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In the present paper, a thermomechanically coupled hyperelastic-plastic model is set up to predict the yield stress of polysynthetically twinned TiAl crystals as a function of temperature, load angle, α2 content, lamellar thickness and domain size. The elastic deformation is modelled in terms of the Helmholtz free energy density, and the deformation in the plastic regime is mapped by crystal plasticity theory. The yield stress temperature anomaly of intermetallics is incorporated in the model via the critical resolved shear stresses. The numerical results show a very good agreement with experimental results for a wide range of microstructural parameters and temperatures.
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1. Introduction

The growing demand for lightweight construction in several industrial branches strongly promotes research on promising materials such as the intermetallic compound TiAl. TiAl alloys are potential materials for a wide range of high-temperature lightweight applications in aeronautical as well as in automotive and energy sectors [1,2]. Due to their remarkable thermomechanical properties [3,4], they are – under suitable operating conditions – capable of replacing conventional high-temperature materials as lately observed in turbocharger wheels and turbine blades [1,5].

The superior thermomechanical properties of TiAl alloys are closely related to their composition and respective microstructure. Following [6], TiAl alloys can be differentiated into four possible microstructures: near-gamma, duplex, nearly lamellar and fully lamellar. The fully lamellar microstructure has experimentally been identified by many authors to exhibit the highest creep resistance which is a key feature for the respective applications.

The fully lamellar microstructure shown in Figure 1 is composed of two constituent phases α2 (Ti3Al) and γ (TiAl), where α2 is the minority phase. These phases are arranged in parallel lamellae with a thickness of a few 10 nm up to some µm depending on composition and processing (see e.g. [7,8]). One set of parallel lamellae forms a so-called colony, usually with a diameter ranging between 20µm and 1000 µm.

© 2015 Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht. Published by Taylor & Francis.
This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, and is not altered, transformed, or built upon in any way.
Since the micromechanical behaviour of single lamellar colonies determines the behaviour of the bulk material, various authors conducted experiments with specimens made of a single set of lamellae, often referred to as polysynthetically twinned crystal, e.g. [9–16]. These experiments revealed a strong plastic anisotropy of the lamellar compound, i.e. a dependence of yield strength and creep resistance on the load angle with respect to the lamellar plane.

The deformation of polysynthetically twinned crystals is best described via crystal plasticity in combination with a finite element analysis. Due to the small thickness of the lamellae and their high aspect ratio, the balance between the spatial resolution of the microstructure and the computational costs is a core problem in modelling fully lamellar TiAl. Therefore, all models in literature incorporate some kind of homogenization. Some authors homogenize the microstructure by reducing its deformation behaviour to the most relevant deformation mechanisms and simplify the spatial resolution of the two phases or omit it completely in order to simulate realistic microstructures, e.g. [17–22]. Other authors model the micromechanical deformation in the lamellar compound as detailed as possible by developing representative volume elements (RVEs) of a single lamellar colony, e.g. [23–29].

Depending on the level of simplification/homogenization, all above cited models describe the plastic anisotropy of polysynthetically twinned crystals at temperatures near room temperature more or less accurate. TiAl alloys are, however, preferred to be used at temperatures between 500°C and 700°C. Since a reliable prediction of the material behaviour at operating temperature is crucial in the layout of machine parts, it is necessary to formulate a respective model in accordance to the needs of a thermomechanical analysis.

At elevated temperatures, the temperature dependence of material parameters as well as the coupling between thermal and mechanical quantities become relevant. In addition, creep deformation may occur above ~ 650°C [40] and has to be considered when determining the design limits of TiAl components. In the present paper, we focus on the
thermomechanically coupled description of the temperature-dependent yield strength considering relevant microstructural parameters like lamella thickness whereas creep deformation is neglected for now. While the procedure of thermomechanical coupling is known from continuum mechanics, it is not straightforward to derive a consistent, physically meaningful set of model parameters which sufficiently captures the numerous temperature-dependent, micromechanical interrelations in polysynthetically twinned crystals. To the best of our knowledge, no such model has been reported so far.

2. Microstructure and micromechanics of polysynthetically twinned crystals

2.1. Crystallographic orientation relation

A polysynthetically twinned crystal consists of a single set of parallel $\alpha_2$ and $\gamma$ lamellae. $\gamma$ lamellae show a tetragonal L10 lattice structure, while $\alpha_2$ lamellae exhibit a hexagonal D019 lattice. There is a strong orientation relation between both phases [30]. Within the lamellae, the $(111)_\gamma$ planes and the $(0001)_{\alpha_2}$ planes are always parallel. Since, as a result of the L10 structure, the three crystallographic directions $[\overline{1}10]$, $[10\overline{1}]$ and $[0\overline{1}1]$ in the $(111)_\gamma$ plane are not equivalent, there are six rotations of the $\gamma$ phase about the $[111]$ direction. Three of these $\gamma$ orientations are the so-called matrix orientations which can be expressed in terms of their orientation relation to the $\alpha_2$ phase as follows:

