results were also observed in all other experiments. The inhibition effect of Sulfa-Clear is higher than of Humin-P. Without pH regulation of the alkaline solubilisers, an even higher inhibition on the degradation of  $Na_2S_2O_3$  by the bacteria was observed.

A reason for the inhibition of the bacteria might be that the sulphur compounds are bound inside the humates and cannot be used by the bacteria. Humic acids can rearrange their structure permanently and also bring new functional groups outwards. Substances which were bound by chemisorption onto the humic acids may be enclosed by the structure of these humic acids [35]. The S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> therefore stays in the humates.

With long-term fed-batch experiments at the Biostat bioreactors, average degradation rates of  $Na_2S_2O_3$  were determined. The degradation rate was between 4 and 6 g·m<sup>-3</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>. This value is lower than values found in the literature (see chapter 9.2). The reason for this might be the low temperature in the Biostat reactors which was an average 19 °C.

In these experiments, no influence from Humin-P was observed. Growth of sulphur bacteria could be found with and without the addition of humates in these long-term experiments as well.

Experiments with  $H_2S$  as a substrate showed a similar growth of bacteria in conditions with 2 wt-% Humin-P and without humates. This result shows that the chemical bound sulphide is probably available for the sulphur bacteria (see chapter 5.2.4).

Regarding the activity of the sulphur bacteria at humate conditions the OUR showed an inhibition of Humin-P. Figure 28 shows the dependence of the Humin-P concentration [wt-%] on OUR  $[mg_{O2} \cdot mg_{prot}^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}]$ . This dependency was determined by the AQUASIM's parameter estimation with equation 5.5. The maximum OUR in these experiments is determined to 0.83  $[mg_{O2} \cdot mg_{prot}^{-1} \cdot h^{-1}]$ , the constant K to 69.4 [wt-%] and n to 3.8.



$$OUR = OUR_{\max} \cdot \left(\frac{K}{K + c_{HP}^{n}}\right)$$
(5.5)

Figure 28: OUR of Thiobazilli and its relationship with Humin-P concentration

The rate of  $O_2$  consumption is reduced by addition of humates. A Humin-P concentration of 10 wt-% has a high inhibition effect which showed also in the decay of protein concentration during the experiments. A high inhibition effect was also observed with the addition of Sulfa-Clear. It can be concluded that these additives have a negative effect on the activity of the sulphur bacteria.

Another negative effect of the addition of Humin-P is a slight foam formation. Foam formation increases with higher concentrations of Humin-P. Foam formation was also observed in experiments with Sulfa-Clear. A negative effect on the degradation and growth rates by several defoaming agents was observed in the experiments. Therefore, their use should not be excessive but be calculated properly.

The buffer effect of Humin-P was already observed in the gas experiments (see chapter 4.2.3). The high buffer capacity of humic substances is in accordance with the literature [33,68]. The buffer capacity K<sub>S4.3</sub> of a 2 wt-% Humin-P-solution is about 56 mmol  $H^+ \cdot I^{-1}$ . In comparison, the buffer capacity of sodium carbonate (Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) was determined to 22 mmol  $H^+ \cdot I^{-1}$ . As alkalinity is usually also given in "mg CaCO<sub>3</sub>·I<sup>-1</sup>", correspondingly, the 2 wt-% Humin-P-solution has an alkalinity of 2.8 gCaCO<sub>3</sub>·I<sup>-1</sup>.

 $K_{s4.3}$  [mmol H<sup>+</sup>·l<sup>-1</sup>] is dependent on the concentration of humates [wt-%]. Its relationship with neutral Humin-P washing liquid is determined by:

$$K_{S43} = 1.5128 \cdot c_{HP} \tag{5.6}$$

Figure A20 in the annex shows a diagram of the dependence of Humin-P concentration on alkalinity.

In addition, the volume of settleable solids in the washing liquid was determined. In a pure 2 wt-% Humin-P-solution this value was about  $2.55 \pm 0.07 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$ . In the washing liquid of a pilot plant the volume of settleable solids was about  $1.71 \pm 0.08 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$ . Including 2 wt-% Humin-P this value increases to  $3.45 \pm 0.61 \text{ ml} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$ . This value is an indication of the sedimentation of humates. The pilot plant mentioned is described in chapter 9.1. A photo of a sedimentation experiment is shown in figure 29.



Figure 29: Comparison of sedimentation with and without humates

## 5.2.4. Regeneration

With the continuous gas set-up parallel experiments studying the removal efficiency of  $H_2S$  and growth of bacteria were determined. Figure 30 shows the saturation of the different liquids over one hour. It is evident that the addition of Humin-P increases the efficiency of the  $H_2S$  removal. This confirms further results. The presence of bacteria extends the time of  $H_2S$  reduction also.



Figure 30: Time of saturation of washing liquids

The tests showed that Humin-P-solution can be regenerated by bacteria. Growth in bacteria with the addition of Humin-P was observed in all experiments. The chemical bound sulphide in the Humin-P is obviously also available to the bacteria. Even after 6 hours the solution of bacteria and Humin-P still removed  $H_2S$  - the outlet  $H_2S$  concentration measured was 100 ppm. Long-term experiments could not be arranged in the laboratory due to the set-up and safety reasons. The influence of Humin-P on  $H_2S$  absorption is described in chapter 4.2; the modelling of the regeneration is described in chapter 7.3.

The regeneration of the Humin-P-solution by microorganisms in a laboratory bioscrubber setup was also successful. The outlet concentration of  $H_2S$  was much lower with a Humin-Psolution than in comparison experiments with water. These results are demonstrated in figure 31, based on a duration of five hours with an inlet  $H_2S$  concentration of about 250 - 500 ppm. Additionally, after 8 hours the concentrations of  $H_2S$  in the clean gas of the Humin-P experiment were the same as after one hour. Therefore, the results of this experiment further verify the efficiency of Humin-P as a solubiliser. Thiobazilli degrade  $H_2S$  and an inhibition effect of humates could not be detected in these experiments. This practical experiment validates the regeneration of humates by biological means and thus also their application in a bioscrubber. For the addition of Humin-P the relationship between the inhibition effect on bacteria and an increase in absorption capacity has to be determined (see chapter 7.2.1 and 7.3.1). Furthermore, other effects such as foam formation have to be considered. Regarding the regeneration of Humin-P one question could not be answered by these experiments: can humates really be regenerated or does the dynamic structure of the humates replace the used functional groups with others inside the molecules. The sulphur compounds therefore stay in the humates. This effect may occur due to the inhibition of sulphur bacteria by humates, so that the sulphur compounds are bound inside the humates and cannot be used by the bacteria.



Figure 31: Outlet H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations in laboratory bioscrubber

# 6. **By-products and waste streams**

This chapter deals with the two off streams of the bio-scrubber system (see figure 1). The present streams are the outflow of the bioreactor and the exit air of the bioreactor. The main issue dealt with is the liquid outflow because of its possible re-use e.g. as fertiliser.

The liquid outflow contains washing liquid with a high proportion of humic substances and elemental sulphur (as well as other sulphur compounds like  $SO_4^{2-}$ ).

Humic substances are known to play an important role in soil fertility. They influence the quality and productivity of the soil. Humic substances assist in transferring micronutrients from the soil to the plant and also increase seed germination rates [24]. According to Müller-Wegener [32] there is a high increase in productivity in different cultivated plants such as to-matoes, potatoes and sugar beet, but there is also no effect on the productivity of sunflowers and pumpkin. In general, humic substances should increase biomass production. The main additive used is the potassium humates Humin-P. Potassium (K) is known as one of the more important fertilising elements.

Sulphur has to be fertilised more regularly in order to ensure a sufficient sulphur supply and crop productivity. The sulphur deficiency in agriculture is due to the declining atmospheric sulphur input. The sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) emissions in Germany decreased enormous (see figure A22 in annex) [63]. Elemental sulphur has a better long-term effect than  $SO_4^{2^-}$  [64]. Dissolved NH<sub>3</sub> in the washing liquid can also be used as nitrogen source. It is well known today that productive fertile soil is a non-renewable, endangered ecosystem.

The recovery of elemental sulphur for industrial purposes is another possible usage of the liquid outflow (see chapter 8.2).

# 6.1. Liquid outflow as fertiliser

In these experiments the quality of the liquid outflow of the bio-scrubber system as fertiliser was investigated. Therefore the influence of Humin-P and sulphur on plant growth was analysed. Rape and maize were considered for these plant experiments. Maize is one of the main substrates for biogas plants and rape is a very sulphur-dependent plant. To conduct the analyses, plant experiments with maize and rape as well as seed germination tests with maize were established.

## 6.1.1. Experimental set-up

#### Maize experiments

In these plant experiments the maize seeded in pots (diameter = 23 cm). The soil consisted only of bark mulch to ensure that existing nutrients in normal potting soil did not affect the data. One pot contained 3 seeds to ensure germination. After germination only one plant was kept in each pot. The pots were arranged in a randomized design in a greenhouse with a 12-hour lighting period. The plants were treated with a multi nutrient liquid fertiliser (NPK-fertilizer (7 % nitrogen (N), 7 % phosphorous (P), 5 % potassium (K)) and the potassium humate Humin-P. Table 15 lists the different treatments for the pots. Pre-experiments showed that a pure fertilisation with Humin-P and sulphur did not have positive effects, because of their lack of P and N. These experiments also showed that the alkaline pH value of Humin-P did not have a positive effect on the growth of maize and its fresh and dry weight. Therefore the Humin-P-solution used was slightly acidified.

The pH values of the used solution are in table 15. In the treatments with Humin-P the pots were irrigated with a 0.2 wt-% Humin-P-solution. In summary 7.5 g of Humin-P were applied in the pots after the 75 days of experiment.

The addition of NPK-fertiliser was calculated according to recommendations on maize fertilisation [65]. Nitrogen was taken as reference value for this calculation, and thus the fertilisation was conducted in three stages corresponding to the percentage distribution of nutrient uptake in relation to the development stages of maize: 2 % after germination, 85 % when there were four growing leaves and 13 % at the maturity of corncobs.

Pot	Humin-P	NPK
1.1		
1.2		
1.3		
2.1	x (pH 8.7)	х
2.2	x (pH 8.7)	х
2.3	x (pH 8.7)	х
3.1	x (pH 7)	х
3.2	x (pH 7)	х
3.3	x (pH 7)	х
4.1		Х
4.2		X
4.3		X

Table 15: Overview of treatments in maize experiments

#### Rape experiments

The effect of sulphur as a fertiliser additive was analysed in experiments with rape seeds because rape is a very sulphur-dependent plant. The pots (diameter = 20 cm) with rape were standing in the laboratory in front of the window. The pots were filled with a mixture of bark mulch (65 %), sand (20 %) and potting soil (15 %). All plants were fertilised according to the recommendations on fertilisation [66] with a multi nutrient liquid fertiliser (12 % N, 12 % P, 17 % K). In addition, different amounts of sodium sulphate (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) were added. According to the literature [66,67] the optimum addition of sulphur is 40 kg per hectare. Therefore sulphur dosages of 20 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup>, 40 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> and 60 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> were added to analyse the influence of sulphur fertilisation. In addition, one treatment with 40 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> sulphur and addition of Humin-P in granular form (2 g per pot) and one treatment without any addition were investigated. Each treatment experiment was replicated three times.

#### Seed germination test

The effect of different concentrations of the humate Humin-P on seed germination of maize was analysed with a seed germination test. This experiment was arranged in a completely randomized manner in a dark, aerated reservoir with a constant temperature of 30 °C. 10 maize seeds were germinated in Petri dishes filled with 0.5 g cotton wool and 60 ml liquid solution for seven days. Each treatment was replicated three times.

The following liquid solutions were used:

- pure tap water
- 0.01 wt-% Humin-P-solution, pH 7
- 0.01 wt-% Humin-P-solution, pH 10
- 0.04 wt-% Humin-P-solution, pH 10
- 0.1 wt-% Humin-P-solution, pH 7
- 0.1 wt-% Humin-P-solution, pH 10
- 0.4 wt-% Humin-P-solution, pH 10

### 6.1.2. Analysis

#### Plant experiments

During the plant experiments the parameters in table 16 were measured and calculated to quantify plant growth. Pictures were taken regularly to facilitate comparison of plant growth.

Parameters	Unit
Amount of leaves	-
Plant height	cm
Stem thickness	cm
Stem length	cm
Fresh weight	g
Dry weight	g

#### Table 16: Overview analyses - plant experiments

Seed germination test

To measure seed germination the radicles (root length > 5 mm) and the coleoptiles (root length > 2 cm) were counted at the end of the experiment.

Figure 32 shows two examples of germinated and ungerminated seeds.



Figure 32: Left: Photo of ungerminated seed, right: Photo of germinated seed with their coleoptiles

To evaluate seed germination the germination index (GI) [%] is calculated.

$$GI = RSG \cdot RRE \cdot 100^{-1} \tag{6.1}$$

According to Singh [68] RSG is the relative seed germination and RRE the relative root elongation. They are calculated according the equations 6.2 and 6.3. Therein n refers to number of seeds germinated and rl to root length; the indices T refers to test and c to control. Germination is inhibited if the germination index is less than 60 % [69].

$$RSG = \frac{n_T}{n_C} \tag{6.2}$$

$$RRE = \frac{rl_T}{rl_C} \tag{6.3}$$

## 6.1.3. Results and Discussion

The results of these plant experiments showed that washing liquid is suitable as an additive to soil fertiliser. The liquid outflow of the humate containing biological desulphurisation process can enhance the efficiency of digestate as fertiliser. Together with the digestate of the biogas plants, fertilisation of plant substrates is efficient. Maize experiments with the digestate as additive showed that it is very suitable as a fertiliser.

In the following paragraphs the results of the different experiments are presented. The results of the plant experiments as well as of the seed germination test were evaluated additionally with the statistical program SPSS 15.0 using the analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences were declared as significant at a p value smaller than 0.05. Thus, the p value represents the statistical significance. Tukey's post hoc test was used for all multiple comparisons. A positive effect of humates on plant growth, nutrient uptake and seed germination is in accordance with the literature [24,25,32].

#### Maize experiments

Figure 33 shows the average fresh and dry weight of the maize plants at the end of the experiment after 75 days.

The results of the fresh and dry weight clearly show the fertilisation effects of the NPK-fertiliser as well as the positive effects of adding Humin-P. When considering plant height the influence of the NPK-fertiliser is also evident. The plant height after 75 days is shown in figure 34.



Figure 33: Average fresh and dry weight of maize



Figure 34: Average plant height of maize (until first knot)

A one-way between subjects ANOVA was conducted to compare the effect of Humin-P on maize in "pure", "NPK", "NPK + Humin-P (pH 8.7)" and "NPK + Humin-P (pH7)" conditions. There was a significant effect on maize growth at p value = 0.05 for the four conditions (F(3,8) = 26.0, p = 0.0). The F statistic value is the ratio of the variation due to treatment (variation between samples) and the variation due to error (variation within samples). The larger the F statistic value, the greater the possibility that the variances are different.

Also the effect on fresh weight and dry weight have a significant effect at the p = 0.05 level (F(3,8) = 126.1, p = 0.0); (F(3,8) = 138.8, p = 0.0). Tukey's post hoc test was used to compare each of the conditions investigated. This test showed that the "pure" condition is significantly different to all other treatments with regards to plant height, fresh and dry weight. All other treatments are not significantly different to each other except the fresh weight in "NPK" and "NPK + Humin-P (pH 8.7)" conditions (p = 0.017). This indicates that fertilisation using NPK is effective in general. Figure 34 shows that the addition of Humin P has an effect. Figure 35 shows examples of the maize plants under different treatments at the end of the experiment. It is evident that the stem of the Humin treated maize is thicker than those treated with NPK only. All these results suggest that Humin-P does have a positive effect on maize growth. This positive effect is in accordance with the literature [32].