$\gamma^I_M : [1 \overline{1} 0]_\gamma \uparrow\uparrow [11 \overline{2} 0]_{\alpha_2},$

$\gamma^{II}_M : [1 \overline{1} 0]_\gamma \uparrow\uparrow [1 \overline{2} 1 0]_{\alpha_2},$

$\gamma^{III}_M : [1 \overline{1} 0]_\gamma \uparrow\uparrow [\overline{2} 1 1 0]_{\alpha_2}.$

Here, $\uparrow\uparrow$ means parallel. The three remaining lattice orientations in the $\gamma$ lamellae are the corresponding twin orientations. They show the following relation to the $\alpha_2$ phase with $\uparrow\downarrow$ meaning anti parallel:

$\gamma^{I}_T : [1 \overline{1} 0]_\gamma \uparrow\downarrow [11 \overline{2} 0]_{\alpha_2},$

$\gamma^{II}_T : [1 \overline{1} 0]_\gamma \uparrow\downarrow [1 \overline{2} 1 0]_{\alpha_2},$

$\gamma^{III}_T : [1 \overline{1} 0]_\gamma \uparrow\downarrow [\overline{2} 1 1 0]_{\alpha_2}.$

Every $\gamma$ lamella is subdivided into so-called domains which all exhibit either one of the three matrix or one of the three twin orientations [2,30] and is called matrix or twin lamella, respectively. Different orientations within a single $\gamma$ lamella are separated by grain boundary-like interfaces, referred to as domain boundaries. The orientation relations are visualized in Figure 2.

2.2. Plastic anisotropy

The deformation of the $\gamma$ phase is determined by crystallographic slip and twinning, while in the $\alpha_2$ phase only slip is active. Due to the atomic structure of the $\gamma$ lattice, the slip systems in the $\gamma$ phase are subdivided into so-called ordinary and super slip systems. It has been shown in $\gamma$ and $\alpha_2$ single-crystal experiments that their different slip and twinning mechanisms exhibit different critical resolved shear stresses [31,32]. However, the lamellar microstructure with the high aspect ratio of the lamellae imposes strong restrictions
Figure 2. Schematic depiction of a fully lamellar TiAl microstructure (left top), polysynthetically twinned crystal (left bottom), orientation relations between $\gamma$ and $\alpha_2$ lamellae (right). $\gamma_M$ and $\gamma_T$: matrix and twin orientations of the $\gamma$ phase, $\lambda_L$: lamella thickness, $\lambda_D$: domain size.

Figure 3. Experimentally determined yield stresses of polysynthetically twinned crystals under uniaxial compression for different loading angles with respect to the lamellar plane [9–11].
on activation barriers of different slip systems, so that single-crystal results are only of limited validity in the lamellar compound. The influence of different phase boundaries (i.e. interfaces between lamellae and domain boundaries within the γ lamellae) is best described via the Hall–Petch relation (see e.g. [34–37])

\[ \tau_0^γ = \tau_R + K\lambda^{-0.5}. \]  

(1)

Here, \( \tau_0^γ \) is the critical resolved shear stress, \( \tau_R \) represents the lattice resistance to slip, \( K \) is the Hall–Petch coefficient and \( \lambda \) corresponds to the length a single dislocation can move before it encounters a phase boundary. Hence, slip and twinning systems parallel to the lamellar boundaries (free path length \( \approx \lambda_D \) in the γ lamellae) will encounter a lower slip resistance than those whose shear deformation has to cross the lamellar boundaries (free path length \( \approx \lambda_L \)). This is the cause for the highly anisotropic behaviour in the plastic regime depicted in Figure 3.

To capture the plastic anisotropy of polysynthetically twinned crystals, Lebensohn et al. [33] introduced a morphological classification of different slip and twinning systems into longitudinal, transversal and mixed systems. In longitudinal systems, both the slip/twinning direction as well as the slip/twinning plane are parallel to the lamellar interface. In transversal systems, neither slip/twinning direction nor slip/twinning plane are parallel to the interface. Mixed systems have their slip direction parallel to the interface, but their slip plane crosses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slip systems</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>γ phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(111)[1 T 0]</td>
<td>ordinary slip</td>
<td>longitudinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T T 1)[1 T 0]</td>
<td>ordinary slip</td>
<td>longitudinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 T 1)[1 1 0]</td>
<td>ordinary slip</td>
<td>mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T 1 1)[1 1 0]</td>
<td>ordinary slip</td>
<td>transversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 1 1)[0 1 T]</td>
<td>super slip</td>
<td>longitudinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 1 1)[1 0 T]</td>
<td>super slip</td>
<td>longitudinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 T T)[0 1 T]</td>
<td>super slip</td>
<td>mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 T 1)[1 0 T]</td>
<td>super slip</td>
<td>longitudinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 1 1)[0 0 T T]</td>
<td>super slip</td>
<td>transversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 1 T)[0 T T]</td>
<td>super slip</td>
<td>transversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 T 1)[0 0 T T]</td>
<td>super slip</td>
<td>transversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 T 1)[T 0 T]</td>
<td>super slip</td>
<td>transversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 1 1)[1 1 2]</td>
<td>twinning</td>
<td>longitudinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T 1 1)[T 1 2]</td>
<td>twinning</td>
<td>transversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 T 1)[1 1 2]</td>
<td>twinning</td>
<td>transversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 1 T)[1 1 2]</td>
<td>twinning</td>
<td>transversal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α2 phase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 1 2 0)[0 0 0 1]</td>
<td>basal slip</td>
<td>longitudinal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1 1 2 0)[1 T 0 0]</td>
<td>prismatic slip</td>
<td>mixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T T 2 6)[1 1 2 1]</td>
<td>pyramidal slip</td>
<td>transversal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the interface. The slip and twinning systems of both phases with the respective morphological classification are gathered in Table 1.

Lebensohn et al. \[33\] further showed that one critical resolved shear stress per morphological class is sufficient to capture plastic anisotropy of polysynthetically twinned crystals in numerical simulations. So, only the three critical resolved shear stresses $\tau_{Y, \text{long}}$, $\tau_{0, \text{mix}}$, and $\tau_{Y, \text{trans}}$ have to be determined instead of one distinct critical resolved shear stress for every underlying slip/twinning system.

2.3. Yield stress anomaly

Other than in conventional structural materials, in TiAl alloys, the critical resolved shear stresses increase with temperature up to a certain peak. After this maximum, the strength decreases rapidly. This behaviour, schematically depicted in Figure 4, is observed in $\gamma$ and $\alpha_2$ single crystals as well as in polysynthetically twinned crystals \[12,31,32\] and is here approximated by a continuous function of temperature $f_{\text{an}}(\theta)$, following a sinusoidal powerlaw

$$f_{\text{an}}(\theta) = f_{\text{an}}(0^\circ\text{C}) + \sin(A \theta) [B \theta^C].$$

(2)

Herein $A$, $B$ and $C$ are constants.

3. Thermomechanical modelling

3.1. Crystal plasticity

The kinematics of crystal plasticity are based on the multiplicative decomposition of the deformation gradient $\mathbf{F}$ into an elastic part $\mathbf{F}_E$ and a plastic part $\mathbf{F}_P$

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_E \cdot \mathbf{F}_P.$$
The plastic part represents shearing of the crystal lattice due to inelastic slip along crystal planes, whereas the elastic part accounts for elastic distortion and rotation of the lattice. The split of the deformation gradient introduces an intermediate configuration. It is assumed that the normal vector \( n_\alpha \) of slip system \( \alpha \) and the corresponding slip direction \( s_\alpha \) remain unchanged between the reference configuration and the intermediate configuration, i.e. plastic slip does not change the appearance of the lattice.

As a deformation measure, the right Cauchy–Green tensor \( C \) is introduced

\[
C := F^T \cdot F. 
\]

(4)

In the intermediate configuration, the second Piola–Kirchhoff stress \( S \) and the elastic right Cauchy–Green tensor \( C_E \) read

\[
S := J_E F_E^{-1} \cdot \sigma \cdot F_E^{-T} \quad \text{and} \quad C_E := F_E^T \cdot F_E. 
\]

(5)

Here, \( \sigma \) denotes the Cauchy stress. The Jacobian \( J_E \) is given by \( J_E = \det F_E \). With this, the Mandel stress \( M \) is introduced

\[
M := C_E \cdot S. 
\]

(6)

Inelastic slip and twinning are assumed to obey Schmid’s law and it is assumed that slip only occurs along crystal planes. Defining the resolved shear stress \( \tau_\alpha \) in terms of the Mandel stress leads to

\[
\tau_\alpha := s_\alpha \cdot M \cdot n_\alpha. 
\]

(7)

Mechanical twinning in the \( \gamma \)-phase is modelled as an additional slip plane which allows slip to act in twinning direction only. Furthermore, it is assumed that no slip occurs in twinned regions. These assumptions lead to the following definition of the plastic velocity gradient \( L_P \) (based on [38,39])

\[
L_P = \dot{F} \cdot F_P^{-1} = \left[ 1 - f^v \right] \sum_{\alpha} v_\alpha [s_\alpha \otimes n_\alpha] + \sum_{\beta} \gamma_T g_\beta [s_\beta \otimes n_\beta]. 
\]

(8)

The slip rate on slip system \( \alpha \) and the twinning rate on twinning system \( \beta \) are given by \( v_\alpha \) and \( g_\beta \). The shear rate on twinning system \( \beta \) is defined as \( g_\beta \) multiplied by the twin shear \( \gamma_T \) which is the shear deformation the lattice is undergoing if it is completely twinned [40]. The volume fraction of twin \( \beta \) is given by \( f_\beta = \int_0^1 g_\beta dt \) and the corresponding total twinned volume fraction is \( f^v = \sum_\beta f_\beta \).