Figure 35: Photo of maize plants under each treatment ("NPK + Humin-P (pH 7)", "NPK", "NPK + Humin-P (pH 8.7)" and "pure"

### Rape experiments

A one-way between subjects ANOVA was conducted to compare the effect of sulphur on rape in "pure NPK", "20 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> sulphur supply", "40 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> sulphur supply" and "60 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> sulphur supply" conditions. The influence of NPK-fertilizer is evident and was not determined further for this experiment. There is no significant effect of sulphur on rape growth at the p = 0.05 level for the four conditions (F(3,7) = 0.62, p = 0.627). Also fresh weight and dry weight have no significant differences at the p = 0.05 level (F(3,7) = 1.75, p = 0.243); F(3,7) = 2.93, p = 0.109). The number of leaves, stem thickness and stem height displayed no difference as well. Figure 36 shows photos of individual rape plants under different treatments before harvesting. The insignificant effect of sulphur addition is evident, but it showed good resistance against fungal infestations. This experience is in accordance with the literature which also recommends sulphur fertilisation because of this reason [67,70]. An influence of Humin-P was not determined in this experiment.







Pure Pure NPK 20 kg S·ha<sup>-1</sup> Figure 36: Photos of rape plants under different treatments



40 kg S·ha<sup>-1</sup>



60 kg S·ha<sup>-1</sup>

### Seed germination test

Figure 37 shows the germination indices of the germination test and figure 38 shows the average values of germinated maize seeds.



Figure 37: Average values of germination indices

Figure 37 demonstrates that only the treatments with "0.01 % Humin-P" have a germination index higher than 60 %, which is the threshold for the inhibition of seed germination. In particular, with a pH of 7 the solution shows a significant positive influence.

Figure 38 shows that for humate solutions with a pH value of 10, the higher the concentration of Humin-P, the more seeds are germinated. For humate solutions with a pH of 7, this is the other way around. The optimum treatment is with "0.01 % Humin-P, pH 7". With this treatment most of the seeds are germinated.



Figure 38: Average number of germinated maize seeds

A one-way between subjects ANOVA was conducted to compare the effect of Humin-P on seed germination in different Humin-P conditions. There is a significant effect of Humin-P on seed germination at the p = 0.05 level for the seven conditions (F(6,14) = 2.99, p = 0.43). With regards to the germination index, a significant effect was analysed (F(5,12) = 6.57, p = 0.004). It may be concluded that only the "0.01 % Humin-P, pH 7" treatment is significant to the other treatments. A pH regulated to 7 shows a positive influence on the seed germination. Noticeable in these experiments was that maize seeds treated with water had longer coleoptiles but had shorter and thinner radicles. With the Humin-P treatment the coleoptiles were

tiles but had shorter and thinner radicles. With the Humin-P treatment the coleoptiles were smaller, but the radicles were thicker, longer and in a higher quantity. Figure 39 shows the comparison of untreated and Humin-P treated maize seeds. It was observed that the higher the concentration of Humin-P, the smaller the coleoptiles.

Regarding the seed germination test, it is difficult to conclude whether the influence of Humin-P is negative or positive. It is analysed that with low concentrations of Humin-P and neutral pH value seed germination is optimised. Furthermore, it is observed that root formation is increased with the addition of Humin-P which was also found in other experiments [32]. An optimum dosage of humates has to be determined.



Figure 39: Comparison of treated and untreated maize seed (left: pure, middle: 0.01% Humin-P, right: comparison)

# 6.2. Exhaust air

The off gas of the bioreactor consists mainly of air (nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) and oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>)). Analysis of a two-stage bio-scrubber system at a pilot plant (see chapter 9.1) proved that this exhaust air might also contain harmful compounds of biogas, such as carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>). Their amount depends very much on the aeration. By analysing six samples of the exhaust air an extrapolation of the annual emission was calculated. This calculation indicates that the annual CO<sub>2</sub> emission is around 2.8 kg and the annual CH<sub>4</sub> emission is around 0.13 kg. These two values are far lower than the annual emission of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> of one cow [71]. Due to the fact that the bio-scrubber system wants to gain elemental sulphur, which requires a low air supply, the harmful influence of the off gas would be lower than the above values and can therefore be neglected. If there are higher concentrations of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> in the off gas, a downstream biofilter would be a solution against high emissions.

# 7. Mathematical modelling and simulation

In chemical processing technology, numerical process simulation is an established tool for modelling and evaluating the process of industrial plants. Based on mass and energy balances as well as phase equilibrium and reaction kinetics, process simulations are able to model the stationary and dynamic behaviour of chemical plants.

Simulations have the advantage of being generally safer, cheaper and more time-saving than real experiments. Furthermore, it is easy to manipulate the model and its parameters and suppress disturbances and second-order effects [72]. The disadvantages of simulations are that users may forget the limitations and conditions of the model and draw erroneous conclusions from the simulation. Therefore, it is very important to compare at least some of the simulation results with experimental results. [72]

Several simulation software for modelling chemical and microbiological processes are available. In this thesis the modelling language Modelica was used. Modelica is an object-oriented modelling language and is suitable for many specialised engineering fields. In Modelica, a physical model is described with differential-algebraic equations (DAEs). This model is translated into a mathematical model and solved with a solution algorithm. [73]

In this thesis, the programming environment Dymola from Dynasim was used. In this environment symbols are used for objects which can be linked with connectors. Other applications for Modelica include MathModelica, SimForge or OpenModelica.

Modelica was chosen because the absorption column and biological processes in the regeneration step could be easily combined. In typical modelling software for process engineering, such as ASPEN Plus, the modelling of biological processes cannot be applied satisfactorily. Furthermore, humic substances cannot be found in Aspen Plus data banks.

Some coefficients are also determined by modelling and simulation in AQUASIM. AQUA-SIM is used for the analysis and simulation of aquatic systems [74]. Parameter estimation in AQUASIM is a very useful tool, and is often used to determine certain coefficients from the experimental data.

The addition of Humin-P in the process was simulated by the model and the optimum amount of Humin-P to be added in the process was determined. Furthermore, the addition of Humin-P was compared to the system with water, so that, for example, the savings of washing water could be determined. The influence of Humin-P on behaviour of the sulphur bacteria in the bioreactor was simulated as well. A model of a humate containing bio-scrubber system was developed.

# 7.1. Modelling of scrubber

The scrubber is a device where counter current absorption occurs. Gas flows upwards through the column while washing liquid flows downwards. Contact between the gas and liquid is optimised e.g. with a packed column.

The required height H and the number of stages N of the packed column can be determined by the method of theoretical stages (HETP method) or the method of transfer units (HTU/NTU method). HETP refers to height equivalent to a theoretical plate and NTU the number of transfer units. The height H of the packed column is calculated as follows. The indices O refer to overall, G to gas and L to liquid.

$$H = N \cdot HETP \tag{7.1}$$

$$H = NTU_{o,G} \cdot HTU_{o,G} = NTU_{o,L} \cdot HTU_{o,L}$$
(7.2)

To determine the height and number of stages, the carrier gas flow, liquid flow, inlet concentrations and outlet concentrations have to be known.

In general the HTU value is not identical to the HETP value, but for simple reactions the following relationship is valid [75]. This equation was derived for straight operating and straight equilibrium lines, but is also approximately valid for curved operating and curved equilibrium lines.

$$HETP = HTU_{O,G} \cdot \frac{\ln\left(\frac{m \cdot G}{L}\right)}{\frac{m \cdot G}{L} - 1}$$
(7.3)

The HETP method is used for this model because of its simplicity. This equilibrium model is often used for the modelling of chemisorption processes although the interactions for mass transfer cannot be modelled [76].

The proposed model is based on the following general assumptions:

- The carrier gas (CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>) is insoluble in washing liquid
- The solvent is non-volatile
- There is thermodynamic and mechanical equilibrium between material inflows and outflows
- The pressure dependence of all parameters is neglected because of the small pressure difference in the column
- The system is adiabatic
- There is thorough mixing of the phases
- There is no carrying away of liquid through counter flowing gas towards the next stage

Figure 40 shows the schematic flow scheme in Dymola. The package in Dymola contains one model which describes one equilibrium stage of the absorption column. The stage has four connectors for the gas and liquid flows to enter and leave the stage. For the connectors the individual stages are linked through programming using an array. By changing the length of the loop, the number of stages can be varied. The following chapters describe the equations considered in the one-stage model. The whole package also contains packages with units, calculations, symbols, connectors and reservoirs. The scenario to be simulated contains reservoirs for connectors which enter or leave the column, in addition to the column.



Figure 40: Scheme of scrubber in Dymola

### 7.1.1. Solubility of gas

The solubility of  $H_2S$  in washing liquid can be calculated using Henry's Law (see chapter 3.2).

$$H = \frac{Y}{X} \cdot p \tag{7.4}$$

The Henry coefficient H's dependence on the temperature [K] can be described as follows [19]:

$$H = H_{Ref} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\Delta h_{sol}}{R} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_{Ref}}\right)\right)$$
(7.5)

Here, the enthalpy of solution  $\Delta h_{Sol}$  is constant. At a temperature of 25 °C,  $\frac{-\Delta h_{sol}}{R}$  is equal to 2100 K and H<sub>ref</sub> is equal to 560 bar [19].

## 7.1.2. Mass balance

The flow of gas and liquid are constant over the column. So the input flow equals the output flow for liquid as well as for gas. G refers to gas flow, L to liquid flow, Y to gas loading and X to liquid loading. The indices B refer to bottom and T to top. The mass balance of  $H_2S$  when no reaction occurs is shown in equation 7.6.

$$Y_{H_2S,B} \cdot G + X_{H_2S,T} \cdot L = Y_{H_2S,T} \cdot G + X_{H_SS,B} \cdot L$$
(7.6)

### 7.1.3. Reaction equilibrium

Besides the mass balance, the dissociation of  $H_2S$  and  $HS^-$  has to be considered. The liquid flow L and the gas flow G are assumed to be constant over the column.

$$H_2S \Leftrightarrow^{K_1} HS^- + H^+$$
 (7.7)

$$\mathrm{HS}^{-} \Leftrightarrow^{K_{2}} \mathrm{S}^{2-} + \mathrm{H}^{+}$$
(7.8)

Figure A21 in the annex shows the aqueous  $H_2S$  in relation to the pH value. The equilibrium constants  $K_i$  [mol·l<sup>-1</sup>] based on the temperature T [K] are defined as follows [77]:

$$\ln K_i = A + B \cdot T + \frac{C}{T} + D \cdot \ln T$$
(7.9)

With

• A = -7.489; B = 0; C = -7211.2; D = 0 for equation 7.8

Balances including  $HS^{-}$  and  $S^{2-}$  according to equation 7.6 have to be inserted in the model as well.

### 7.1.4. Energy balance

The stages are adiabatic, so the heat produced in the chemical reactions and the absorption of  $H_2S$  is considered with the flows. The enthalpy balance is defined as follows.

$$G \cdot h(T_{G,T}) + L \cdot h(T_{L,T}) - G \cdot h(T_{G,B}) - L \cdot h(T_{L,B}) + L \cdot \Delta X_{H2S} \cdot \Delta h_{sol} + L \cdot \Delta X_{H2S} \cdot \Delta h_{Rl} + L \cdot \Delta X_{H2S} \cdot \Delta h_{R2} = 0$$
(7.10)

The enthalpies of the ideal gas and the liquid ( $h_i$ ) are dependent on temperature. They can be calculated using heat capacities and a reference enthalpy. This calculation together with the reaction enthalpies ( $\Delta h_{Ri}$ ) and the enthalpy of solution ( $\Delta h_{sol}$ ) is described in annex A1. The temperature of the gas leaving the column is set equal as to the temperature of the liquid entering the column.

### 7.1.5. Reactions with Humin-P

Due to the complexity of the reaction between humates and  $H_2S$ , the description of these reactions is simplified with a box model. In this black box all reactions, for example ion exchange, complex formation and acid and base reactions occur. The effect of the reactions, described in chapter 4.3.1 and 4.3.2, is taken into account in the model with a modified Henry coefficient  $H_{HP}$ . This coefficient is calculated according to equation 7.11. Equation 7.11 is mainly based on equilibrium gas experiments at 30 °C. This temperature was chosen because it is the optimum temperature for sulphur bacteria. The equation is valid for Humin-P concentrations  $c_{HP}$ ranging between zero and 10 g·l<sup>-1</sup>.

$$H_{HP} = H \cdot \left(\frac{K_{HP}}{K_{HP} + c_{HP}}\right)^n \tag{7.11}$$

The kinetic constant  $K_{HP}$  and the exponential factor n were determined with AQUASIM's parameter estimation. The standard deviation was 2.231e<sup>-5</sup>.

$$K_{HP} = 8.52 e^{-7} [g \cdot l^{-1}]$$
(7.12)

$$n = 0.2765$$
 (7.13)

Temperature dependency has to be included in the model. The model is validated using equilibrium experiments. For a temperature  $T_{30^{\circ}C}$  of 30 °C, equation 7.11 is valid. 70 °C is the maximum temperature at which experiments were carried out.

The relationship with temperature is described according to Angelidaki [78].  $K_{HP}$  is constant and the exponential factor n is dependent on temperature according to equation 7.14.

$$n(T) = n_{30^{\circ}C} - \alpha \cdot (30 - T) \text{ for } T < 30 \,^{\circ}C$$
 (7.14)

The temperature coefficient  $\alpha$  is set as 0.004043. Activities at temperatures over 30 °C were neglected because the bacteria's optimum temperature is 30 °C and in winter only lower temperatures might be possible. The model is valid for temperatures ranging between zero and 30 °C.

The effect of pH on  $H_2S$  removal is considered in the dissociation reactions. Other effects of pH are neglected in this model.

Humin-P which reacts in this process has to be regenerated and is no more available. In all other processes and reactions it is assumed that Humin-P is inert and thus exerts no influence. Therefore, enthalpy changes due to the Humin-P are also neglected in this model. The humates which react with  $H_2S$  are consumed and their concentration is indicated as  $c_{HP_cons}$ . In the model it is assumed that 60 % of the available humates  $c_{HP_avail}$  are consumed in one stage of the column. Thus, the bonding sulphur at humates is included. The slight formation of  $SO_4^{2-}$  and S is also neglected in this model. Table 17 gives an overview of the constant parameters of the simulation. The following input parameters can be varied: volume flows of liquid L and gas G, their temperatures T, the  $H_2S$  concentration of Humin-P  $c_{HP}$  can be varied as well as the pH of the washing liquid. The concentration of 10 wt-% and at ambient pressures.

α	0.004043
H <sub>ref</sub>	$560.10^{5} \text{ bar}$
K <sub>HP</sub>	$8,52 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ g} \cdot 1^{-1}$
n	0.2765
р	1 bar
T <sub>ref</sub>	298 K
T <sub>gas,in</sub>	298 K
X <sub>CH4</sub>	0.65
X <sub>CO2</sub>	0.35
$\Delta h_{sol}$	17459 J·mol <sup>-1</sup>
$\Delta h_{r1}$	22200 J·mol <sup>-1</sup>
$\Delta h_{r2}$	-15500 J·mol <sup>-1</sup>

 Table 17: Overview of constants used in the model of the scrubber

# 7.2. Simulation of scrubber

The simulation of the scrubber should demonstrate the practical applications of a scrubber. Therefore the scrubber is compared with the existing pilot plant to validate the model (see chapter 9.1). Figure 41 shows the H<sub>2</sub>S clean gas concentration as result of simulating the scrubber. As input data the process-related parameters from the pilot plant were used in the model and 13 stages were simulated. The raw gas concentration of H<sub>2</sub>S is set at 1,000 ppm. The temperature of the wash water is 30 °C and the pH is 6.5.