The slip rate \( v_\alpha \) is driven by the resolved shear stress \( \tau_\alpha \). This is modelled using the viscoplastic power-law

\[
v_\alpha = v_0 \left| \frac{\tau_\alpha}{\tau_\alpha^Y} \right|^n \text{sign} \tau_\alpha. 
\]

(9)

Here, \( n \) and \( v_0 \) are the strain rate sensitivity exponent and the reference slip rate. \( \tau_\alpha^Y \) is the current slip system hardness, evolving as follows

\[
\dot{\tau}_\alpha^Y = \sum_\beta h_{\alpha\beta} |v_\beta|. 
\]

(10)
The hardening moduli $h_{\alpha \beta}$ are defined as
\[ h_{\alpha \beta} = \begin{cases} h_0 & \text{for } \alpha = \beta \\ q_{\alpha \beta} h_0 & \text{for } \alpha \neq \beta \end{cases}. \] (11)

Here, $h_0$ is a constant hardening factor. The constant coefficients $q_{\alpha \beta}$ describe the hardening of slip system $\alpha$ due to slip on slip system $\beta$. With Equation (11), the hardening of the slip systems reads
\[ \tau^Y_\alpha = \tau^Y_0 + \int_0^t h_0 \left| \nu_\alpha \right| + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} q_{\alpha \beta} \left| \nu_\beta \right| \, dt. \] (12)

The initial slip system hardness $\tau^Y_0$ is given by $\tau^Y_0 = \tau^Y_\alpha (t = 0)$. To ensure that twinning is unidirectional, the threshold
\[ g_\beta = g_0 \left( \frac{\tau_\beta}{\tau^T_\beta} \right)^n \] (13)
is defined. $\tau^T_\beta$ is the constant twinning resistance and $g_0$ denotes the reference twinning rate.

3.2. Thermodynamics of thermomechanical coupling
The thermomechanical coupling closely follows the concepts shown in [41,42]. The coupling is based on the balance of internal energy $\varepsilon$ and the balance of entropy $\eta$
\[ \rho_0 \dot{\varepsilon} = - \text{Div } Q + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{S} : \dot{\mathbf{C}} + \rho_0 r, \] (14)
\[ \rho_0 \dot{\eta} = - \text{Div} \left( \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{\theta} \right) + \rho_0 \left[ \frac{r}{\theta} + \xi \right], \] (15)
wherein $\mathbf{Q}$ denotes the heat flux vector and $\rho_0$ the density in the reference configuration, $r$ is an external heat supply and $\xi$ is the internal entropy production. With the second law of thermodynamics, i.e. $\xi \geq 0$, Equation (15) is rewritten as
\[ \rho_0 \dot{\eta} + \text{Div} \left( \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{\theta} \right) - \rho_0 \frac{r}{\theta} \geq 0. \] (16)

Following the hyperelastic framework, the Helmholtz free energy density $\psi = \psi_E (\mathbf{C}_E, \theta)$ is introduced. The Helmholtz free energy density is inserted into Equation (16) via its well-known form $\psi = \psi_E = \varepsilon - \eta \theta$. Inserting the energy balance into the resultant equation yields
\[ \rho_0 \left[ - \hat{\psi}_E - \eta \dot{\theta} \right] - \frac{\mathbf{Q}}{\theta} \cdot \nabla_0 \theta + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{S} : \dot{\mathbf{C}} \geq 0. \] (17)

Here $\nabla_0 \theta$ denotes the temperature gradient in the reference configuration. From the split of the deformation gradient, it follows that $\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{S} : \dot{\mathbf{C}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{S} : \dot{\mathbf{C}}_E + \mathbf{M} : \mathbf{L}_p$ [43]. Using standard thermodynamic arguments of Coleman–Noll [44], $\psi_E$ is a potential for the second
Piola–Kirchhoff stress and the entropy
\[
S = 2 \frac{\partial[\rho_0 \psi_E]}{\partial C_E},
\]
(18)
\[
\eta = -\frac{\partial \psi_E}{\partial \theta}.
\]
(19)

Moreover, the dissipation \(\rho_0 \theta \xi\) reads
\[
\rho_0 \theta \xi = M : L_P - Q \cdot \nabla_0 \theta.
\]
(20)

The temperature evolution equation is derived by inserting the definition of the Helmholtz free energy density together with Equations (18) and (19) into the energy balance Equation (14). With the specific heat capacity \(c_p = -\theta \frac{\partial^2 \psi_E}{\partial \theta^2}\), this yields
\[
\rho_0 c_p \dot{\theta} = -\text{Div} \ Q + \rho_0 r + M : L_P + \frac{1}{2} \theta \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} : \dot{C}_E.
\]
(21)

The last two terms on the right-hand side of Equation (21) represent structural heating due to plastic and elastic deformation. Heat conduction is described by Fourier’s law, so the heat flux is given by \(Q = -\kappa \nabla_0 \theta\), with \(\kappa\) being the heat conductivity.

The thermoelastic behaviour is modelled using a Neo–Hookean type Helmholtz free energy
\[
\rho_0 \psi_E := \frac{\mu}{2} [\text{tr} \ C_E - 3] + \frac{\lambda}{2} \ln^2 J_E - \mu \ln J_E - 3 \alpha_t K \left[ \theta - \theta_0 \right] \frac{\ln J_E}{J_E}
\]
+ \rho_0 c_p \left[ \theta - \theta_0 - \theta \ln \frac{\theta}{\theta_0} \right] - \left[ \theta - \theta_0 \right] S_0.
\]
(22)

Here, \(\mu\) and \(\lambda\) are the Lamé constants, \(\alpha_t\) is the thermal expansion coefficient, \(K\) is the bulk modulus and \(S_0\) is the absolute entropy density. The temperature \(\theta_0\) denotes the reference temperature. This leads to the following representation of the second Piola–Kirchhoff stress
\[
S = \mu \left[ I - C_E^{-1} \right] + \left[ \lambda \ln J_E - \frac{3 \alpha_t K}{J_E} \left[ \theta - \theta_0 \right] \left[ 1 - \ln J_E \right] \right] C_E^{-1}.
\]
(23)

3.3. Temperature-dependent material parameters of TiAl

If dealing with large temperature ranges, the temperature dependence of material parameters has to be considered. Some temperature-dependent material parameters like, e.g. Young’s modulus are easily identified from literature, see Table 2.

In addition, parameters for the crystal plasticity are needed. These micromechanical quantities are difficult to obtain directly from experiments – especially for high temperatures and complicated microstructures – and their temperature dependences can often not be examined independently. Therefore, temperature dependence of a few parameters is omitted here and constant room temperature values are used instead, see Table 3.
Table 2. Material parameters for simulations. The experiments were carried out in the indicated temperature range. If no parameters for the single phases are available, the parameters of the compound are used instead.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Temp. range [°C]</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$ phase</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>$173.59 \text{ GPa} - 0.0342 \frac{\text{GPa}}{\text{°C}} \left[T - T_0\right]$</td>
<td>$T_0 = 25 &lt; T &lt; 935$</td>
<td>Ti-50Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>$0.234 + 6.7 \times 10^{-6} \left[T - T_0\right] \frac{1}{\text{°C}}$</td>
<td>$T_0 = 25 &lt; T &lt; 847$</td>
<td>Ti-50Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\xi/\sigma$</td>
<td>$1.00356 + 7.2 \times 10^{-6} \left[T - T_0\right] \frac{1}{\text{°C}}$</td>
<td>$T_0 = 20 &lt; T &lt; 1450$</td>
<td>Ti-46Al-1.9Cr-3Nb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_2$ phase</td>
<td>$E$</td>
<td>$147.05 \text{ GPa} - 0.0525 \frac{\text{GPa}}{\text{°C}} \left[T - T_0\right]$</td>
<td>$T_0 = 25 &lt; T &lt; 954$</td>
<td>Ti-27.6Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu$</td>
<td>$0.295 - 5.9 \times 10^{-5} \left[T - T_0\right] \frac{1}{\text{°C}}$</td>
<td>$T_0 = 25 &lt; T &lt; 954$</td>
<td>Ti-27.6Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\xi/\sigma$</td>
<td>$0.804 \approx \text{ const.}$</td>
<td>$T_0 = 20 &lt; T &lt; 1450$</td>
<td>Ti-46Al-1.9Cr-3Nb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma/\alpha_2$ compound</td>
<td>$\rho_0$</td>
<td>$4.219 \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^3} - 1.579 \times 10^{-4} \left[T - T_0\right] \frac{\text{g}}{\text{cm}^3 \text{°C}}$</td>
<td>$T_0 = 25 &lt; T &lt; 1150$</td>
<td>Ti-45.5Al-8Nb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$c_p$</td>
<td>$0.6207 \frac{\text{J}}{\text{g} \text{°C}} + 1.5897 \times 10^{-4} \left[T - T_0\right] \frac{\text{J}}{\text{g} \text{°C}^2}$</td>
<td>$T_0 = 20 &lt; T &lt; 900$</td>
<td>Ti-45.5Al-8Nb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\kappa$</td>
<td>$15.35 \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m} \text{°C}} + 1.364 \times 10^{-2} \left[T - T_0\right] \frac{\text{W}}{\text{m} \text{°C}^2}$</td>
<td>$T_0 = 100 &lt; T &lt; 900$</td>
<td>Ti-47Al-4(Nb,W,B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha_t$</td>
<td>$8.936 \times 10^{-6} \frac{1}{\text{°C}} + 3.4 \times 10^{-9} \left[T - T_0\right] \frac{1}{\text{°C}^2}$</td>
<td>$T_0 = 100 &lt; T &lt; 900$</td>
<td>Ti-47Al-4(Nb,W,B)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. $\gamma$, $\alpha_2$ phase: temperature-independent micromechanical parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$v_0$</td>
<td>$0.001 \frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$\mu_{0,\text{long}}$</td>
<td>400 MPa</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_0$</td>
<td>$0.0014 \frac{1}{7}$</td>
<td>[25]</td>
<td>$\mu_{0,\text{mix}}$</td>
<td>320 MPa</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>$\mu_{0,\text{trans}}$</td>
<td>135 MPa</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_T$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$</td>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>$\eta_{\alpha\beta}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further, the critical resolved shear stresses $\tau_{0,\text{long}}^{Y}$, $\tau_{0,\text{mix}}^{Y}$ and $\tau_{0,\text{trans}}^{Y}$ for the three morphological classes have to be determined. The critical resolved shear stresses of the $\alpha_2$ phase – as the minority phase – are modelled here according to the findings from single-crystal experiments [32]. The critical resolved shear stresses of the dominant $\gamma$ phase are extracted from experiments with polysynthetically twinned crystals [12,49] to incorporate Hall–Petch strengthening.