Figure 41: Results of simulating the scrubber from the pilot plant

The results of the simulation are not in line with the data obtained at the pilot plant. The HETP of the pilot plant can be determined using the height of the packing (H=2.2 m) and the defined number of stages. The H<sub>2</sub>S clean gas concentration measured at the pilot plant was between 600 and 700 ppm in this scenario. These values are far higher than the H<sub>2</sub>S clean gas concentrations obtained from simulation. The reason for that might be that there were too many problems in operating the pilot plant (see 9.1), so that no steady state in operating was achieved. The bacteria did not work well and the washing water might be partly saturated so that less H<sub>2</sub>S may be absorbed in the liquid. Thus, the number of theoretical stages N in the pilot plant could not be determined and so the HETP either. If having data, the HETP for the pilot plant can be calculated with equation 7.15.

$$HETP = \frac{H}{N} \tag{7.15}$$

To validate used model ind Modelica/Dymola, it was compared to a model of a H<sub>2</sub>S absorption column in Aspen Plus. Aspen Plus is one of the main process simulators used today in the processing industry. In Aspen Plus the absorption column is modelled with the radfrac model. With this model all types of multi-stage gas-liquid separation operations can be simulated. Considering the property method the ELECNRTL method is chosen. With sensitivity analysis different parameters and their influence can be easily simulated. The Henry coefficients are generated automatically in Aspen Plus. The comparison of the scrubber model developed in Dymola with the model developed in Aspen Plus shows that the Modelica resp. Dymola can be used for modelling absorption columns. The results obtained are similar to the simulation results of the absorption column modelled with Modelica. The model which was developed in chapter 7.1 deems to be valid.

The comparison of data obtained from the scrubber in the pilot plant with data obtained from the simulation is shown in figure 42. The number of theoretical stages is set at 5. The concentration of humates is 0.7 wt-% for the model with humates as well as for the measured data with humates.



Figure 42: Comparison of the data from the simulation with the data from the pilot plant

The model is not able to display the data from the pilot plant without humates in the wash water. The reason for that was already described and is most likely due to problems in operating the pilot plant. The clean gas achieved in the simulation with humates had  $H_2S$  concentrations of 0 ppm. The pilot plant did not run longer than 5 days with humates, so it is possible that zero ppm may also be achieved in the pilot plant. In these 5 days the pilot plant operated well. Using the model, the influence of temperature is determined. The influence of this parameter on the removal of  $H_2S$  is shown in figure 43 and 44. For the simulation in figure 43, a scrubber with a gas volume flow of 300 m<sup>3</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup> and a water flow of 50 m<sup>3</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup> is used. The raw gas concentration of the biogas is 1,000 ppm. The pH of the washing liquid is 6.5, its temperature is 30 °C. These two input parameters are prescribed in all following simulations conducted in this chapter. Other parameters are changed in the model before starting the simulation. The temperature range of the wash water for the simulation in figure 43 was between 5 and 40 °C. These are realistic values at which the plant can be operated. With a temperature less than 5 °C the risk of freezing is too high. Temperatures higher than 40 °C result in an even worse absorption and are not evaluated.



Figure 43: Dependence of temperature on clean gas concentration

For figure 44 the gas flow is  $100 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$  and the raw gas concentration 1,000 ppm. In both diagrams (figure 43 and 44) it is evident that the absorption efficiency is better at lower temperatures of washing water. The temperature of the washing liquid is one of the most important parameters for absorption columns.



Figure 44: Dependence of temperature on clean gas concentration: Variation of water flow

When simulating the case illustrated in figure 44 with 2 wt-% Humin-P in the washing liquid, the clean gas concentration is lower than 10 ppm for water flows of 5  $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ . Due to the influence of temperature on absorption with Humin-P-solution, with a 2 wt-% Humin-P-solution zero ppm H<sub>2</sub>S in the clean gas is achieved at 30 °C. The significant effect of temperature determined in the laboratory experiments is reflected by the model.

The dependence of  $H_2S$  output concentration on the pH of the washing water is, in this simulation, only about 20 ppm in clean gas from low to high pH values of washing water. Simulating a variation of the gas temperature does not show a high influence.

The influence of the number of trays is determined as well and is shown in figure 45. For this simulation, a scrubber with a gas volume flow of  $300 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$  and a water flow of  $50 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$  is used. The raw gas concentration of the biogas is 1,000 ppm. When simulating with a 2 wt-% Humin-P-solution as washing water, concentrations of 26 ppm H<sub>2</sub>S are obtained in the clean gas after one tray. Figure 45 shows that after 5 stages, the clean gas concentration does not decrease further. Due to the dissociation of H<sub>2</sub>S, the washing liquid is saturated with H<sub>2</sub>S.



Figure 45: Relationship between trays and clean gas concentration

The variation of gas flow with constant water flow is shown in figure 46; figure 47 shows the variation of water flow with constant gas flow. For the data shown, the concentrations of  $H_2S$  in the clean gas with humates in the washing liquid are, in every case, zero ppm.

Figure 46 shows that more water would be necessary to achieve low clean gas concentrations at high gas flows. The water flow should be regulated in a scrubber depending on the clean gas concentration or the gas flow if its concentration is constant. Figure 47 highlights that increasing the wash water flow results in lower clean gas concentrations - a positive consequence.



Figure 46: Variation of gas flow



Figure 47: Variation of water flow

Figure 48 shows the  $H_2S$  clean gas concentration and its dependence on the raw gas concentration of the system with a gas volume flow of 300 m<sup>3</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup> and variable water volume flows. Two cases without humates in the wash water (1,000 ppm respectively 2,000 ppm  $H_2S$  in the raw gas) and one case with 2 wt-% humates (2,000 ppm) were simulated.



Figure 48: Influence of raw gas concentrations and Humin-P on clean gas concentrations

The influence of humates in wash water is evident. Without humates a much higher amount of wash water is necessary to achieve low clean gas concentrations. With an addition of 2 wt-% humates less than 50 % wash water is necessary.

Figure 49 shows the dependence of clean gas concentration on humate concentration. The input data is the same as above. The model clearly shows the positive effect of Humin-P on improving  $H_2S$  removal.

Optimum results are gained with a humate concentration of about 2 - 4 wt-% for a bioscrubber system when factoring in the inhibition by humates on the activity of bacteria (see chapter 7.4). The utilisation of the simulation of the scrubber is described in chapter 9.2.



Figure 49: Dependence of humate concentration on clean gas concentration

# 7.3. Modelling of bioreactor

To model the bioreactor, biological as well as physical and chemical processes are considered. The bioreactor used in this model is a continuous stirred-tank reactor. The proposed kinetic model for aerobic and autotrophic  $H_2S$  oxidation is based on the following general assumptions:

- There is thorough mixing
- Microorganisms are distributed homogeneously
- The consumption of substrate obeys Monod kinetics. O<sub>2</sub> can limit degradation. Substrate inhibition is neglected.
- Only elemental sulphur (S) and sulphate  $(SO_4^{2-})$ , in accordance with equations 7.16 and 7.17, are products of the H<sub>2</sub>S oxidation process
- No other O<sub>2</sub> is consumed other than those related to the H<sub>2</sub>S oxidation and respiration of sulphur bacteria
- The system is isobaric and isothermal

The model is valid for temperatures ranging between 15 and 60 °C and for substrate concentrations up to 14 mg·l<sup>-1</sup>. These were the ranges in the laboratory experiments. The kinetic coefficients included in this program are obtained from the experiments with the laboratory bioreactors or from the literature. The model simulates the growth and decay of the bacteria, the aeration of the bioreactor and the dissociation of H<sub>2</sub>S. The following two oxidation reactions occur in the bioreactor.

$$H_2 S + 0.5 \cdot O_2 \to S + H_2 O$$
 (7.16)

$$S + H_2 O + 1.5 \cdot O_2 \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + 2 \cdot H^+$$
 (7.17)

As Thiobazilli can grow on sulphide and sulphur, two equations for the growth of the Thiobazilli ( $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ ) have to be included in the model. The specific growth rate  $\mu_1$  for growth on H<sub>2</sub>S and  $\mu_2$  for growth on S depend on the respective concentration ( $c_{H2S}$ ,  $c_S$ ) in the fluid:

$$\mu_{1} = \mu_{max_{1}} \cdot \frac{c_{H_{2}S}}{K_{m,H_{2}S} + c_{H_{2}S}} \cdot f_{i}$$
(7.18)

$$\mu_{2} = \mu_{max_{2}} \cdot \frac{c_{s}}{K_{m,S} + c_{s}} \cdot f_{i}$$
(7.19)

The bacteria can also grow without H<sub>2</sub>S, and then only  $\mu_2$  is considered. The maximum growth rate  $\mu_{max}$  is obtained through the determination of laboratory kinetics and is set to 0.11 h<sup>-1</sup>. This  $\mu_{max}$  is assumed to be equal to both  $\mu_{max_2}$  and  $\mu_{max_1}$ . The half saturation coefficient K<sub>m</sub> is in the range of 10 - 15 g·m<sup>-3</sup> which was determined in the kinetic experiments (see chapter 5.2.1). The oxidation to S is faster than the oxidation to SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and therefore K<sub>m,S</sub> is set to 15 g·m<sup>-3</sup> and K<sub>m,H2S</sub> to 10 g·m<sup>-3</sup>. The factor f<sub>i</sub> represents all effects by other parameters. The yield coefficient Y is for both growth rates 0.1 g<sub>Pr</sub>·g<sub>S</sub><sup>-1</sup>.

The influence of temperature and pH value is not considered in this model, because it is assumed that the optimum conditions are adjusted in the bioreactor. A possible way to take into account temperature or pH dependency is described in A2 in the annex. In this model the data obtained from the laboratory experiments are not sufficient for inclusion in the model aside from the fact that it is not required for the questions that the model is intended to answer.

The effect of  $O_2$  concentration  $f_{O2}$  has to be taken into account in the growth kinetics. The following formula has to be included.

$$f_{O_2} = \frac{c_{O_2}}{K_{O_2} + c_{O_2}} \tag{7.20}$$

The  $O_2$  constant  $K_{O2_1}$  for the growth on sulphide is 0.9 g·m<sup>-3</sup> [49]. For the growth on sulphur this value is set lower to take into account the positive influence of  $O_2$ .

The rate of decay of bacteria is determined according to activated sludge model No. 1 [84]. The decay coefficient  $b_s$  was determined to be 0.08 d<sup>-1</sup>. The process rate of decay of bacteria  $\phi_{decay}$  is described in equation 7.21.

$$\varphi_{decay} = b \cdot c_B \tag{7.21}$$

The air supply of the gas phase into the liquid phase is described according to film-theory. The gas liquid mass transfer coefficient for oxygen  $k_{L}a_{O2}$  can be calculated in accordance to the aeration system. Examples of such relationships are given by Wagner [80] and van't Riet [81]. According to Gonzalez-Sanchez [49],  $k_{L}a_{O2}$  is set in this model to 200 d<sup>-1</sup>. When modelling the bioreactor of the laboratory experiments, the correlation for  $k_{L}a$  described in equation 5.3 is used for the process rate  $\varphi_{O2}$ .

$$\varphi_{O2} = k_L a_{O_2} \cdot \left( c_{O2}^* - c_{O2} \right) \tag{7.22}$$

The dissolved  $O_2$  concentration in equilibrium  $c_{O2}^*$  (in g·m<sup>-3</sup>) under atmospheric conditions is mainly dependent on temperature (T in °C) and is calculated as follows [80]:

$$c_{O2}^* = \frac{2234.34}{(T+45.93)^{1.31403}}$$
(7.23)

Dissociation reactions (equations 7.7-7.8) also occur in the bioreactor and have to be considered in the model. In addition, the dissociation of water ( $H_2O$ ) has to be considered when varying the pH.

$$H_2 O \Leftrightarrow^{K_3} O H^- + H^+ \tag{7.24}$$

The equilibrium constant  $K_3$  based on the temperature T is defined in equation 7.9 with the following coefficients [77]:

When operating a continuous stirred-tank reactor, steady state is reached in a specific period of time after starting. When reaching the steady state, all concentrations stay constant.

The mass balance for each component is simplified as follows. In equation 7.25, c refers to concentration, t to time, F to flow, V to volume and  $\varphi$  to process rate.

$$\frac{dc_i}{dt} \equiv 0 = \frac{F}{V} \cdot \left(c_{i,in} - c_{i,out}\right) + \varphi_i$$
(7.25)

In the model, the complete differential equation is used, because the time to reach steady state is also calculated.

An overview of all the coefficients is demonstrated in table 18. The matrix of all reactions in the bioreactor is shown in table 19. The following input parameters can be varied:

Continuous bioreactor

- Volume flow F
- Concentrations  $c_i$  of the liquid entering the bioreactor (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, S, H<sub>2</sub>S, HS<sup>-</sup>, S<sup>2-</sup>, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sup>+</sup>)
- Initial biomass c<sub>B</sub> in the bioreactor
- Volume of the bioreactor V

Batch bioreactor

- Initial concentrations c<sub>i</sub> in the bioreactor (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, S, H<sub>2</sub>S, HS<sup>-</sup>,S<sup>2-</sup>, O<sub>2</sub>, H<sup>+</sup>)
- Initial biomass c<sub>B</sub> in the bioreactor
- Air flow Q and stirrer rotation n for determining k<sub>L</sub>a for laboratory bioreactor

b	0.0033 h <sup>-1</sup>
$k_{\rm L}a$	200 d <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>H2S</sub>	10 <sup>10</sup>
k <sub>HS</sub>	10 <sup>10</sup>
k <sub>H2O</sub>	10 <sup>15</sup>
K <sub>02,1</sub>	$0.9 \text{ mg} \cdot 1^{-1}$
K <sub>02,2</sub>	$0.7 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$
K <sub>m,S</sub>	$15 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$
K <sub>m,H2S</sub>	$10 \text{ mg} \cdot 1^{-1}$
K <sub>m,H2S</sub>	10 mg·l <sup>-1</sup> 3.8
K <sub>m,H2S</sub> m p	10 mg·l <sup>-1</sup> 3.8 1 bar
K <sub>m,H2S</sub> m p pH	10 mg·l <sup>-1</sup> 3.8         1 bar         6.5
K <sub>m,H2S</sub> m p pH T	10 mg·l <sup>-1</sup> 3.8 1 bar 6.5 303 K
$\begin{array}{c} K_{m,H2S} \\ m \\ p \\ pH \\ T \\ Y_1 \end{array}$	10 mg·l <sup>-1</sup> 3.8 1 bar 6.5 303 K 0.1 g <sub>Pr</sub> ·g <sub>S</sub> <sup>-1</sup>
$\begin{array}{c} K_{m,H2S} \\ m \\ p \\ pH \\ T \\ Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{array}$	$   \begin{array}{c}     10 \text{ mg} \cdot 1^{-1} \\     3.8 \\     1 \text{ bar} \\     6.5 \\     303 \text{ K} \\     0.1 \text{ g}_{\text{Pr}} \cdot \text{g}_{\text{S}}^{-1} \\     0.1 \text{ g}_{\text{Pr}} \cdot \text{g}_{\text{S}}^{-1}   \end{array} $