### 3.3.1. Critical resolved shear stresses for $\alpha_2$ phase

From high-temperature $\alpha_2$ single-crystal experiments [32], it is known that the critical resolved shear stresses of the pyramidal (transverse) slip systems show the typical temperature anomaly (see Figure 4), while in the prismatic (mixed) slip systems a monotonic decrease in the critical resolved shear stress is observed. Basal (longitudinal) slip has not been observed in experiments with polysynthetically twinned crystals and is therefore not modelled here.

We approximate the critical resolved shear stress of the pyramidal slip systems by the sinusoidal law (cf. Equation (2))

$$
\tau_{0,\alpha_2}^{Y,\text{trans}} = 450 \text{ MPa} + \sin \left( 0.00453 \theta \frac{1}{\circ\text{C}} \right) \left[ 0.1196 \theta^{1.21} \frac{\text{MPa}}{\circ\text{C}^{1.21}} \right] \quad (24)
$$

and the one of the prismatic slip systems by the third-order polynomial

$$
\tau_{0,\alpha_2}^{Y,\text{mix}} = 67 \text{ MPa} - 0.059 \theta \frac{\text{MPa}}{\circ\text{C}} - 27.83 \theta^2 \frac{\text{Pa}}{\circ\text{C}^2} + 0.0575 \theta^3 \frac{\text{Pa}}{\circ\text{C}^3}. \quad (25)
$$

In Figure 5, it is shown that these approximations are in good agreement with the experimental data in [32].

### 3.3.2. Critical resolved shear stresses for $\gamma$ phase

In room and high-temperature experiments [12,49], plastic anisotropy of polysynthetically twinned crystals was systematically analysed as a function of temperature, domain size, lamellar thickness and $\alpha_2$ content. From these experiments, we extract the critical resolved shear stresses of the $\gamma$ phase as a function of temperature and microstructural parameters.

Since Hall–Petch related strengthening is not intrinsically considered in crystal plasticity, it is incorporated into the model of the $\gamma$ phase via the critical resolved shear stresses. With this, the critical resolved shear stresses $\tau_{0,\gamma}^{Y,\text{long}}(\theta)$, $\tau_{0,\gamma}^{Y,\text{mix}}(\theta)$ and $\tau_{0,\gamma}^{Y,\text{trans}}(\theta)$ are all of the general form
\[ \tau_{Y,\text{long}}(\theta) = \tau_R(\theta) + K_D(\theta) \lambda_D^{-0.5}, \]  
\[ \tau_{Y,\text{mix}}(\theta) = \tau_R(\theta) + K_D(\theta) \lambda_L^{-0.5}, \]  
\[ \tau_{Y,\text{trans}}(\theta) = \tau_R(\theta) + K_L(\theta) \lambda_L^{-0.5}. \]

The temperature anomaly of the Hall–Petch coefficients is modelled once more by the sinusoidal powerlaw Equation (2)

\[ K_D(\theta) = K_D(0^\circ\text{C}) + \sin(A_D \theta) \left[ B_D \theta^{C_D} \right], \]  
\[ K_L(\theta) = K_L(0^\circ\text{C}) + \sin(A_L \theta) \left[ B_L \theta^{C_L} \right]. \]
transversal slip/twinning systems are active. Thus, the three critical resolved shear stresses in Equations (27)–(29) are determined from experiments at load angles of 0°, 45° and 90°.

In experiments under a load angle of 45° [49], critical resolved shear stresses of longitudinal slip systems in the γ phase are observable as a function of the domain size $\lambda_D$ only. Extrapolating respective room temperature results [49] to $\lambda_D \to \infty$, yields $\tau_R \approx 30$ MPa.

Assuming $K_D(0^\circ C) = K_L(0^\circ C) = 0.125\text{MPa}\sqrt{m}$, the six remaining model parameters $A_D/L$, $B_D/L$ and $C_D/L$ are found by fitting Equations (28) and (29) to the temperature-dependent results for 0° and 90° from [12], see Table 4.

Figure 6 depicts $K_D(\theta)$ and $K_L(\theta)$ over temperature. The curves exhibit peaks near 700°C respective 500°C. Therefore, the critical resolved shear stresses $\tau_{0,\text{long}}^Y$ and $\tau_{0,\text{mix}}^Y$ are maximal near 700°C and $\tau_{0,\text{trans}}^Y$ exhibits a peak near 500°C as observed in the respective yield stresses in [12].
4. Numerical results

4.1. Finite element model

The described material model is implemented into the finite element software Abaqus via a user material subroutine. The highly non-linear and coupled system of equations is solved in each time step with an elastic predictor – plastic corrector scheme using a Newton–Raphson algorithm. The computational experiments are set up as a fully coupled thermal stress analysis with a monolithic solution scheme. Herein, the structural heating terms from Equation (21) are taken into account.

For modelling the fully lamellar microstructure of a polysynthetically twinned crystal, a RVE is set up, see Figure 7. The volumetric contents of the \( \alpha_2 \) and \( \gamma \) phases are captured by changing the width of the \( \alpha_2 \) lamella while keeping the width of the RVE constant. The six different \( \gamma \) phases are assumed to occur with equal volume fractions. The geometry shown in Figure 7 is discretized using linear hexaeder elements with temperature degrees of freedom (C3D8T). Periodic boundary conditions are applied to displacements as well as temperature. To change the load angle, the RVE is rotated within a dummy element as explained in [24].

4.2. Simulation

The numerical results presented here are all determined for uniaxial compression with a strain rate of \( \dot{\varepsilon} = 10^{-4} \frac{1}{s} \). A homogeneous temperature distribution is assumed as initial condition.

Figure 7. Schematic drawing of the RVE for a polysynthetically twinned crystal.
4.2.1. Plastic anisotropy at room temperature

In Figure 8, the predicted plastic anisotropy at room temperature is compared to experimental results. Uhlenhut’s results [11] exhibit a higher yield stress at the extreme load angles of 0° and 90° than the results of the other referenced authors, although all shown experiments were carried out with a similar composition. This indicates that both, domain size and lamellar thickness, are smaller in Uhlenhut’s specimens. With a constant $\alpha_2$ content of 8 Vol.%, the lamellar thicknesses $\lambda_L = 0.57 \mu m$ and $\lambda_L = 2.0 \mu m$ and the domain sizes $\lambda_D = 20 \mu m$ and $\lambda_D = 50 \mu m$ are well suited to demonstrate the capability of the model to capture the plastic anisotropy.

The simulated yield stresses in Figure 8 show a very good agreement with the experimental results not only at the characteristic points, i.e. load angles 0°, 45° and 90°, but also at intermediate load angles.

4.2.2. Temperature dependence of yield stress

In Figure 9, the simulated yield stress as a function of temperature is compared to the findings of Umakoshi et al. [12] and Inui et al. [50]. Comparison to Umakoshi’s findings (Figure 9(a)) shows that the model captures the characteristics of the experimental curves very well. The peaks in the 0° and 90° curves and the rise of the yield stress from room temperature to peak temperature correspond to the ones observed in experiments. As in the experiments, no distinct peak is observable in the yield stress under a 45° load angle. However, the yield stress is underestimated in all three orientations. Since the present approximation of the temperature-dependent Hall–Petch relation incorporates interrelations of several microstructural parameters – determined from an inherent experimental scattering –, a certain quantitative deviation in the yield stress is inevitable.

Comparison to Inui’s findings (Figure 9(b)) shows a good quantitative and qualitative agreement for 31° and 0° load angles. For the latter, however, the peak in the experimental
Figure 9. (colour online) Yield stress of polysynthetically twinned crystals under uniaxial loading as a function of temperature.