#### Table 18: Overview of constants used in the model of the bioreactor

Process	В	$\mathbf{O}_2$	S	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	$H_2S$	-SH	S <sup>2-</sup>	-HO	$\mathrm{H}^+$	Process rate <b>p</b>
Growth 1 Oxidation H <sub>2</sub> S	+	$-\frac{0.5}{Y_1}$	$+ rac{1}{Y_1}$	I	$-rac{1}{Y_1}$	ı		ı	I	$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 = \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{c}_B \cdot \boldsymbol{f}_{O2}$
Growth 2 Oxidation S	+	$-\frac{1.5}{Y_2}$	$-rac{1}{Y_2}$	$+rac{1}{Y_2}$	ı	I	ı	I	$+\frac{2}{32\cdot Y_2}$	$\varphi_2 = \mu_2 \cdot c_B \cdot f_{02}$
Decay	-1	ı	ı	ı	I	ı	ı	ı	I	$arphi_4 = b \cdot c_B$
Aeration	ı	+	ı	I	I	I	ı	I	I	$arphi_3 = k_L a_{02} \cdot inom{c_{02}^*}{c_{02}^* - c_{02}}$
Dissociation H <sub>2</sub> S	I	I	I	ı	-	+	I	I	$+\frac{1}{32}$	$arphi_5 = k_{H2S} ig( K_1 \cdot c_{H2S} - c_{HS^-} \cdot c_{H^+} ig)$
Dissociation HS <sup>-</sup>	ı	I	ı	ı	I	-1	+1	I	$+\frac{1}{32}$	$arphi_{6} = k_{_{HS^-}} \cdot ig(K_2 \cdot c_{_{HS^-}} - c_{_{S^{2^}}} \cdot c_{_{H^+}}ig)$
Dissociation H <sub>2</sub> O	ı	I	ı	I	I	I	ı	$^+1$	+1	$arphi_7 = k_{_{H2O}} ig( K_3 - c_{_{OH^-}} \cdot c_{_{H^+}} ig)$
Unit	gcı	<sub>DD</sub> ·m <sup>-3</sup>		90	s·m <sup>-3</sup>			ш	ol·m <sup>-3</sup>	

bioreactor	
reactions in	
[9: Matrix of	
Table 1	

## 7.3.1. Reactions with Humin-P

As analysed in chapter 5.2.3, humates have an inhibitive effect on the activity of sulphur bacteria. Although no inhibition was observed in the long-term fed-batch experiments, an inhibitive effect of humates, especially at high humates concentrations, is probable. This effect has to be included in the model and is described as follows. The effect  $f_{HP}$  on the growth of the bacteria has to be considered in the equations of the specific growth rates (eq. 7.18 and 7.19).

$$f_{HP} = \frac{K_I}{K_I + c_{HP}^m}$$
(7.26)

The inhibition constant  $K_I$  and the exponential factor n were determined using laboratory experiments on respiratory activity and the batch experiments. It is assumed that inhibition of the activity of bacteria is similar to the inhibition on growth and sulphur production. Using parameter estimation in AQUASIM,  $K_I$  and m are estimated to be 69.4 and 3.8 respectively (see chapter 5.2.3). The concentration of Humin-P  $c_{HP}$  can be varied. The model is valid for Humin-P concentrations of 0 to 10 g·l<sup>-1</sup>.

The buffer capacity of humates is not considered in this model because it is not relevant to the modelling objectives. When the carbonate buffer of humates is included, the stripping of  $CO_2$  and the dissociation of carbonic acid (H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) have to be included as well. The procedure for this inclusion is described in annex A3.

The flow scheme of the continuous stirred bioreactor in Dymola is shown in figure 50. It consists of the bioreactor, the reservoirs of humates, effluent and inflow as well as aeration and off gas. The individual models of the equipment components are combined, using connectors, with the bioreactor model.



Figure 50: Scheme of bioreactor in Dymola

# 7.4. Simulation of bioreactor

The data for the coefficients used in the model of the bioreactor are obtained from the simple batch experiments (see chapter 5.2.1). The model is validated by comparing the results obtained from the long-term batch bioreactor experiments with the results obtained from the simulation.

The application of Aspen Plus to model microbiological  $H_2S$  oxidation is not recommended because the kinetics of the bacterial processes cannot be described sufficiently. Aspen Plus is not designed for microbiological processes. When using kinetic models such as POWERLAW or LHHW (Langmuir-Hinshelwood-Hougen-Watson), they refer to a reaction rate based model but they do not consider the effects of temperature or  $O_2$  on the activity of the bacteria. A comparison of this model with the program AQUASIM is conducted. The results of this simulation and the simulation in Dymola are similar.

Figure 51 shows the results of the biomass concentration from the simulation in comparison with the data from one laboratory experiment. The biomass was measured in the laboratory experiments as proteins (see chapter 5.1.2) and so the biomass from the simulation is also represented by proteins. Furthermore, the concentrations of  $SO_4^{2-}$  and elemental S are displayed. On average, the data from the simulation reflect the data from the laboratory experiments and therefore the model of the bioreactor is deemed to be valid.



Figure 51: Comparison of results from the simulation with the long-term batch bioreactor experiments

Figure 52 compares the biomass concentration from the simulation with the results from one long-term batch bioreactor experiment with 2 wt-% Humin-P. Elemental S and  $SO_4^{2-}$  were only measured at the beginning and at the end of the experiments. These values can be represented by results from simulation.



Figure 52: Comparison of results from the simulation with the long-term batch bioreactor experiments (2 wt-% Humin-P)

Figure 53 shows the dependence of biomass on Humin-P concentrations in the bioreactor based on the simulation. It is clear that the bacteria die in the presence of high concentration of humates. This was analysed in the laboratory experiments as well. Optimum Humin-P concentration are between 1 and 3 wt-%, an increase up to 5 wt-% Humin-P did not have significant negative impacts on the activity of the sulphur bacteria.



Figure 53: Simulation of the change in biomass in the batch bioreactor with different concentrations of Humin-P

The modelling of microbiological processes with Modelica is feasible and the obtained simulation results fits with the experimental results. Aside from the simulation of batch bioreactors, different scenarios in a continuous bioreactor, for example a continuous stirred tank reactor (see figure 50), can also be simulated.

# 7.5. Modelling of the bio-scrubber system

To model the bio-scrubber system the scrubber is combined with the bioreactor. The flow diagram of the model is shown in figure 54. The proposed kinetic model for the bio-scrubber system is based on the general assumptions described in chapter 7.1 and 7.3 which simplify the process.

The oxidation of sulphur compounds to elemental sulphur is mostly controlled by air injection into the bioreactor [82]. Therefore, O<sub>2</sub> concentration is controlled in this model by sulphide concentration and is calculated with an optimum stoichiometric oxygen sulphide relation  $\lambda$  of 2 (see chapter 3.4.2, equation 3.12). The pH range has to be kept constant, because each significant change in pH leads to a decrease of H<sub>2</sub>S degradation in the bioreactor [39]. The pH is set to an optimum value of 7. In addition, temperature is regulated at 30 °C which is the optimum temperature for sulphur bacteria.

Figure 54 shows the scheme of the bio-scrubber system in Dymola. The inflow of gas into the scrubber comes from a fermenter where the biogas is produced. After the removal of  $H_2S$  the clean biogas goes into a combined heat and power plant (CHP). The washing water flows in a circuit through the scrubber and bioreactor. After the bioreactor a divider is included in the model which separates a part of the elemental sulphur formed from the washing liquid as well as some other ingredients. In order to replace the effluent with fresh water a water supply is also included in the model. The water inflow is mixed with the water from the scrubber. Aeration ensures the air supply in the bioreactor. Two unit converters are added in the model to connect different units of bioreactor and scrubber. Humates are added in the bioreactor as well as NaOH to maintain a constant pH value. It is assumed that the flows of these streams do not change the input feed stream into the bioreactor. Therefore, they do not influence other concentrations in the bioreactor.

The individual models of the equipment components are combined using connectors with the scrubber and the bioreactor or other equipment components.



Figure 54: Scheme of bio-scrubber system in Dymola

In the model it is assumed that all other concentrations of the wash water stream coming from the bioreactor do not influence the process in the scrubber. Therefore, biomass,  $O_2$ , S and  $SO_4^{2-}$  are inert and bypass the scrubber. The water supply is controlled via the effluent leaving the system, so that that the wash water stream is always constant. The reflux ratio of the divider is set to 0.99. A higher reflux ratio leads to higher biomass in the bioreactor because the components stay longer in the system. If the reflux ratio is too small, the bacteria would be washed out because the retention time is too short for the growth of the bacteria. Simulation of the system showed that at higher reflux ratios the clean gas concentration is also lower.

At the divider it is assumed that 50 % of elemental sulphur is separated. Furthermore, 20 % of  $SO_4^{2-}$  and 10 % of Humin-P are separated. No experiments concerning the separation of elemental sulphur are conducted, so that the validated data is missing for this part of the simulation.

A pH regulation is inserted in the model. Due to a supply of NaOH, the pH is maintained at a value of 7. Equation 7.27 describes this regulation in the model. In this equation  $F_{NaOH}$  is the volume flow of the input stream of NaOH and  $c_{OH,NaOH}$  its concentration of NaOH. The concentration of H<sup>+</sup> of the wash water stream coming from the scrubber and entering the bioreactor is  $c_{H^+,in}$ . The reaction rate of H<sup>+</sup> in the bioreactor is based on the matrix of reactions in the bioreactor (see table 19) and is abbreviated as  $r_{H^+}$ . V is the volume of the bioreactor.

$$0 = \frac{F_{NaOH}}{V} \cdot \left(c_{H^+,in} + c_{OH,NaOH}\right) + r_{H^+}$$
(7.27)

When adding the potassium humate Humin-P into the bio-scrubber system the additional reactions described in chapter 7.1.4 and 7.3.1 have to be considered. It is assumed that sulphur bacteria have access to all sulphide bound to humates.

In addition, the Thiobazilli regenerate the loaded humates by using the bound  $H_2S$  as a substrate. The humate concentration  $c_{HP\_avail}$  which is available for the absorption of  $H_2S$  in the column is an input variable and is adjustable in the bioreactor model. Therefore, the addition of Humin-P is regulated in the bioreactor whereas the target concentration of Humin-P is adjustable. Both the consumed ( $c_{HP\_cons}$ ) and the remaining available humates enter the bioreactor and hinder the growth of Thiobazilli as described in chapter 7.2.1. The following equation is included in the bioreactor model.

$$c_{HP} = c_{HP,avail} + c_{HP,cons} \tag{7.28}$$

In the bioreactor the consumed humates are regenerated by the bacteria. The regeneration factor R is dependent on bacteria activity and their concentration. The possibility of repeated utilisation of consumed Humin-P is considered as well. In this model regeneration is assumed to be 80 %.

$$R = 0.8$$
 (7.29)

Detailed information to describe regeneration is missing. Long-term experiments are necessary to gain a satisfactory value and to describe the reaction of sulphur and humates with greater precision. With this simple inclusion of regeneration, the model can be used for simple calculations. The regeneration factor might be dependent on certain parameters which have to be identified in future experiments.

To achieve the target concentration of Humin-P, mass balances of Humin-P are set in the model in order to calculate the amount of Humin-P which has to be added in the bioreactor.

Equations 7.30 and 7.31 show these balances for the available  $(c_{HP\_avail})$  and the consumed humates  $(c_{HP\_cons})$ . In these equations F is the wash water flow entering and respectively leaving the bioreactor and H the flow coming from the humates reservoir.

$$F_{out} \cdot c_{HP\_avail} = F_{in} \cdot (c_{In,HP\_avail} + c_{In,HP\_cons} \cdot R) + H \cdot c_{H,HP\_avail}$$
(7.30)

$$F_{out} \cdot c_{HP\_cons} = F_{In} \cdot (c_{In,HP\_cons} - c_{In,HP\_cons} \cdot R)$$
(7.31)

No data are available to validate the model because such a bio-scrubber system was not operated. The pilot plant (see chapter 9.1) was not in operation long enough so the bacteria could not adapt to their reactor and process conditions (see 7.2). This chapter showed how a model of such a bio-scrubber system can be built but experimental data are missing for a validation. A validation is necessary because no reliable data for the regeneration are present. The regeneration should be specified in more detail so that this can be modelled correctly. If all the parameters are available, simulations with the model can be conducted. Without this information and without a validation of the model, simulations are not recommendable.

Table 20 shows the process parameters of the bio-scrubber system with humates.

В	0.0033 h <sup>-1</sup>
H <sub>ref</sub>	$560 \cdot 10^5$ bar
k <sub>L</sub> a	200 d <sup>-1</sup>
k <sub>H2S</sub>	10 <sup>10</sup>
k <sub>HS</sub>	10 <sup>10</sup>
k <sub>H2O</sub>	10 <sup>15</sup>
KI	$69.4 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$
K <sub>02,1</sub>	$0.9 \text{ mg} \cdot l^{-1}$
K <sub>02,2</sub>	$0.7 \text{ mg} \cdot l^{-1}$
K <sub>HP</sub>	$8,52 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ g} \cdot 1^{-1}$
K <sub>m,S</sub>	$15 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$
K <sub>m,H2S</sub>	$10 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$
М	3.8
N	0.2765
Р	1 bar
рН	7
R	0.8
Reflux ratio	0.99
Separation of Humin-P	0.1
Separation of S	0.5
Separation of SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	0.2
T <sub>ref</sub>	298 K
Т	303 K
X <sub>CH4</sub>	0.65
X <sub>CO2</sub>	0.35
Y <sub>1</sub>	$0.1 g_{Pr} \cdot g_{S}^{-1}$
Y <sub>2</sub>	$0.1 g_{Pr} \cdot g_{S}^{-1}$
α	0.004043
$\Delta h_{sol}$	17459 J·mol <sup>-1</sup>
$\Delta h_{r1}$	22200 J·mol <sup>-1</sup>
$\Delta h_{r2}$	-15500 J·mol <sup>-1</sup>
$\mu_{max}$	0.11 h <sup>-1</sup>

Table 20: Overview of constants used in the model of the bio-scrubber system

# 8. Evaluation of costs

Costs are typically divided into investment and operating costs. Investment costs are incurred during the construction of a plant and operating costs are incurred while operating the plant. Table 21 gives an overview of investment and operating costs. Maintenance costs were not examined.

	Investment co	st	Operat	ing cost	
Cost of	Cost of im-	Cost of pur-	General and adminis-	Product	ion cost
construct- ing a plant	plementa- tion	chasing work capital	trative cost	Indirect	Direct

Table 21: Overview of investment and operating cost [81]

# 8.1. Evaluation of investment costs

Investment costs comprise different components. Table 22 gives an overview of these components and their share of total investment costs. These data were combined and calculated from information given by Peters [83] and Hertel [84].

Component	Percentage
Individual components (e.g. bioreactor and scrubber)	17 %
Electrical systems and control	8 %
Aggregates and fittings	10 %
Piping and installation	17 %
Measuring technique (with explosion protection)	8 %
Service facilities	5 %
Engineering, supervision and commissioning	35 %

Table 22: Overview of investment costs

The percentage of service facilities costs increases when building the complete plant in a separate container which is not integrated to the biogas plant.

In general, the investment costs of a humate containing bio-scrubber system are similar to other bio-scrubber systems e.g. the Thiopaq process. For the humate containing bio-scrubber system additional investment costs for equipment to store and dose the humates are necessary. Because of the high absorption efficiency of a humate solution, scrubbers can be built smaller because fewer theoretical stages in the column are required.

Table 23 shows the investment costs required to install the humate containing bio-scrubber system based on data for the Thiopaq process (Gas flow:  $500 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ ) [85]. Costs such as engineering and supervision are not listed. The data for humates are obtained by the simulation.

The initial Humin-P concentration for high absorption capacity but only slight inhibition of bacterial activity is 2 wt-%. The volume of the bioreactor for such a plant is about 36 m<sup>3</sup> (see 9.2.3). The initial Humin-P addition can be calculated and is about 710 kg.