Table 5. Microstructural parameters from [12,49].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corresponding composition</th>
<th>$\alpha_2$ content</th>
<th>$\lambda_L$</th>
<th>$\lambda_D$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti-48.1at%Al</td>
<td>15 Vol%</td>
<td>0.37 $\mu$m</td>
<td>7 $\mu$m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-48.1at%Al</td>
<td>15 Vol%</td>
<td>0.53 $\mu$m</td>
<td>22 $\mu$m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-49.1at%Al</td>
<td>8 Vol%</td>
<td>0.75 $\mu$m</td>
<td>24 $\mu$m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-49.1at%Al</td>
<td>8 Vol%</td>
<td>0.57 $\mu$m</td>
<td>20 $\mu$m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti-50.8at%Al</td>
<td>2 Vol%</td>
<td>1.13 $\mu$m</td>
<td>64 $\mu$m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

results occurs at a slightly higher temperature than in simulations. In the 90° experiments, Inui et al. [50], in contrast to Umakoshi et al. [12], did not observe a peak in yield stress.

4.2.3. Influence of lamellar thickness and domain size

To evaluate the influence of lamellar thickness and domain size on yield stress, micromechanical lengths are systematically altered. Since it is not possible to alter $\alpha_2$ content, lamellar thickness and domain size independently during the alloying process, the analysis is carried out for the parameter combinations of the sample materials in Table 5 corresponding to the material compositions analysed by Umakoshi et al. [12,49].

Figure 10 shows the respective simulation results together with experimental data. Comparison of the results yields a good agreement for load angles of 45° and 90°. The simulated Hall–Petch slopes are near the experimental ones and change properly with temperature. The deviations between the simulated and the experimental yield stresses are mostly in the range of the experimental scattering. For 500 °C, the yield stress under a load angle of 90° is underestimated by the model. However, for this orientation and temperature,
the scattering in the experimental results is striking so that it is hard to enhance the model without further information.

Under a load angle of $0^\circ$, only room temperature experiments are available [49]. The simulation results for $500^\circ$C and $900^\circ$C are shown for the sake of completeness. At room temperature, the Hall–Petch slope of simulations is slightly lower than in experiments. However, if extrapolated to $\lambda_L \to \infty$, the Hall–Petch slope of the respective experiments yields negative values for $\tau_Y^0$. This contradicts the basic idea of the Hall–Petch relation and indicates that the three available experimental data points might not be enough. For all values of $\lambda_L$, the yield stress in this orientation is overestimated by the model. This indicates that the free path length for the mixed slip systems is somewhat bigger than assumed, but still in the vicinity of the lamellar thickness $\lambda_L$.

Figure 10. (colour online) Yield stress for $0^\circ$, $45^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ load angles as a function of free path length.
5. Conclusion

The main objective of the present model is to provide a reliable prediction of the yield stress of polysynthetically twinned crystals as a function of

- load angle,
- lamellar thickness $\lambda_L$ and domain size $\lambda_D$,
- temperature (including the temperature anomaly),
- and $\alpha_2$-content.

The load angle dependence of the yield stress, i.e. the plastic anisotropy, is well captured by crystal plasticity. In the large temperature range studied, the predicted yield stress captures the Hall–Petch related strengthening as well as the temperature anomaly in accordance to experiments.

In some previously reported models, the Hall–Petch relation Equation (1) is considered by precalculating the critical resolved shear stresses $\tau_Y(\lambda_L, \lambda_D)$ as a temperature-independent input for the simulations [26,28,29]. This approach is suitable for a homogeneous temperature field, but not sufficient in a fully coupled thermomechanical analysis. In a fully coupled analysis, the temperature field may vary locally due to structural heating or thermal loading. Hence, the present model includes the derived temperature-dependent Hall–Petch relation as a constitutive equation which is evaluated locally during simulations. This enables the model to predict the yield stress for, e.g. inhomogeneous temperature fields or thermal cycling. This feature especially becomes relevant in simulations of bigger microstructures including, e.g. several colonies.

In construction design with TiAl, the weakest colonies, i.e. colonies near an angle of 45° between lamellar plane and principle stresses, determine the overall strength of the alloy. In these intermediate orientations, the present model predicts the temperature-dependent yield stresses very well for all investigated combinations of $\lambda_L$ and $\lambda_D$. In terms of micromechanics, a polysynthetically twinned crystal is basically the same as a single colony. A proper discretization presupposed, it can therefore be assumed that the model is also able to correctly predict the yield stress of a fully lamellar microstructure with several colonies as a function of the above-listed parameters. The present model is therefore a good basis for further investigations of the thermomechanical behaviour of TiAl alloys on the meso scale.
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