Component	Costs [€]
Bio-scrubber system	132 000
Compressor for air supply	4 000
Storage and dosing equipment	
• NaOH and nutrients	1 000
• Humates and Defoamer	1 000
Initial Humin-P addition	2 800
Insulation and frost protection	12 000
Transport and installation	10 000
Sum	162 800

 Table 23: Investment costs for humate-process [85]

# 8.2. Evaluation of operating costs

The main operating costs to be calculated are the costs for feedstock components and operating material. They are the result of mass balances in the process. The specific costs for the different operating material, and heat and electricity, have to be obtained from the suppliers. Personnel costs as well as general and administrative costs are equal to other desulphurisation plants and are therefore neglected. Most of the operating costs are equal to the operating costs in the Thiopaq process. With data provided by Ramesohl [85] the operating costs of the humates containing bio-scrubber system were determined.

The cost of Humin-P range between  $3.6 \in kg^{-1}$  and  $4.8 \in kg^{-1}$  depending upon the quantity required [86]. In comparison, the cost of the product Sulfa-Clear is about  $2.5 \in kg^{-1}$ , including all local handling costs and duties [87].

Costs for the defoamer are not listed for the Thiopaq process. As the humates cause foam formation their costs are estimated. Furthermore, the amount of NaOH required is lower due to the buffer capacity. The costs are estimated by considering the costs for the Thiopaq-process.

The operating costs for the process are tabulated in table 24. These data are also based on a Thiopaq process with a volume flow of 500 m<sup>3</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>[85]. The addition of humates for one year is estimated by simulating a similar plant and the costs are calculated with a price of humates at  $4 \in kg^{-1}$ .

Table 24: Operating costs for humate-process

Component	Costs [€·a <sup>-1</sup> ]
Addition of NaOH	400
Addition of nutrients	750
Addition of humates	720
Addition of defoamer	200
Energy demand	4800
Process Water	1 200
Sum	8 070

The by-product elemental sulphur can be sold. In 2010 the prices of sulphur varied between 0.2 and  $0.6 \notin kg^{-1}$ . The use of this by-product as agricultural fertiliser would be another option, which is commonly practiced (chapter 6.1). According to Hansa Landhandel [88], the average price of sulphur fertiliser (including N and S) is  $0.35 \notin kg^{-1}$ . According to experiments carried out by Schneider [39] and Tomàs [89] the conversion of sulphide to elemental sulphur can be estimated to be an average of 70 %.

The value of sulphur yield determined is in the range of sulphur yield in the Thiopaq process  $(50 - 600 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{d}^{-1})[90]$ . A sulphur mass flow of 100 kg·h<sup>-1</sup> is assumed to be definitely possible for a bio-scrubber system with humates. Therefore, revenues of about 7,000  $\in \cdot a^{-1}$  are possible.

# 8.3. Comparison of costs with other processes

Table 25 gives an overview of the investment and operating costs for a single-stage bioscrubber, a double-stage bio-scrubber system according to Thiopaq and the system containing Humin-P. All costs are based on a biogas plant with gas flows of 500 m<sup>3</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>. This gas flow rate is chosen because the literature has the most data available for plants with this flow rate.

Costs	Bioscrubber [96]	Thiopaq process [96]	Humate Process
Capital investment [€]	100 000	159 000	162 800
Operating costs [€·a <sup>-1</sup> ]	25 000	8 000	8 070

Table 25: Cost comparison of external biological processes

From table 25 it is clear that, from an economic point of view, the humate process is as efficient as the Thiopaq process.

In comparison to the internal desulphurisation process, external biological processes are much more expensive. Analysis of the Thiopaq process, done by Fraunhofer Institut Umsicht [11], showed that for biogas plants with  $H_2S$  concentrations of about 2,000 ppm and gas flows of 200 m<sup>3</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>, the Thiopaq process was cheaper than dosing iron hydroxide. At lower  $H_2S$  concentrations (500 ppm), gas flows three times higher than stated above are necessary to achieve lower costs compared to the Thiopaq process. [11]

A cost comparison between the bioscrubber and internal chemical desulphurisation with ferric chloride (FeCl<sub>3</sub>) carried out by Tomàs [89] indicated that biological treatment resulted in significant savings over the chemical process. These savings are due to the reduced use of chemicals. In table 26, the investment and operating costs of the different desulphurisation processes are summarised.

Treatment	Process	Investment costs	Operating costs
		[€]	[€·a <sup>-1</sup> ]
Biological	Internal desulphurisation	~ 1 000	Negligible
	Single-stage bioscrubber	80 000 - 100 000	12 000
	Double-stage bio-scrubber sys- tem	~ 160 000	$\sim 8\ 000$
Chemical	Iron containing purification compounds (intern)	10 000 - 15 000	2 000 - 30 000
	Column with iron hydroxide	$\sim 100\ 000$	~ 1 200
Physical	Activated carbon filter	10 000 - 50 000	4 500 - 26 000

 Table 26: Cost comparisons of other biogas desulphurisation processes for [85,91]

Investment and operating costs of other industrial physical and chemical desulphurisation processes are much higher than costs of the humate containing bio-scrubber system (see chapter 9.3).

In particular, compared to chemical processes, the humate system has the advantage that no waste is produced, so there are no costs for waste removal.

# 9. Application in practice

The application of a humate containing bio-scrubber system in desulphurisation is evaluated in this chapter. Different case studies were conducted to determine the scope of application. Its application in optimising existing biogas plants, in new biogas plants, and in preliminary treatment for the production of biomethane is analysed. These case studies are based on calculations and simulations using the model developed in chapter 7.1 as well as the cost evaluation performed in chapter 8. Experiences from a pilot plant are briefly described and their results were considered in the different case studies.

In addition, the application of the humate containing bio-scrubber system was analysed in other fields of application, for example in the removal of sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>). The evaluation in this chapter is based on literature research.

# 9.1. Experiences at pilot plant

This chapter describes the experiences during the operation of a double-stage bio-scrubber pilot plant. The results of the laboratory experiments were compared to the results from the pilot plant. Due to a high number of problems and malfunctions no long-term results could be obtained. Therefore the experiences were compared to the experiences described in literature to provide suggestions for the application of this process in practice. The pilot plant was built at the biogas power station site of the biogas plant in Albersdorf (see chapter 9.2.1). It contained a 2 m<sup>3</sup> scrubber and a 3 m<sup>3</sup> bioreactor according to the schematic flow diagram shown in figure 1. A complete flow diagram from the builder of the pilot plant, TIG Wessel Umwelt-technik GmbH, is shown in figure A1 in the annex. Figure 55 shows a photo of the pilot plant. The dimensions and design of the pilot plant, completed by Wessel Umwelttechnik, are described in annex A4.



### Figure 55: Pilot plant in Albersdorf

The quality of the biogas was measured using different methods. The biogas was measured on-site using the following measuring devices. Raw and clean biogas were analysed regularly.

- Multi-channel measuring unit SSM 6000 (Pronova Analysentechnik GmbH & Co. KG)
- Multi-gas measuring device SR2-DO (Sewerin GmbH)
- Methane sensor BCP-CH<sub>4</sub> (Blue sens gas sensor GmbH)

In addition to these analyses, samples of biogas were taken and analysed in the laboratory using the following methods:

- Electro-chemical H<sub>2</sub>S Data Logger OdaLog (App-Tek International Pty Ltd)
- Test-tubes (Dräger Safety AG & Co. KGaA)
- Gas chromatography
- Mass spectrometry

The liquid samples, which were taken from the scrubber and the bioreactor, were measured analogously to the samples of the laboratory bioreactor. Additionally, the pilot plant includes continuous and automatic recording of measurements for pH, temperature and  $O_2$  concentration. Figure A24 in the annex shows a screen shot of this recording.

The addition of Humin-P into the bioreactor of the pilot plant started in spring 2010. The washing liquid contained 0.7 % Humin-P. Clean gas concentrations of 40 ppm were achieved. An overview of the operational parameters of the pilot plant during this time is shown in table 27. Unfortunately, the operation stopped in May 2010 because the space was required by the biogas plant Albersdorf. A long-term test of an operation with humates could not be carried out.

Parameter	Value
Gas flow	$10.6 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$
Water flow	$4 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$
H <sub>2</sub> S raw gas	1 100 ppm
H <sub>2</sub> S clean gas	40 ppm
Temperature	20 °C
O <sub>2</sub> concentration	$3.5 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$
pH value	6.5

Table 27: Parameters during test operation with humates in spring 2010

The average  $O_2$  concentration was, as shown in table 27, 3.5 mg·l<sup>-1</sup>. This value is similar to the optimum  $O_2$  concentration calculated according to Schneider [39] (see chapter 3.4.3). With a  $\lambda$  of two and the data in table 27, the optimum  $O_2$  concentration obtained was 3.7 mg·l<sup>-1</sup>. The air flow was an average of 0.5 m<sup>3</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>. The disadvantage is that the air flow could only be regulated to a minimum of 1.3 m<sup>3</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>. Throttling aeration is recommended because with the alternating mode used, high concentrations of  $O_2$  can occur in a very short time. As described in chapter 3.4 and chapter 5.2.2, low  $O_2$  concentrations are essential for the production of elemental sulphur.

The optimum temperature for sulphur bacteria is 30 °C (see chapter 5.2.2). However, the temperature was often below this value, except in summer. The operation in spring, autumn and winter showed that a good heating system was necessary. The heating of the pilot plant was carried out with a normal electrical heater which was independent of PLC (programmable logic control). Targeted control is recommended, especially for small plants where the turbulences due to higher volume flows are minimal. The heating could be realised simply with immersed dived heat exchangers.
The pH was controlled by the PLC which regulated the addition of NaOH. The buffer capacity of Humin-P was also analysed during the operation of the pilot plant when considering the amount of NaOH added during operation with and without Humin-P. An exact determination of the amount of NaOH added could not be carried out.

The experiences at the pilot plant clearly demonstrated a growth of sulphur bacteria at the walls and tubes. Figure 56 shows the biofilm of the sulphur bacteria on the sight glass of the bioreactor from the beginning to the end of the operation of the pilot plant. The photo on the left demonstrates the beginning of the operation without any biofilm. After some weeks a white biofilm is visible (second photo from the left). The two photos on the right show decolourisation due to humate addition. Other components also have biofilm. This is shown on selected pictures in figure A23 in the annex.

Due to biofilm formation, packing material in the bioreactor should be considered for such plants to provide the bacteria more volume for settling. The clogging of the packed bed has to be considered as well. During the one-year operation of the pilot plant, no problems due to clogging were encountered.



Figure 56: Photos of biofilm formation of sulphur bacteria on sight glass of bioreactor of pilot plant

Removing the washing liquid is necessary to remove sulphur from the system and prevent its agglomeration. This removal is dependent on the content of S and  $SO_4^{2-}$  as well as on the pressure drop in the column. The solids content in the liquid flow should not be too high (~ below 5 wt-%). A washing out of sulphur bacteria has to be avoided. When removing washing liquid, fresh water is brought in. The fresh water supply in the pilot plant was about 0.036 m<sup>3</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup>. For application in practice this value would be higher when more sulphur is produced and has to be removed. For the Thiopaq process with volume flows between 200 and 500 m<sup>3</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup> this value is about 1 m<sup>3</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup> [85].

The dosage of the nutrient solution and the defoamer was regulated manually. Operating the plant with humates resulted in a higher foam formation than operating the plant without humates. This foam formation was also observed in the laboratory experiments and in experiments carried out by Freudenthal [31]. Regulating defoamer addition should be considered for such a plant. Adding additional nutrient with a nutrient solution is necessary for sulphur bacteria. For a double-stage bio-scrubber system according to the Thiopaq process (gas flow:  $500 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ ) one litre per day of a mineral nutrient was added [85]. This value provides a guideline for practical application.

Protecting against explosions has to be considered when designing a biogas desulphurisation process. In the area around the scrubber, there should be no explosion risk. The control of raw gas pressure, to ensure no entry of  $O_2$  into the gas tubes to avoid dangerous environments, was not found to be a good solution. In addition to the CH<sub>4</sub> sensor, an  $O_2$  sensor in the raw gas stream is suggested.

## 9.2. Application at biogas plants

To evaluate the application at biogas plants different case studies were carried out. Two existing biogas plants and one new biogas plant with two options for using the biogas produced were studied.

The volume of the bioreactor  $V_R$  can be calculated using the  $H_2S$  loading ratio  $L_{H2S}$  and the degradation rate r of the sulphur bacteria.

$$V_R = \frac{L_{H_2S}}{r} \tag{9.1}$$

In laboratory experiments an average degradation rate of 5  $\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  was obtained (see chapter 5.2.3). This value is much lower than the values between 20 and 80  $\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  found in the literature [39,50,53]. Reason for that might be the lower temperature in the laboratory bioreactors (see chapter 5.2.3). Due to an operation of the bioreactor in practice with optimum temperature for the bacteria, the degradation rate of H<sub>2</sub>S is assumed to be 20  $\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  for the calculations carried out in the different case studies.

The loading is dependent on the volume flow and the  $H_2S$  concentration in the liquid phase. The  $H_2S$  concentration in the liquid phase is dependent on the  $H_2S$  concentration in the gas phase, the absorption efficiency and chemical reactions e.g. dissociation. This value is obtained through simulation of the scrubber (see chapter 7).

The dimensions of the column are dependent on the gas flow and the  $H_2S$  concentration of the raw biogas. Desired clean gas concentrations of 50 ppm are assumed.

Important for the application of the bio-scrubber system at biogas plants is the consideration of explosion protection. Explosion protection is not evaluated for the individual plants in these case studies. The points described in chapter 9.1 should be considered in explosion protection.

## 9.2.1. Case Study: Albersdorf

For this case study the application of the bio-scrubber system as an alternative to the existing bioscrubber was conducted. The biogas plant in Albersdorf uses a normal counter current bio-scrubber for desulphurisation (see chapter 3.1.2). Table 28 presents the main characteristics of the biogas plant in Albersdorf and figure 57 presents a photo of this plant.

teristies of the blogus plant fibers	uon
Electrical output	836 kW
Thermal output	ca. 1 MW
Gas production	ca. $370 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$
Input (mainly cow manure)	ca. 86 000 t $\cdot$ a <sup>-1</sup>
Gas contents	CH <sub>4</sub> : 60 - 65 %
	CO <sub>2</sub> : 35 - 40 %
	H <sub>2</sub> S: ca. 1 000 ppm (raw gas)
	H <sub>2</sub> S: < 100 ppm (clean gas)

Table 28: Characteristics of the biogas plant Albersdorf



Figure 57: The biogas plant Albersdorf

The direct input of humates into such a single stage bioscrubber could not be carried out. The washing liquid of the bioscrubber has a pH of about 1.5. At these low pH values the humates precipitate. A neutral operation would aggravate clogging because of additional sulphur formation.

If installing a double-stage bio-scrubber system at the biogas plant in Albersdorf, their scrubber can be used and only an additional bioreactor is necessary. The bioscrubber in Albersdorf has a height of 12 m, a diameter of 3.2 m and contains about 70 m<sup>3</sup> filling material.

With an optimum humate concentration of 2 wt-%, a volume flow of washing liquid of  $15 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$  is necessary. The sulphide flow into the bioreactor is at maximum 525 kg·h<sup>-1</sup> and so the bioreactor has to have a volume of 26 m<sup>3</sup>. In comparison to the actual bioscrubber, washing water is saved of more than 50 %. The outflow can be used as fertiliser.

If the investment in a bio-scrubber system is profitable for such an existing plant, it has to be evaluated with a more detailed cost estimation. For a new plant with such characteristics, a bio-scrubber system is recommended.

The biogas plant in Albersdorf plans to expand their plant and is building a new fermenter. Assuming that the  $H_2S$  concentration stays constant and the flow duplicates, they can still use their bioscrubber as scrubber in a humate containing bio-scrubber system. Only the additional bioreactor has to be bigger.

## 9.2.2. Case Study: Bargfeld-Stegen

For this case study the application of the bio-scrubber system in the existing biogas plant in Bargfeld-Stegen was conducted. The biogas plant in Bargfeld-Stegen actually has an internal biogas desulphurisation process (see chapter 3.1.2). The use of the humate containing bio-scrubber system was identified as alternative to this internal process. Table 29 presents the main characteristics of the biogas plant in Bargfeld-Stegen and figure 58 presents a photo of the plant.

Electrical output	ca. 110 kW
Thermal output	ca. 109 kW
Gas production	$50 - 55 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$
Input	Maize silage: 1 460 t·a <sup>-1</sup>
	Cow manure: 2 000 $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{a}^{-1}$
Gas contents	СН4: 50 - 52 %
	CO <sub>2</sub> : 46 - 48 %
	H <sub>2</sub> S: 50 - 150 ppm

Table 29: Characteristics of the biogas plant in Bargfeld-Stegen



Figure 58: Biogas plant Bargfeld-Stegen

Due to the substrate composition the H<sub>2</sub>S concentration of the raw gas is estimated to be 800 ppm. The actual cost of internal desulphurisation is about  $600 \ e^{-a^{-1}}$  [92]. With an optimum humate concentration of 2 wt-%, the amount of washing water necessary would be  $4 \ m^3 \cdot h^{-1}$  with 5 theoretical stages in the scrubber. The resulting volume of the bioreactor is  $3.1 \ m^3$ .

The investment as well as the operating costs would be higher than the present costs. For small farm biogas plants the higher costs of external systems could not be recovered. Therefore, their application is only recommended for bigger biogas plants. In the literature a value of 200 kW<sub>el</sub> is given as the value when the application of external desulphurisation processes becomes reasonable [93]. Due to the positive effects of  $H_2S$  removal a direct input of humates in the fermenter might be an option (see chapter 10). When implementing an additional fermenter an external desulphurisation process is recommend for the biogas plant in Bargfeld-Stegen. The bio-scrubber system can treat the biogas from both plants.

## 9.2.3. Conversion into biomethane

In this chapter, a case study was conducted to analyse the application of the bio-scrubber system for a new biogas plant. The desulphurisation process is included in the treatment to produce biomethane. Table 30 shows the characteristics of the biogas plant used for this case study.

Table 50: Characteristics of blogas plan	Table 30:	Characteristics	of bioga	s plant
--	-----------	-----------------	----------	---------

Gas production	$500 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$
Gas contents	CH <sub>4</sub> : 65 %
	CO <sub>2</sub> : 35 %
	H <sub>2</sub> S: 1 000 ppm

With 10 theoretical stages, a wash water stream of  $20 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$  with a humate concentration of 2 wt-% is necessary. The bioreactor has a volume of 36 m<sup>3</sup>. For the same scrubber without humates, a wash water stream of  $250 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$  would be necessary. For a bioscrubber with biofilm in the column the wash water would be lower, but anyway the savings in wash water are enormous.

The humate containing bio-scrubber system is especially recommended for the desulphurisation step before the conversion into biomethane. Processes where the biogas is diluted are unsuitable for the application of production of biomethane. Consequently, normal bioscrubbers, for example, cannot be used because of the dilution with air. Therefore the calculated data has to be compared with other suitable processes, whereby the humate containing bio-scrubber system would be a good option. For the desulphurisation step before a combined heat and power plant the bio-scrubber system is also recommended if high gas volume flows are existent. It can also be used for biogas with high concentrations of  $H_2S$ . The savings in water are the best advantage in comparison to normal scrubbers.

# 9.3. Other fields of application

Due to the fact that humates achieve very high improvements in the removal of H<sub>2</sub>S, their addition could also be used in other industrial gas purifications. For example, sulphur-containing gases have to be treated in paper mills, gas works, petrochemical plants and tanneries. The application of the removal of SO<sub>2</sub> is also an alternative to use the humates containing bioscrubber system. Green [27] has already analysed that sodium humates can absorb SO<sub>2</sub> efficiently and to a high capacity. The removal of SO<sub>2</sub> of exhaust gases, for example in power plants, may be an application. The purification of coke oven gas can be another application for the addition of humates. Coke oven gas contains 4 - 9 g·m<sup>-3</sup> of NH<sub>3</sub> and 7 - 12 g·m<sup>-3</sup> of H<sub>2</sub>S and also amounts of carbon disulphide (CS<sub>2</sub>) and carbon oxide sulphide (COS) [77]. Table 31 gives an overview about different sulphur-containing gases and their source.

Gas compound	Chemical Formula	Examples of source
Hydrogen sulphide	$H_2S$	Landfill, biogas, sewage gas, natural gas
Sulphur dioxide	SO <sub>2</sub>	Fuels from coal and crude oil, power plants, diesel exhaust gases, paper industry etc.
Sulphur trioxide	$SO_3$	Oxidation of H <sub>2</sub> S and SO <sub>2</sub> , production of H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>
Dimethyl sulphide	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> S	Boiling of different vegetables (e.g. cereals, cabbage)
Carbon disulphide	CS <sub>2</sub>	Unclean natural gas, coke oven gas
Carbonyl sulphide	COS	Atmosphere, natural gas, volcanic gases, coke oven gas

 Table 31: Overview of sulphur-containing gas compounds [85,94,95]

For industrial desulphurisation, chemical and physical processes are mainly used, for example the limestone process, the Amasox-process or the Wellmann-Lord-process. The costs of these processes are much higher than the costs of biogas desulphurisation. [94]

The case studies for the application of the humate containing bio-scrubber process at biogas plants have shown that their application is recommended for biogas plants with volume flows higher than about 300 m<sup>3</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore an application of the humate containing bio-scrubber process is recommended for the removal of H<sub>2</sub>S from natural gas where volume flows are higher. An efficient removal of H<sub>2</sub>S from sewage and landfill gas with humate solution was analysed in laboratory experiments (see chapter 4.2.2), so the application in this two fields is suggested. The volume flows are also higher than in small biogas plants. Table 32 shows the average H<sub>2</sub>S concentration of these gases.

mer ent gases [2	<i>P</i>
Gas	<b>Concentration</b> [ppm]
Biogas	$0 - 5\ 000$
Landfill gas	50 - 300
Sewage gas	10 - 40*
Natural Gas	0-35 000

Table 32: Overview of H<sub>2</sub>S in different gases [2,9]

\* up to 2,000 ppm possible (dependent on substrate)

The treatment of natural gas with high concentrations of  $H_2S$  is an application of the humates containing bio-scrubber system. The application in landfills and waste water treatments plants is only recommended for high  $H_2S$  concentrations of more than 1,000 ppm.

# 10. Conclusion and outlook

In summary, the results of the laboratory experiments show that the use of humic substances as solubility agents in biogas treatment is effective. In the laboratory experiments, significant improvements were achieved in comparison to pure water as well as alkaline water as washing liquid. With regards to the activity of sulphur bacteria, the analysis demonstrated a difference upon the addition of Humin-P, but the washing liquid can be regenerated by biological means.

For the bio-scrubber system containing humates, optimum conditions are at temperatures between 30 and 40 °C and at neutral pH environments. Optimum humate concentration is between 2 and 4 wt-%. When operating the scrubber without biological systems even higher humate concentrations and higher pH values are possible and will improve the process.

As humates are a natural product their use in this process has clear advantages over the use of artificial solubilisers (e.g. MEA, Sulfa-Clear) in optimising the treatment. In addition, humic substances ameliorate soil activity and can be used in combination with the by-product elemental sulphur as agricultural fertiliser. The use of a by-product instead of removing it as waste is an advantage of the system, especially in comparison with chemical processes.

Altogether, biogas can be desulphurised efficiently and in an environmentally-friendly way through the addition of humates. Unfortunately, its application in a pilot plant could not be tested in detail. A preliminary test showed that Humin-P worked very well in practice and optimised the system. More practical tests with the addition of humic substances are recommended to verify the laboratory results in practice and to gain more information about the regeneration of humates.

The long-term behaviour of the humate solution should be analysed in detail. In particular, changes with regard to the microbiological degradation of sulphide, insufficient chemical stability and process-related wear have to be determined. The influence of the humate concentrations on the plant operation and process stability must also be analysed. An optimum dose of humates should be determined with regards to solubility, selectivity and conversion. In addition, the regeneration efficiency has to be further analysed in long-term experiments. Experiments at a pilot plant are necessary before implementing the process in practice. The regeneration of the humates should be determined in long term-experiments.

In general, this humate containing bio-scrubber system can only be efficiently used for bigger biogas plants where an internal desulphurisation step is not sufficient. The cost evaluation as well as the case studies showed that volume flows higher than 200  $\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$  should be feasible.

If the requirements for reliable purification performance increase up to a few ppms in clean gas, external processes would be increasingly adopted. As conversion to biomethane becomes more important, the application of desulphurisation will change because of the more stringent purity requirements.

The desire to remove formaldehyde and siloxanes is increasing. For example, hexamethyldisiloxane and octamethyltrisiloxane exist in landfill gas [96]. Sewage gas contains octamethycyclotetrasiloxane and decamethylcyclopentasiloxane [97]. The removal of siloxanes might be achieved with humates because of their characteristics e.g. huge molecular size and porosity. This should be analysed in further experiments, with a focus on the adsorption of siloxanes on humates.

The removal of  $H_2S$  by adding humic substances directly into the fermenter is an option. The adsorption effects and chemical reactions between humates and  $H_2S$  should also proceed directly in the fermenter. In this case the pH of the humates can be adjusted with sodium citrate or citric acid. The results of the interactions between  $H_2S$  and Humin-P justify such an application. But 30 years of studying the interactions between humic materials and microorganisms

showed that fermenting bacteria could reduce humic substances [24]. This study suggests that this application may not work well. Therefore, further studies should be completed before denying or applying it in practice.

To determine the biological behaviour further, the biomass or the cells can be analysed in detail using colourisation with DAPI and the FISH-technology. In a preliminary test, an effect on the bacteria consortium with and without humates was not observed. This effect on the cells by humates should be determined again in detail.

The influence of dosing nutrients on the microbiological degradation of sulphide and therefore on the purification potential of the scrubber has to be analysed. The optimum type and quantity have to be determined.

For more detailed analysis of the interactions between  $H_2S$  and Humin-P the zero point of charge of Humin-P can be determined through analysis of the zetapotential. The analysed isoelectric point helps to establish if compounds are attracted and at which point a difference may occur. From the charge obtained it can be determined if sulphide can be bound to the humates or if repulsive forces are present. Experiments with an ion selective electrode for sulphide measurements should also be carried out. The bounding of sulphide on iron compounds in the humate solution could be determined in detail using measurements of X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) [98]. Experiments to quantify the adsorption effects of  $H_2S$  on humates should be analysed as well.

The application of the humate containing bio-scrubber system in other industrial applications in practice should be analysed. For example, the removal of  $SO_2$  can be analysed with laboratory experiments according to the head space method. The removal of other components can also be analysed. Chlorinated hydrocarbons, BTEX aromatics or organosulphur odorants as well as carbon disulphide (CS<sub>2</sub>) and carbon oxide sulphide (COS) may also be removed by adding humic substances. The application of solubilisers for the removal of  $CO_2$  can also be tested. Ionic liquids could be an efficient solubiliser for this application [99].

For the model of the bio-scrubber-system with humates, the regeneration efficiency should be specified more detailed so that this can be modelled correctly. The separation of elemental sulphur should be analysed in experiments in order to specify this part of the process in the model of the bio-scrubber system.

The column could also be modelled dynamically, so that start-up processes can be modelled. Furthermore, the calculation of the flooding point of the column could be integrated.

The model of the bio-scrubber system can be optimised with additional removal of other compounds, for example  $NH_3$  or other soluble components. In addition, activities can be used instead of concentrations and the slight solubility of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  can be integrated into the model. When including the buffer capacity of the humates, the amount of additional NaOH necessary can be determined. Therefore, the savings of NaOH in comparison to other bioscrubbers can be calculated. The addition of defoaming agents and nutrient solutions can also be added to complete the processes in the bioreactor. Also, the temporal removal of water when humates become over-accumulated should be inserted into the model.

In addition to the bio-scrubber system a single-stage bioscrubber can also be modelled. Here, microbial reactions occur directly in the scrubber. The bacteria live on the packing material and form a biofilm. The addition of Humin-P could be simulated as well. This scrubber exists more often in practice. The main problem is the clogging of the packing material. The addition of Humin-P can only be simulated. In practice this application is not recommended (see chapter 9.2.1). In the modelling of a single-stage bioscrubber, biofilm formation should be in-

cluded. This biofilm could also be included in the model of the double-stage bio-scrubber system.

Additionally, a cost calculation can also be incorporated into the model or can be modelled with another program such as Aspen Custom Modeller. The net present value, the internal rate of return and the payback period could be determined to obtain a more detailed overview of the cost with regards to the application of the humate containing bio-scrubber system.

# References

- 1 EUWID (2010) Zahl der Biogasanlagen steigt höher als erwartet. Neue Energien, 8
- 2 Weiland, P. (2003) Notwendigkeit der Biogasaufbereitung, Ansprüche einzelner Nutzungsrouten und Stand der Technik. In Gülzower Fachgespräche. Workshop: "Aufbereitung von Biogas" (Fachagentur Nachwachsende Rohstoffe e.V., ed.), pp. 7–23
- 3 Huang, J., Fischer, K. and Kranert, M. (2010) Development of Biogas Plants in Latin America and Africa. In Proceedings of WasteEng10. 3rd International Conference on Engineering for Waste and Biomass Valorisation. 17. - 19.5.2010
- 4 Offermann, R.; Witt, J.; Kaltschmitt, M. (2011), BKW Das Energie-Fachmagazin 63 (6), 6-18.
- 5 Fachverband Biogas e.V. (2009), Biogas Journal (6)
- 6 Schlegel, H. G. and Zaborosch, C. (1992) Allgemeine Mikrobiologie. 41 Tabellen, Thieme, Stuttgart
- 7 Ries, T. (1993) Reduzierung der Schwefelwasserstoffbildung im Faulraum durch die Zugabe von Eisenchlorid, Gesellschaft zur Förderung des Lehrstuhls für Siedlungswasserwirtschaft und Umwelttechnik an der Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Bochum
- 8 Kaltschmitt, M. (2001) Energie aus Biomasse. Grundlagen, Techniken und Verfahren ; mit 124 Tabellen, Springer, Berlin
- 9 Bischofsberger, W., Dichtl, N., Rosenwinkel, K.-H., Seyfried, C. F. and Böhnke, B., eds. (2005) Anaerobtechnik, Springer, Berlin
- 10 Prechtl, S., Schneider, R., Anzer, T. and Faulstich, M. (2003) Mikrobiologische Entschwefelung von Biogas. In Gülzower Fachgespräche. Workshop: "Aufbereitung von Biogas" (Fachagentur Nachwachsende Rohstoffe e.V., ed.), pp. 169–183
- 11 Urban, W., Girod, K. and Lohmann, H. (2008) Technologien und Kosten der Biogasaufbereitung und Einspeisung in das Erdgasnetz. Ergebnisse der Markterhebung 2007-2008, Oberhausen
- 12 Gayh, U., Stooß, A., Behrendt, J. and Otterpohl, R. (2010) Desulphurisation of biogas. Analysis, evaluation and optimisation. In Proceedings of Venice Symposium. Third International Symposium on Energy from Biomass and Waste. 8.11 - 11.11.2010
- 13 Janssen, A. J. H., Arena, B. J. and Kijlstra, S. (2001) New developments of the Thiopaq process for the removal of H2S from gaseous streams
- 14 Fachagentur Nachwachsende Rohstoffe e.V. (2007) Studie Einspeisung von Biogas in das Erdgasnetz
- 15 Ahrens, T. (2007) Vergleichende Bewertung von Verfahren zur Biogasaufbereitung, Papierflieger, Clausthal-Zellerfeld
- 16 Sattler, K. (2001 /// a 2007) Thermische Trennverfahren. Grundlagen, Auslegung, Apparate, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim [u.a.]
- 17 Heisel, M. P. and Belloni, A. E. (1991) Options available in the Solinox vent gas purification process. Gas Separation and Purification, 111–113
- 18 Gmehling, J. and Kolbe, B. (1988) Thermodynamik. 20 Tab, Thieme, Stuttgart
- 19 Sander, R. (1999) Compilation of Henry's Law Constants for Inorganic and Organic Species of Potential Importance in Environmental Chemistry. http://www.mpch-mainz.mpg.de/~sander/res/henry.html, last viewed 15.10.1010
- 20 Kohl, A. L. and Riesenfeld, F. C. (1985) Gas purification, Gulf Publ. Co., Houston
- 21 Glindemann, D., Novak, J. T. and Witherspoon, J. (2007) Aeration tank odour by dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) waste in sewage. Water Science and Technology, 319–326
- 22 Weatherford, ed. (2005) Sulfa-Clear 8640. Hydrogen Sulfide Scavenger. Product information
- 23 Veldman, R. (2007) H2S Scavengers. Application Training, USA

- 24 Pena-Méndez, E., Havel, J. and Patocka, J. (2005) Humic Substances compounds of still unknown structure: applications in agriculture, industry, environment, and biomedicine. Journal of Applied Biomedicine, 13–24
- 25 Schulten, H.-R. and Schnitzler, M. (1995) Three-Dimensional Models for Humic Acids and Soil Organic Matter. Naturwissenschaften, 487–498
- 26 Eifler, D. (2005) Charaktersisierung von Huminstoffen durch Fraktionierung und Metwallgehaltsbestimmung mittels eines gekoppelten Systems Kaillarelektrophorese - induktiv gekoppeltes Plasma Massenspektrometer. Dissertation, Hamburg
- 27 Green, J. B. and Manahan Stanley E. (1981) Absorption of sulphur dioxide by sodium humates. FUEL 1981, 488–494
- 28 Toyama, A. and Ishizaki, K. (1971) Hydrogen Sulphide Removal, patent USA
- 29 Ketrick, B. T. (2005) Natural odor control using activated humates and microbiological augmentation, Symposium 05-STG-11. York
- 30 Oeste, F. D. and Buchholz-Oeste, S. (1996) Verfahren zur Anwendung von Huminsäure und ihren Salzen als Ferment ficiert in/an biologischen Filmen und als Katalysator in der Gas-, Wasser- und Feststoffreinigung C07G 17/00
- 31 Freudenthal, K. (2009) Odour control by selective absorption using solubility agents and washing oils. Dissertation. Hamburg
- 32 Frimmel, F. H., Christman, R. F. and Bracewell, J. M. (1988) Humic substances and their role in the environment. Report of the Dahlem Workshop on Humic Substances and Their Role in the Environment, Berlin 1987, March 29-April 3
- 33 Stevenson, F. J. and Stevenson, F. J. (1994) Humus chemistry. Genesis, composition, reactions, Wiley, New York
- 34 Ziechmann, W. (1980) Huminstoffe. Probleme, Methoden, Ergebnisse, Verl. Chemie, Weinheim
- 35 Harms, W. (2005) Huminstoffknotinuen eine unbestimmbare Matrix. ein Anlaß zur Prüfung und Bewertung der nach Bundesbodenschutzverordnung rechtsverbindlichen Extraktionsverfahren für die chemische Analyse organischer Schadstoffe in Böden. Dissertation, Oldenburg
- 36 Humintech® (2002) Environment & Humic Acids Based Products. http://www.humintech.de, last viewed 3.3.2011
- 37 Jäkle, Frederike (2003) Struktur- und Größencharakterisierung von Huminstoffen und ihren methylierten Derivaten. Dissertation. TU München, München
- 38 Buisman, C. (1990) Optimization of sulphur production in a biotechnological sulphideremoving reactor. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 50–56
- 39 Schneider, R. (2007) Biologische Entschwefelung von Biogas. Dissertation. München
- 40 Janssen, A. J. H., Sleyster, R., Kaa, C. van der, Jochemsen, A., Bontsema, J. and Lettinga, G. (1995) Biological Sulphide Oxidation in a Fed-Batch Reactor. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 1995, 327–333
- 41 Buisman, C. Kinetics of chemical and biological sulphide oxidation in aqueous solutions. Water Research 1990, 667–671
- 42 Stefess, G. C. (1993) Oxidation of sulphide to elemental sulphur by aerobic Thiobacilli. Dissertation, Delft
- 43 Carrol, J. J. (1998) AQUAlibrium© Software for Phase Equilibria in Natural Gas-Water Systems. A Discussion of the Effect of pH on the Solubility of Hydrogen Sulfide. http://www.telusplanet.net/public/jcarroll/ION.HTM, last viewed 10.7.2010
- 44 Ziechmann, W. (1996) Huminstoffe und ihre Wirkungen, Spektrum, Akad. Verl; Spektrum Akad. Verl., Heidelberg [u.a.]

- 45 Kelly, D. P. and Wood, A. P. (2000) Reclassification of some species of Thiobazillus to the newly designed genera Acidithiobazillus gen. nov., Halothiobazillus gen. nov. and Thermithiobazillus gen. nov. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology 2000, 511–516
- 46 Syed, M., Soreanu, G. F. P. and Béland, M. (2006) Removal of hydrogen sulfide from gas streams using biological processes - A review. Canadian Biosystem Engineering, 21– 30
- 47 Gonzales-Sanchez, A., Alcantara, S., Razo-Flores, E. and Revah, S. (2005) Oxygen transfer and consumption in a thiosulfate oxidizing bioreactor with sulfur production. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 141–146
- 48 Bowien, B., Schlegel, H. G. and Schlegel, H. G. (1989) Autotrophic bacteria /// Autotrophic Bacteria, Science Tech Publ.; Springer; Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- 49 Gonzales-Sanchez, A., Tomas, M., Dorado, A. D., Gamisans, X., Guisasola, A., Lafuente, J. and Gabriel, D. (2008) Development of a kinetic model for elemental sulfur and sulfate fornation from the autotrophic sulfide oxidation using respirometric techniques. In IWA2008odour. 3rd IWA Conference on Odour and VOCs
- 50 Polster, A. and Brummack, J. (2005) Verbesserung von Entschwefelungsverfahren in landwirtschaftlichen Biogasanlagen, Dresden
- 51 Pasiuk-Bronikowska, W., Ziajka, J. and Bronikowski, T. (1992) Autoxidation of sulphur compounds, Ellis Horwood [u.a.], New York
- 52 Stern, B. (. (2010) Humin-P. Email 7.10.2010
- 53 Cadenhead, P. and Sublette, K. (1990) Oxidation of hydrogen sulfide by Thiobacilli. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 1990, 1150–1154
- 54 Visser, J. M. (1997) Sulfur compound oxidation and sulfur production by Thiobacillus sp. W5
- 55 Lottspeich, F. and Engels, J. W. (2006) Bioanalytik, Elsevier, Spektrum, Akad. Verl., München ;, Heidelberg
- 56 Kleber, H.-P., Schlee, D. and Schöpp, W. (1997) Biochemisches Praktikum. Methoden für Studium, Praxis, Forschung ; mit 84 Tabellen, Fischer, Jena [u.a.]
- 57 Fresenius, K. (1942) Eine Farbreaktion für elementaren Schwefel mit Hilfe von Pyridin und anderen Lösungsmitteln. Fresenius' Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 27–29
- 58 Lauber, K. (2006) Schwefel-Mobiliserung bei Acidianus ambivalens. Dissertation, Darmstadt
- 59 Microbial Ecology Group (2000) Elementarer Schwefel. Skript, University of Zürich. Zürich
- 60 Schneider, R. (2001) Mikrobiologische Reduktion des H2S-Gehaltes von Biogas
- 61 Chen, X.-G. et al (2004) Isolation and charaterisation of sulphur-oxidizing Thiomonas sp. and its potential application in biological deodorization. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 39(6), 495-503
- 62 Tan, K. H. (2003) Humic matter in soil and the environment, principles and controversies, Marcel Dekker, New York
- 63 Gniffke, P. (2010) National Trend Tables for the German Atmospheric Emission Reporting. 1990 - 2008 (Version: EU-Submission 15.01.2010). www.umweltbundesamt.de, last viewed 4.10.2010
- 64 Damman, B. (2005) Abluftreinigung von schwefelorganischen Gerüchen. Dissertation. Hamburg
- 65 Kleinwanzleben Saatzucht AG (2007) Mais Anbauplaner
- 66 Kleinwanzleben Saatzucht AG (2007) Winterraps Anbauplaner
- 67 Luvena S. A. (2003) Schwefeldüngung. Rolle des Schwefels bei der optimalen Düngerplanung. http://www.luvena.pl/page.php/3/0/show/75, last viewed 4.10.2010

- 68 Singh, S., Rekka, P. D., Arun, A. B. and Young, C.-C. (2009) Impacts of monosodium glutamate industrial wastewater on plant growth and soil characteristics. Ecological Engineering, 1559–1563
- 69 König, B. (2008) Untersuchungen zur stofflichen Verwendung von extrahierter Fichtenrinde, Cuvillier Verlag Göttingen (67)
- 70 Salac, I. (2006) Influence of sulfur fertilization on sulfur metabolites, disease incidence and severity of fungal pathogens in oilseed rape in Scotland. Landbauforschung Völkenrode, 1–4
- 71 Flachowsky, G. and Hachenberg, S. (2009) Anmerkungen zu CO2-Footprints für Lebensmittel tierischer Herkunft, Kleve
- 72 Fritzson, P. (2004) Principles of object-oriented modeling and simulation with Modelica 2.1, IEEE Press [u.a.], Piscataway, NJ
- 73 Tiller, M. (2004) Introduction to physical modeling with Modelica, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Boston, Mass.
- 74 Reichert, P. (1998) AQUASIM 2.0 User Manual. Computer Program for The Identidication and Simulation of Aquatic Systems, EAWAG, Dübendorf-Zürich (Schweiz). Bibliographie Inn, Günther Frauenlob und Jürg Bloesch. Eidgenössische Anstalt für Wasserversorgung, Abwasserreinigung und Gewässerschutz EAWAG 11
- 75 Wankat, P. C. and Wankat, P. C. (1988) Equilibrium staged separations. Separations in chemical engineering, Elsevier, New York, NY /// New York
- 76 Hüpen, B. (2008) Rigorose Modellierung und Simulation von Chemisorptionsprozessen. Dissertation, Dortmund
- 77 Thiele, R. (2007) Selektive Absorption innerhalb der Kokereigasreinigung. Techn. Univ., Diss.--Berlin., VDI-Verl., Düsseldorf
- 78 Angelidaki, I., Ellegaard, L. and Ahring, B. K. (1993) A mathematical model for dynamic simulation of anaerobic digestion of complex substrates: Focusing on ammonia inhibition. Biotechnology and Bioengineering, 159–166
- 79 Henze, M. (2007) Activated sludge models ASM1, ASM2, ASM2d and ASM3, IWA Publ., London
- 80 Wagner, M. (1997) Sauerstoffeintrag und Sauerstoffertrag von Belüftungssystemen und deren Bestimmung mit modernen Messmethoden, Verein zur Förderung des Inst. WAR, Darmstadt
- 81 Van't Riet, K. (1979) Review of Measuring Methods and Results in Nonviscous Gas-Liquid Mass Transfer in Stirred Vessels. Ind. Eng. Chem. Proc. Des. Dev 18, 357–364
- 82 Gonzales-Sanchez, A., Meulepas, R. and Revah, S. (2008) Sulfur formation and recovery in a thiosulfate oxidising bioreactor. Environmental Engineering, 847–853
- 83 Peters, M. S., Timmerhaus, K. D. and West, R. E. (2003) Plant design and economics for chemical engineers, McGraw-Hill, New York
- 84 Hertel, H. (2010) HH System- und Anlagentechnik Holger Hertel. http://hhanlagentechnik.com/html/deutsch.html, Email, 1.12.2010
- 85 Ramesohl, S., Hofmann, F., Urban, W., Arnold, K., Althaus, W. and Burmester, F. (2005) Analyse und Bewertung der Nutzungsmöglichkeiten von Biomasse. Band 3: Biomassevergasung, Technologien und Kosten der Gasaufbereitung und Potenziale der Biogaseinspeisung in Deutschland, Wuppertal, Leipzig, Oberhausen, Essen
- 86 Stern, B. (2010) Costs Humin-P. E-Mail 13.12.2010
- 87 Ploegmakers, M. (2010) Costs Sulfa-Clear. E-Mail 26.11.2010
- 88 Hansa Landhandel Düngerrechner (2010). http://www.hansa-landhandel.de/, last viewed 20.12.2010
- 89 Tomàs, M., Gabriel, D., Gamisans, X. and Lafuente, J. (2008) Technical and economical study of a full-scale biotrikling filter for H2S removal from biogas. In IWA2008odour. 3rd IWA Conference on Odour and VOCs

- 90 Paques Thiopaq®. Cost effective H2S removal. Information brochure, http://www.paques.nl/, last viewed 22.12.2010
- 91 Hornbacher, D., Hutter, G. and Moor, D. (2005) Biogas-Netzeinspeisung. Rechtliche, wirtschaftliche und und technische Vorraussetzungen in Österreich, Wien
- 92 Konrad, T. Kosten Luftentschwefelung Bargfeld-Stegen. Email 28.12.2010
- 93 Klinski, S. (2007) Einspeisung von Biogas in das Erdgasnetz, Leipzig
- 94 Friede, Heimo (2001) Simulation von Rauchgasentschwefelungsverfahren zur Optimierung von Auslegungsdaten und Investitionskosten, Düsseldorf
- 95 Wiesenberger, H.; Kircher, J. (2001) Stand der Technik in der Schwefelsäureerzeugung im Hinblick auf die IPPC-Richtlinie, Federal Environment Agency - Austria, (137), Wien
- 96 Narros, A., Del Peso, M., Mele, G., Vinot, M., Fernandez, E. and Rodriguez, M. (2009) Determination of siloxanes in landfill gas by adsorption on Tenax tubes and TD-GC-MS. In 12th International Waste Management and Landfill Symposium
- 97 Rossol, D. and Schmelz, K. (2005) Siloxane im Faulgas. gwf Wasser/Abwasser 146
- 98 Mangold, S. (2003) Untersuchung und Validierung sequenzieller Extraktionsverfahren durch X-ray Absorption Fine Structure Spectroscopy (XAFS). Dissertation, Hamburg
- 99 Hasib-ur-Raham, M., Siaj, M. and Larachi, F. (2010) Ionic liquids for CO2 capture Development and progress. Chemical Engineering and Processing, 313–322
- 100 Lüdecke, D., Lüdecke, C. and Lüdecke-Lüdecke (2000) Thermodynamik. Physikalischchemische Grundlagen der thermischen Verfahrenstechnik, Springer, Berlin
- 101 Keil, F. (2003) Chemische Reaktionstechnik 1. Vorlesungsskript, TU Hamburg-Harburg, Hamburg
- 102 Feng, Y. (2004) Calibration and Verification of a Mathematical Model for the Simulation of Blackwater/Biowaste Digestion. Masterthesis, Hamburg
- 103 Behrendt, J. (1993) Biologisch-chemische Behandlung von Prozesswässern der Altlastensanierung sowie von kontaminierten Grundwässern. Dissertation, Berlin
- 104 Ans, J. d', Lax, E. and Lechner, M. D. (1992) Physikalisch-chemische Daten, Springer, Berlin [u. a.]
- 105 Verein Deutscher Ingenieure (1996) Biologische Abgasreinigung. Biowäscher und Rieselbettreaktoren. Berlin: Beuth Verlag GmbH (VDI 3478), 1996.
- 106 Holleman, A. F., Wiberg, E., Wiberg, N., Holleman-Wiberg and Holleman, A. F. (2007) Lehrbuch der anorganischen Chemie, de Gruyter, Berlin
- 107 Pouliquen, F., Blanc, C., Arretz, E., Labat, I., Tournier-Lasserve, J. and Ladousse, A.(2008) Hydrogen Sulfide. In Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry
- 108 Lens, P. N. L. (2004) Environmental technologies to treat sulphur pollution. Principles and engineering, IWA Publ., London

# Annex

A1 Calculation of enthalpies	I
A2 Inclusion of pH and temperature dependency in the bioreactor	model II
A3 Inclusion of buffer capacity in the bioreactor model	II
A4 Dimensions of the pilot plant	III
A6 Tables	5
A7 Figures	7

#### A1 Calculation of enthalpies

The enthalpies h for the biogas and the washing liquid are calculated according to equation A.1. The enthalpy h of the gas represents the enthalpy of the single gas components where the influence of  $H_2S$  is neglected because of its very low concentration. The heat capacity  $c_p$  is calculated according to equation A.2.

$$h(T) = h(T_0) + \int_{T_0}^{T} c_P(T) dt$$
(A.1)

$$c_P(T) = a + b \cdot T + c \cdot T^2 + d \cdot T^{-2}$$
(A.2)

Table A1 shows the coefficients for equation A.2.

	CH <sub>4</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> O
a [J·mol <sup>-1</sup> ·K <sup>-1</sup> ]	2.23466	2.93296	8.712·10 <sup>-2</sup>
b [J·mol <sup>-1</sup> ·K <sup>-2</sup> ]	9.69265·10 <sup>-2</sup>	$3.99272 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.25 \cdot 10^{-3}$
c $[J \cdot mol^{-1} \cdot K^{-3}]$	-2.60253·10 <sup>-5</sup>	-1.47503·10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.18.10-6
d [J·K·mol <sup>-1</sup> ]	$6.10863 \cdot 10^5$	$-2.48862 \cdot 10^5$	0

Table A1: Constants for the calculation of heat capacities cP of CH4, CO2 and H2O [100,101]

The reaction enthalpies  $\Delta h_{R,i}$  can be calculated with equation A.3.

$$\Delta h_{R,i} = \sum v_i \cdot \Delta h_{f,i} \tag{A.3}$$

The enthalpies of formation  $\Delta h_{f,i}$  of the different compounds are listed in table A2.

$\Delta h_{f,H2S}$ [kJ·mol	-1] -39.7
$\Delta h_{f,HS}$ [J·mol	-17.5
$\Delta h_{f,H^+}$ [J·mol <sup>-</sup>	<sup>1</sup> ] 0
$\Delta h_{f,S2}$ [J·mol	<sup>1</sup> ] -33.0

The enthalpy of solution of  $H_2S \Delta h_{Sol}$  is assumed to be constant over the range of temperature considered and is set at 17459 J·mol<sup>-1</sup>[19].

#### A2 Inclusion of pH and temperature dependency in the bioreactor model

The dependence of maximum growth rates  $\mu_{max}$  on temperature has to be estimated experimentally for a given range of temperature, e.g. 10 °C to 70 °C. According to Angelidaki [78] the following relation can be used. The temperature coefficient  $\alpha$  can be estimated using AquaSim's parameter estimation. The optimum temperature was determined to 30 °C (see chapter 5.2.2).

$$\mu_{\max}(T) = \mu_{\max, T_{opt}} - \alpha \cdot (T_{opt} - T) \text{ when } T < T_{opt}$$
(A.4)

$$\mu_{\max}(T) = \mu_{\max, T_{opt}} \cdot \frac{(T_{\max} - T)}{(T_{\max} - T_{opt})} \quad \text{when } T > T_{opt}$$
(A.5)

The influence of pH has to be incorporated into the growth kinetics. The following formula from Angelidaki [78] can be used.

$$f_{\rm pH} = \frac{1 + 2 \cdot 10^{0.5(pH_1 - pH_2)}}{1 + 10^{(pH - pH_2)} + 10^{(pH1 - pH)}}$$
(A.6)

In the Michaelis pH function,  $pH_1$  and  $pH_2$  are the lower and upper pH values respectively, where the growth rates are approximately 50 % of the uninhibited rate. It was determined that the activity of the bacteria is at its optimum at neutral pH values.  $pH_1$  and  $pH_2$  have to be determined through experiments.

#### A3 Inclusion of buffer capacity in the bioreactor model

The buffer capacity of the humates can be considered in the bioreactor. When considering the carbonate buffer of the humates, the stripping of  $CO_2$  and the dissociation of carbonic acid (H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>) have to be included. The dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and the gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> tend to be in equilibrium. The following dissociations reactions occur in addition to CO<sub>2</sub> stripping. H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is used to represent both H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> in liquid phase. This is in accordance to Feng [102] who analysed that the error could be neglected.

$$\varphi_{CO_2} = k_L a_{CO_2} \cdot \left( H_{CO_2} \cdot p_{CO_2} - c_{H_2CO_3} \right)$$
(A.7)

$$\mathrm{H}_{2} CO_{3} \Leftrightarrow HCO_{3}^{-} + \mathrm{H}^{+}$$
(A.8)

$$\mathrm{H} CO_{3}^{-} \Leftrightarrow^{K_{5}} CO_{3}^{2-} + \mathrm{H}^{+}$$
(A.9)

The partial pressure  $p_{CO2}$  can be calculated according to Daltons Law and the Henry constant  $H_{CO2}$  can be calculated according the following formula used by Behrendt [103]. The specific overall mass transfer coefficient  $k_{L}a_{CO2}$  is calculated in correlation with  $k_{L}a_{O2}$  and the diffusion coefficients  $D_{O2}$  (= 2.33·10-5 cm<sup>2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>) and  $D_{CO2}$  (= 1.60·10<sup>-5</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>) [104]. The concentration of the gaseous  $CO_2$  is assumed to be constant in this model. When modelling the bio-

scrubber system, the concentration of the gaseous  $CO_2$  in the bioreactor is higher than in the model for the bioreactor alone because of the  $CO_2$  from the biogas which might be dissolved in the washing liquid.

$$p_{CO2} = c_{CO2} \cdot R \cdot T \tag{A.10}$$

$$H_{CO2} = \exp\left(\frac{18980.723}{R \cdot T} - 0.316464\right)$$
(A.11)

$$\frac{k_L a_{O2}}{k_L a_{CO2}} = \left(\frac{D_{O2}}{D_{CO2}}\right)^{0.5}$$
(A.12)

The equilibrium constants  $K_i$  for the dependence of dissociations on temperature can be calculated with equation 7.9 using the following constants [77].

- A = 235.482; B = 0; C = -12092.1; D = -36.7816 for equation A8
- A = 220.067; B = 0; C = -12431.7; D = -35.4819 for equation A.9

Other buffer systems in the bioreactor such as a hydrogen phosphate buffer should be neglected because of low concentrations. The carbonate buffer of the nutrient solution can be neglected as well. Furthermore, it should be assumed that the amount and structure of the humates do not change in the bioreactor.

#### A4 Dimensions of the pilot plant

The pilot plant was designed by Wessel Umwelttechnik GmbH, Hamburg. The standard procedure for dimensioning a bioscrubber is described in the literature [105]. The scrubber of the pilot plant was dimensioned by downscaling an existing bioscrubber at the biogas plant in Albersdorf. The parameters used were the empty tube speed of the gas in the column to determine the diameter of the column and the residence time to determine the height of the packing material. The gas characteristics such as temperature and concentrations are known. For the packing material of the scrubber a huge packing (Hilfow<sup>®</sup> 90-7) was chosen. This has a smaller surface and therefore the separation efficiency is lower, but fast clogging resulting in a high pressure drop is prevented. Two full cone nozzles are used to regularly sprinkle washing water.

To dimension the bioreactor, the retention time is set at 45 minutes based on previous experiences. With a water flow of  $4 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$ , the volume of the bioreactor is determined to be  $3 \text{ m}^3$ . Normally, the volume  $V_R$  of the bioreactor is calculated using the ratio of loading L and the degradation rate r of the bacteria (see equation 9.1). The aeration is carried out by a membrane tube diffuser.

Figure A1 shows the flow sheet of the pilot plant built by Wessel Umwelttechnik GmbH.



# A6 Tables

Chemical formula	$H_2S$
Molecular mass	34.08 g·mol <sup>-1</sup>
CAS number	7783-06-4
Chemical state	Gaseous
Colour	Colourless
Odour	Rotten eggs
Odour threshold value	0.00140.0056 mg·m <sup>-3</sup>
Critical temperature	100.25 °C
Critical pressure	8.96 MPa
Critical density	347.63 kg·m⁻³
Flash point	100 °C
Ignition temperature	270 °C
Density, 0 °C	1.536 g·l <sup>-3</sup>
Solubility in water, 20 °C	2.61 l·l <sup>-1</sup>
Solubility in ethanol, 20 °C	approx. 11-12 1·1 <sup>-1</sup>
OEL	10 ppm

 Table A3: Characteristics of the hydrogen sulphide (H2S) [106,107]

Table A 4. A outo toxia offects of U S in humans	
Table A4: Acute toxic effects of fight in numaris	11071

Concentration	Duration of exposure						
of H <sub>2</sub> S			•				
[ppm]	15 min	<u>15 min - 1 h 1 h - 4 h 4 h - 8 h</u>					
10				Eye irritation			
50 - 100	Loss of olfactory per-	Eye irritation	Eye and	Danger in case of			
	ception		bronchial ir-	continuous expo-			
			ritation sure				
150 - 250	Loss of olfactory per-	Eye and bron-	Serious respira	tory distress and as-			
	ception	chial irritation	irritation thenia				
300 - 400	Loss of olfactory per-	Severe respi-	Pulmonary ede	ema and death			
	ception, eye and bron-	ratory distress,					
	chial irritation, asthenia	acute asthenia					
500 - 1 000	Loss of consciousness,	Risk of pulmonary edema and death					
	respiratory distress						
> 1 000	Immediate loss of conscio	ousness and respirat	tory distress, risk	c of death			

Tuble fiel Chiaucon numbers of selected surpliar compounds [100]	Table A5: Oxidation	numbers	of selected	sulphur co	ompounds	[108]
--	---------------------	---------	-------------	------------	----------	-------

Oxidation number	Sulphur compound
- II	$H_2S$ , $HS^-$ , $S^{2-}$
0	S
+II	$S_2O_3^{2-}$
+IV	$SO_3^{2-}$
+ VI	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ,SO <sub>3</sub>

Table A6: Characteristics of humic substances	[26]
	1-~I

Molecular weight	High molecular structure, several 100 up to 100 000 g·mol <sup>-1</sup>										
<b>Element contents</b>	Element Average value [%] Variation [%]										
	С	54	~ 10								
	0	33	~ 8								
	Н	4,5	~ 3								
	Ν	2,7	~ 2,6								
	S	S $\leq 2$ -									
	Р	P <1 -									
<b>Basic components</b>	Aromatic and aliphatic structural elements, phenolic hydroxyl										
	groups and ether groups										
Acidity	Acidic character via -COOH and phenolic groups, capability for cat-										
	ion exchange										
Polyelectrolyte	Humic substances are polyelectrolytes										
<b>Complexing agents</b>	Due to different donor functions: complexing agent for metal ions;										
	Trace amounts of reversibly or irreversibly bound metal ions are al-										
	ways present in humic substances; organic compounds are bound										
	through hydrogen bonds or C-C-bonds.										
Agglomerates	In solution: formation of reversible agglomerates, in dependence on										
	surrounding in dynamic equilibrium										
Surface activity	Surface activity due to hydrophobic and hydrophilic compounds										
Spectroscopic	UV-Spectres	decrease monotone; IF	R-Spectres have w	vide, little char-							
properties	acteristic bands										

#### Table A7: List of biogas plant where samples were taken

Biokraft Albersdorf in 25767 Albersdorf
Gut Stegen in 23863 Bargfeld-Stegen
BioEN Nord in 21357 Bardowiek
Bea Dithmarschen in 25693 Sankt Michaelisdonn
BioWerk Hamburg in 22525 Hamburg
Hof Steinberg in 21354 Bleckede
Schnapsbeckenhof in 79874 Breitnau
Gasthaus zum Strauß in 79874 Breitnau
Palmhof in 78199 Bräunlingen
Deponie Scheinberg in 79539 Lörrach
VERA Klärschlammverbrennung in 20457 Hamburg

#### Table A8: Detailed analysis of Humin-P

Ca	Na	Fe	K	Mg	Al	Р	S	Mn	Cu	Zn
[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]	[mg/kg]							
4 1 5 0	673	7 600	108 000	542	6 2 7 0	< 250	2 2 2 2 0	12.1	26.5	10.5

### A7 Figures



Figure A2: Example of result screen of mass spectrometer analysis



Figure A3: Influence of temperature in equilibrium experiments



Figure A4: Influence of pH value in equilibrium experiments



Figure A5: Difference of pH values of washing solution before and after contact with H<sub>2</sub>S



Figure A6: Concentrations of  $SO_4^{2-}$ ,  $\Delta H_2S_g$  and pH value in equilibrium experiments in dependence on the wash volume



Figure A7: Results of repetition bags in a series of equilibrium experiments



Figure A8: Influence on other biogas components in equilibrium experiments



Figure A9: Continuous NH<sub>3</sub> experiment



Figure A10: Example of determined "Henry coefficients" of Humin-P-solutions



Figure A11: Determined Henry coefficient of water



Figure A12: Comparison of reduced  $H_2S(c_{H2S,in} - c_{H2S,out})$  from laboratory continuous absorption experiment (see 4.1.2) with determined curve of first order kinetic



Figure A13: Calibration line for protein analysis



Figure A14: Dependene of Humin-P concentration on protein concentration



Figure A15: Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) consumption of Humin-P-solutions



Figure A16: OUR at a temperature of 20 °C and 30 °C



Figure A17: Example of Langmuir-plot for the determination of  $\mu_{max}$  and  $K_m$ 



Figure A18: Relationship between Humin-P concentration and turbidity, conductivity and dry matter



Figure A19: Relationship between Humin-P concentration and inorganic carbon (IC), total organic carbon (TOC) and total nitrogen (TN)



Figure A20: Buffer capacity  $K_{\text{S4.3}}$  in dependence on Humin-P concentration



Figure A21: Aqueous H<sub>2</sub>S in relation to the pH value



Figure A22: Emission Trends for Germany since 1990 (SO<sub>2</sub>-Emission) [63]



Figure A23: Photos of biofilm formation of sulphur bacteria in pilot plant (from right to left: view in scrubber after the end of operation, view into flow sensor; outside view of flow sensor, sight glass of biore-actor)



Figure A24: Screen shot of recording at pilot plant

# Institute of Wastewater Management and Water Protection

Hamburg University of Technology

The double stage biological desulphurisation, consisting of a scrubber with downstream biological regeneration, was improved using humic substances as solubilisers. The optimisation of  $H_2S$  removal by humic substances and the regeneration by sulphur bacteria of the washing liquid containing humic substances were analysed and evaluated. The experiments as well as the simulation of the scrubber showed that biogas can be desulphurised very efficiently by the addition of humic substances.



ISBN 978-3-942768-05-4